## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE : PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO : ANIMALS, et al., :

:

Plaintiffs,

.

v. : Case No. 03-2006 (EGS/JMF)

:

RINGLING BROS. AND BARNUM & BAILEY CIRCUS, et al.,

.

Defendants.

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO COMPEL DOCUMENTS SUBPOENAED FROM THE WILDLIFE ADVOCACY PROJECT

# ATTACHMENT A

Washington, DC

```
Page 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 2
                                          - X
 3
     AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION
 4
     OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS, et al.,
                                             : Civ. No.
                     Plaintiffs,
 5
                                             : 03-02006
         v.
     RINGLING BROTHERS AND BARNUM & BAILEY: (EGS)
 6
     CIRCUS, et al.,
                    Defendants.
 7
 8
                            Washington, D.C.
 9
                            Thursday, October 12, 2006
1.0
               Videotaped deposition of TOM E. RIDER, called
11
     for examination by counsel for the Plaintiffs in the
12
     above-entitled matter, pursuant to notice, the witness
13
     being duly sworn by CARLA L. ANDREWS, a Notary Public
14
     in and for the District of Columbia, taken at the
15
     offices of Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal at 1601
16
     Connecticut Avenue, Northwest, Suite 700, Washington,
17
     D.C. 20009-1056, at 9:27 a.m., Thursday, October 12,
18
     2006, and the proceedings being taken down by Stenotype
19
     by CARLA L. ANDREWS and transcribed under her
20
     direction.
21
22
```

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider

Washington, DC Page 122 block seven that you were paid by that organization two years? 1 \$33,600 in non-employee compensation during 2005? A No. 2 3 O Do you know any reason why you might go to 3 Q Do you have any reason to dispute that 4 iail in the next two years? 4 number? 5 5 A No. A No. 6 Q Do you lack the financial means to be a trial 6 Q If you could turn to the next document on top 7 witness in this case? 7 of it for 2004. 8 A No. 8 A Okay. 9 O Do you refuse to accept a subpoena if one of 9 Q It states that in block seven that -- and I 10 the plaintiff organizations gave you one for trial? 10 will use WAP for brevity. When I say Wildlife Advocacy A Would I -- no, I would not refuse a summons 11 11 Project, I mean, WAP, same thing. 12 or subpoena. 12 A Sure. 13 MR. SIMPSON: Just to clear up an objection I 13 Q Is it true, sir, WAP paid you \$23,940 in 14 made when we started this. I got confused between 14 2004? Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 1. I thought one was 15 15 A I was -- that would total the grant, I guess. the interrogatory. It was not. I am sorry. I didn't 16 16 O Do you recall receiving this document in 2004 mean to make the objection to that document. I meant 17 17 before 2004? to make the objection to number four, which is the 18 18 answers to the interrogatories. So I withdraw the 19 A Yes. 19 Q If you could look at the one on top of that objection to number one, and I make the objection to 20 20 for 2003. I direct your attention, sir, to block 21 number four just so the record is clear. 21 seven. Is it true that WAP paid you \$7,336 in 2003? Let's mark this as Defendant's Exhibit 1. 22 22 Page 125 Page 123 That was the amount of the grants, yes. (Defendant's Exhibit No. 1, marked for 1 And did you receive a copy of this 2000 -- of 2

identification.)

BY MR. SIMPSON:

O Mr. Rider, let me show you what we have 4 marked for identification as Defendant's Exhibit 1, 5 which are a series of IRS forms 1099 for the years 6 2002, 2003, '04 and'05, Bates numbered 398 through, it 7 looks like, 401, although it looks like it might have 8 been gotten cut off. And for the record, these documents were produced in discovery by an entity 10

called the Wildlife Advocacy Project. 11 Sir, have you had a chance to examine this 12 document or these pages? Would you please do so? 13

14

Q If you could turn to the last page first, the 15 form for 2005. 16

A Okay.

O Do you recall receiving a document from the 18

Wildlife Advocacy Project for 2005 that looks like 19

20 this?

17

22

3

A Yes. 21

Q All right. Is it true, sir, when it says in

2 this 1099 from WAP? 3

4 A Yes.

And for the final one, sir, on top block 5 O seven, is it correct that WAP paid you \$7,773.34 in 6 7 2002?

A Yes, that would be the amount of the grant.

Q And did you, in fact, receive this 1099 from 9

WAP for this year? 10

A For 2002, yes.

