## Gailegos, Anna - FSIS

From:

Neison, Ron - FSIS

Sent:

Wednesday, May 23, 2012 1:46 PM

To:

Glimore, Keith - FSIS

Co:

Nelson, Ron - FSIS; Gallegos, Anna - FSIS;

Subject:

kreinFW: Valley Meats Walkthrough 4-18-12

FSIS

The last attachment is a good summary. FLS seem is considering doing another walk-through the week of June 4-8. There is a possibility they will slaughter cows that week.

It is possible that the second that all inedibles have to be properly disposed of before we will issue a grant unless there is a letter from the New Mexico Environmental Protection Dept. stating it is acceptable as is.

We are also telling him he must have a scientifically supportable residue program for horses.

From:

- FSIS

Sent: Friday, April 20, 2012 10:51 AM

To: Gallegos, Anna - FSIS

Cc - FSIS

Subject: Vailey Meats Walkthrough 4-19-12

Anna,

Attached below are the FLS Supervisor On Site Survey Checklist, Basic Compliance Checklists for SSOP, HACCP, and E. coli testing. Please note that the FLS Supervisory On Site Survey Checklist needs to be adjusted under the Building Construction Section for buildings, the form needs to be adjusted to indicate "Does Not Comply" for buildings, structures and rooms.









SSOP.odf

E. coll testing.pdf

FLS Checklist.pdf

HACCP.pdf

Here is the Valley Meats walkthrough MOI:



Walkthrough MOI 4-19-2012.docx...

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Frontline Supervisor Albuquerque Circuit Denver District BB: 303

	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD, BAPETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE	
ESTAB	SANITATION SOP'S BASIC COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST  ISSUMENT NAME    ESTABLISHMENT NO.   IMPLEMENTATION DATE   C7299	
<u> </u>	is checklist to document fludings of noncompliance with the requirements set out in FSIS Directive 5000.1, Part Three, Paragray	. 7 h
	REQUIREMENT	YES
	The establishment does not have written Sanketion SOP's that describe the procedures the establishment conducts daily to prevent direct contemination or edularation of product (a) (§ 416.12 (a)).	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
8.408	The Sanitation SOP's do not identify which of the procedures are pre-operational procedures (§ 416.12 (e)).	
1. SANITATION SOPS	The pre-operational procedures do not address let a minimum; the cleaning of food contact surfaces facilities, equipment, and utenells (§ 415.12 (c)).	of
	The Senitation SOP's do not specify the frequency with which the establishment will conduct each procedure (§ 416.12 (4)).	,
	The Senitation SOP's do not identify the establishment employee or employees responsible for implementing and maintaining specified procedures (§ 416.12 (d)).	
2. PRECONDAKEEPING	The establishment does not have identified records that, on a daily basis, document implementation and monitoring of the Sanketion SOP's and any corrective ections taken (§ 416.16 (a)).	
MATURE	The individuel with overall authority on-site or a higher level official of the establishment did not sign and date the Senitation SOP's	
•	(1) upon initial implementation, or	
3. DATED 61	121 upon s modification (§ 416.12 (d)).	
FSt9	FORM 5000-2 (9/87)	Designed on Femilion Software.

## E. COLI TESTING .. BASIC COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST ESTABLISHMENT NAME VOILLEY MEATS Lise this checkies to document facings of noncompliance with the requirements set out in FSIS Directive 5000.1, Part Four, Paragraph II.B. REQUIREMENT YES The establishment does not have written procedures for collecting samples for E. coll testing. The establishment's procedures do not identify the establishment employee is idealgneted to collect samples for $\underline{\mathbf{E}}_{i}$ call testing. SAMPLING PROCEDURES The astablishment's procedures do not address 11) the location (a) of sampling, 12) how sampling randomness is achieved, or (3) handling of samples to ensure sample integrity. [Peragraph (a) 12] (i) of § 310.25 or § 381.94). SAMPLE COLLECTION The establishment is not collecting samples for £, goll testing (Paragraph |a| (1) of § 310.25 or § 381.94). The establishment is not recording the ensiytical results of $\underline{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x}}$ <u>soli</u> tasts on a process control chart or table (Persgrephs tel [1] !!!! and tel [4] of § 310.25 or § 381.94).

FSIS FORM 5000-3 (9/97)

1. District/Circuit Code		rvisor On-Site Survey Che  2. Establishment Number   077299		3. Date of Survey		
I. Establishment Name Volley New S  Deads  Deads  Deads  Deads	er N		8. Establishment Address 3845 Cedarvale Roswell, NM 88203			
575-622-12 Patagories	Comply		Catagories	Comply	Does No	
. Required Documents		Comply	R/ Cataba		Comply	
Written Sanitation SOP		<del></del>	IV. Safety Lock-out/Tag-out		<b></b>	
Written HACCP plan			Adequate exits		<del></del>	
Water potability certification		<b>-</b>	Havonie Ca.			
Sewage certification	<del>Y</del>	<del> </del>	Hazards Communication Program	<u> </u>		
Other certifications (e.g. Inedible) EPA FOR Compositive		V	V. Facilities & Equipment			
			Welfare facilities	V		
i. Marks of inspection			Outside premises (includes pest & rodent control)		Z	
abels			Antemortem			
Brands			Facilities & Lighting		ļ	
Security for accountable items		ļ	Suspect pen facilities/lighting	V	<u> </u>	
11 Fa.,15'34			Animai humane facilities			
ili. Building Construction			Postmortem	ļ		
Buildings, structures & rooms Waits		III)	Facilities & equipment			
Vyass Floors		LY.	Ughting	4334		
Cellings			Retained product facilities			
Doors			Condemned/Inedible facilities		<u>-</u> -	
Ventilation in production areas		<del>                                     </del>	Processing Facilities & equipment	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	
Ventilation in welfare facilities		<del> </del>	Retained product facilities	<del> </del>	<u>-</u>	
Separation of official & non-	<b>V</b>	<del>                                     </del>	Condemned/inadible facilities	<del> </del>	1	
official establishments						
Facililes for Program Employees	V_			Yes	No	
Plumbing			Duat Jurisdiction Establishment		V	
Water supply & distribution	<b>V</b>	1		<b></b>	<b> </b>	
Drains	V		Conditional Grant of	I	1	
			Inspection*			
Notes Plant Size Category (circle): (V	ery Small	) Small	Large		-	
* in accordance with 9CFR 3	(04.3/b) an	1 9CFR 3A1	.22(b) and ref. 9 CFR 304.2 and 9	:FR 381 16		

