UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC. :

.

Plaintiff,

;

v. : (

Case No. 07-1532 (EGS/JMF)

ANIMAL WELFARE INSTITUTE, et al.:

Defendants.

:

PLAINTIFF FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF ENTRY OF A PROTECTIVE ORDER

EXHIBIT 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS,

. CA No. 03-2006

Plaintiff,

v. . Washington, D.C.

. Thursday, February 5, 2009

FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., . 10:15 a.m.

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL - MORNING SESSION - DAY 2
BEFORE THE HONORABLE EMMET G. SULLIVAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: KATHERINE A. MEYER, ESQ.

TANYA SANERIB, ESQ.
ERIC GLITZENSTEIN, ESQ.
HOWARD CRYSTAL, ESQ.
DELCIANA WINDERS, ESQ.

Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal 1601 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20009

202-364-4092

For the Defendant: LISA JOINER, ESQ.

KARA PETTEWAY, ESQ. JOHN SIMPSON, ESQ. MICHELLE PARDO, ESQ.

LANCE SHEA, ESQ.

Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202-662-4504

Court Reporter:

JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR Official Court Reporter U.S. Courthouse, Room 6820 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20001 202-354-3187

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Civil action 03-2006, American
3	Society For the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, et al versus
4	Feld Entertainment, Inc.
5	Would counsel please identify yourselves for the
6	record?
7	MS. MEYER: Catherine Meyer for the plaintiffs, your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: Good morning, counsel.
10	MS. SANERIB: Good morning. Tanya Sanerib for the
11	plaintiff.
12	MR. CRYSTAL: Howard Crystal for the plaintiff.
13	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Eric Glitzenstein for the
14	plaintiffs.
15	MS. WINDERS: Delciana Winders for the plaintiff.
16	MS. SINNOTT: Michelle Sinnott, tech.
17	THE COURT: For the plaintiff?
18	MS. SINNOTT: For the plaintiffs.
19	THE COURT: Good morning, your Honor. John Simpson
20	for the defendant.
21	MR. SHEA: Good morning, your Honor. Lance Shea for
22	the defendant.
23	MS. JOINER: Good morning, your Honor. Lisa Joiner
24	for the defendant.
25	MS. PETTEWAY: Kara Petteway for the defendant.

MS. PARDO: Good morning, your Honor. Michelle Pardo for the defendant.

MS. STRAUSS: Good morning, your Honor. Julie Strauss for the defendant.

MR. PALISOUL: Derek Palisoul for the defendant.

THE COURT: Let's proceed with the cross-examination.

I'm mindful that the doctor has a plane to catch.

MR. SIMPSON: Your Honor, if I could just bring up two preliminary matters with the Court's indulgence, and I think it's important to get matters like this straightened out when we are just getting the trial started.

Would you switch the Elmo on for me, please?

Your Honor, it's come to our attention that one of the corporate representatives for the plaintiff, Animal Protection Institute, is blogging the details of this trial on the Internet. Ms. Nicole Piquette, their senior vice president and general counsel, was excused from the Rule of Exclusion of witnesses yesterday by your Honor and chose apparently to broadcast the details of the testimony in this case on the Internet, and I'm concerned that this is an abuse of the privilege that you granted her yesterday, and I am concerned that this kind of thing becomes a bulletin board for fact witnesses in the case to check out what's going on in the trial. You know, she's entitled to her opinion about what's going on, but what I don't want to see is fact witnesses using this as a

We've already given that instruction to our witnesses, not just don't read the transcript, not just don't talk to lawyers and other witnesses, but don't go out there on the Internet and read news accounts of what's going on so that you get the same information indirectly, so we think as a prophylactic matter, that that ought to be addressed up front, and I think your hour review the order to seize this blogging, and I think your hour witnesses the transcript of the trial, should not discuss the transcript of the trial with lawyers, but also should not read Internet blogs and similar sources of information where the trial transcript or details of the testimony are being posted. We've already given that instruction to our witnesses, not just don't read the transcript, not just don't talk to lawyers and other witnesses, but don't go out there on the Internet and read news accounts of what's going on so that you get the same information indirectly, so we think as a prophylactic matter, that that ought to be addressed up front, and I think you have the power and discretion to do so under Rule 615.

THE COURT: Well, we certainly tell fact witnesses, we tell all witnesses not to discuss their testimony with anyone, but what you're asking me to do is essentially tell them in advance of their testimony not to discuss anyone else's testimony essentially and that doctor -- she's not a doctor -- Attorney Piquette, she's in the courtroom today? Is she?

MR. SIMPSON: Well, she was entitled to be. It was packed yesterday. I don't know whether she was here or not. I don't know what she looks like. I've never seen her.

THE COURT: This blogging issue is an issue that's

coming up quite frequently in cases.

Let me hear from plaintiff's counsel.

Thank you for bringing it to my attention.

MS. MEYER: Your Honor, I didn't know about this. If Mr. Simpson had told me about it when he discovered it I certainly would have been in agreement that Ms. Piquette --

THE COURT: He probably didn't know about it until today perhaps.

MR. SIMPSON: Ten minutes ago.

MS. MEYER: I didn't know about it, your Honor. We certainly have instructed our witnesses to abide by all of your instructions, and we have no problem telling Ms. Piquette that she should not be blogging about what goes on in the trial.

ask their witnesses, to direct their witnesses, not to search for other bloggers' opinions. This case is going to be tried and decided based on the evidence in the courtroom. I recognize that the public has an interest in what's going on and that there are people who are not participants or connected with any of the organizations wish to blog to their hearts' content about anything they want to blog about, you know, I guess that's their prerogative, but at least insofar as the participants in this trial are concerned and the officers of the various corporations, I have some control and I'm going to direct them not to do any blogging. I think that's only fair.