FEGUREMENT	YES
MULTIPLE PROBUCTS	
A HACCP plan covers more then one product and the products are not all within one of the nine processing categories specified in \$\frac{1}{417.2}\$ (b) (1), \$\frac{417.2}{417.2}\$ (b) (2).	· 🗸
POOD SAFETY HAZARD IS	
The HACCP plan does not list the food safety hezard (s) identified in the hezard analysis (*417.2 (c) (1)).	,
tException: A HACCP plan for thermally processed/commercially sterile products produced in accordance with part 318, subpart G, or part 381, subpart X, need not address food safety hazards associated with microbiological contamination (*417.2 (b) (3)).)	
HAZARD CONTROL	
The HACCP plan does not list OCP's for each food safety hezerd ('417.2 (e) (2)).	·
The HACCP plan does not list critical limits to be met at each CCP (*417.2 (c) (3)).	<b>V</b>
MONITORING	
The HACCP plan does not list the procedures to be used to monitor each CCP and the frequency with which these procedures will be performed $\Gamma$ 417.2 (c) (4)).	
CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	
The HACCP plan does not identify the corrective ection to be followed in response to a deviation from a critical limit at a CCP (*417.2 (a) (5)).	
VERIFICATION PROCEDURES	
The HACCP plan does not list the procedures that the establishment will use to verify that the plan is being effectively implemented and the frequency with which these procedures will be performed if 417.2 (c) (7)).	<b>✓</b>
	{
The HACCP plan's recordiceping system does not document the monitoring of CCP's and/or does not include records with the actual values and observations   417.2 (c) (6)).	
ACCEPTANCE AND REASSESSMENT (* 417.2 (d))	
The responsible setablishment official did not sign and date the HACCP plan	
(1) upon initial acceptance, or	
(2) at least ennually thereafter upon required plan reassessment.	
MODIFICATION	
The HACCP plan was modified, and the responsible establishment official did not sign and	1

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE HACCP SYSTEMS BASIC COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST STABLY MANUELLBATES ESTABLISHMENT NO. Helves + Quarters **Equine** IMPLEMENTATION DATE **NEW PRODUCT** REASSESSMENT OATE [Yearly: Check for deted signature only] Use this checklist to document findings of concompliance with the requirements set out in FSIS Directive 5000.1, Part Two, Paragraph II.B. REQUIREMENT INSTIAL HAZARD ANALYSIS (\*417.2 (c)) The establishment has not conducted a hazard analysis or had a hazard analysis conducted for it. The hazard analysis does not include food safety hazards that are reasonably likely to occur in the production process, or does not identify the preventive measures the establishment can apply to those food safety hezerd (ei The hazard analysis does not include a flow chart that describes tdiagrams; the steps of each process and product flow in the establishment. CEVELOPMENT The hezard analysis does not identify the intended use or consumers of finished product (e). INITIAL PLAN DEVELOPMENT ('417.2 (c) (4). '417.3 (a) (2), and '417.4 (a) (1)) HAZARD ANALYSIS AND HACCP PLAN The establishment's hazard analysis revealed one or more food safety hazards that are reasonably likely to occur, and the establishment does not have a written HACCP plan for each of its products ('417.2 (b) (1); '304.3 (c) or '381.22 (o)). The establishment has not conducted validation ectivities to determine that a HACCP plan is functioning as intended. The establishment's records do not include multiple results that verily the monitoring of CCP's and conformance with critical limits, or after a deviation from a critical limit lif anyl, subsequent results that support the adequacy of corrective action (s) in achieving control at the CCP. SUBSECUENT ANALYSIS AND PLAN DEVELOPMENT HAZARD ANALYSIS REASSESSMENT After an establishment's hazard analysis revealed no food safety hazarde that are reasonably likely to occur, there was a change that could reasonably effect whether a food safety hazard exists, the establishment did not reassess the edequacy of the hazard analysis ('417.4 (b)). NEW PRODUCT (' 304.3 (c) or ' 381,22 (c)) (1) Before producing new product for distribution, the establishment did not conduct a hezard analysis for have a hezard analysis conducted for itl, or did not have an applicable HACCP plan for the product. 121 The establishment began distributing a new product more than 90 days ago. and it has not validated the HACCP plan that covers the new product,

FSIS FORM 5000-t (9/97)