All right. Did you declare these amounts

reflected on these 1099's on your federal income tax 13

14 return?

8

11

12

15

17

A No.

You did not? 16 O

A No.

Q For any of the years in question? 18

A No. That's income tax. 19

So just so we are clear, you did not declare 20

the money listed on the 1099 for 2005 on your federal 21

income tax return? 22

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider

Washington, DC Page 128 Page 126 A grant was issued in the amount of \$500, A No. 2 Q For that year? yes. 2 You call it a grant, but it was a check; is 3 Q A No. 3 that correct, a check? 4 O And you didn't declare the money that was 4 A Oh, it was a check, yes. 5 listed in 2004 1099 on your federal tax return for tha 5 And you took that check and cashed it? 6 Q year; is that correct? 6 7 Yes. A Right. That's income tax return, right? Α 7 O And when you got that check, it was delivered 8 8 Yeah. to you usually in the mail; is that correct? 9 And you didn't declare the money listed on 9 0 the 1099 for 2003 on your tax return for that year; is 10 A No. 10 O How was it generally delivered to you? 11 11 that true? A Usually FedEx. 12 12 A True. O Federal Express? 13 O And you didn't declare the money listed on 13 A Federal Express. the 1099 for 2002 on your tax return; is that correct? 14 14 O When that Federal Express package was 15 15 delivered to you, it would come with a cover letter, Q Do you have copies of your federal tax 16 16 would it not? 17 17 returns? A Yes, sir. 18 A No. I didn't file a federal tax return --18 O That cover letter was usually from the 19 non-income. 19 Wildlife Advocacy Project, was it not? 20 O So I am clear on this, sir, for the year 2005 20 did you file a tax return with the Internal Revenue 21 A Yes, sir. 21 O And it was usually signed by Eric 22 Service? 22 Page 129 Page 127 Glitzenstein, was it not? 1 1 A No, sir. A Majority of the time, yes. Q Did you file a tax return for the year 2004 2 2 O And he, to the best of your knowledge, is the 3 with the Internal Revenue Service? 3 president of WAP, is he not -- excuse me -- WAP? A An income tax return? No. 4 A At that time I assume I guess he is. That, I 5 O Did you file a tax return with the IRS for 5 wouldn't know. I don't know who the president of the 6 6 2003? 7 organization is. 7 A No, sir. Q But he would write you letters on WAP 8 Q Did you file one for 2002 with the IRS? 8 9 letterhead? 9 A No. sir. A So I expect he is the president. I mean, O When was the last time you filed one with the 10 10 that's assumption. 11 IRS? 11 O Okay. You assume that? A That would have been with -- 19 -- that would 12 12 A I assume he is. 13 have 90 -- for the year '98, I was at Ringling Brothers 13 O And Mr. Glitzenstein is also your lawyer in and the year before that and I believe the year before 14 14

O Are you planning to file a tax return for 16 2006? 17

A If I have income.

15

18

19

that.

Q Take the year, for example, 2006, sir. And

when you received money from WAP, isn't it true that 20

you were paid by WAP in the form of a check for \$500 21

roughly every two weeks? 22

this case, is he not? 15

A I believe that Kathy Meyer is my lawyer. 16

O So do you know whether Mr. Glitzenstein represents you in this case or not?

A Well, the law firm of Meyer and Glitzenstein 19 represents me. My attorney is Kathy and Kim. 20

O You have more than one attorney. But my 21 22

question, sir, is does Eric Glitzenstein to your

17

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider Washington, DC

Page 130

knowledge represent you in the lawsuit that we are here 1 today to taking this deposition? 2

MS. MEYER: I am going to object. That has been asked and answered.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

3

4

5

6

7

22

- Q You can answer the question unless she is instructing you not to.
- A To the best of my knowledge, Kathy and Kim 8 are my attorneys who work for Meyer and Glitzenstein. 9
- Q Did you review the complaint in this case 10 before it was -- well, let me just say this. Yeah, the 11 complaint in this case before it was filed? 12
- A Did I review the complaint? You mean the 13 actual lawsuit filing? 14
- Q Yeah, the document --15
- A I did not review it before it was filed. 16
- That was back in 2000 now. 17
- Q I am talking about the one that was filed in 18 this case September 26, 2003? 19
- A Sorry. What was filed in 2003? Is that the 20
- initial -- the initial lawsuit? 21
  - Q Maybe you don't know about all the -- what's

O Does it surprise you or not?

A No, I am not surprised. But I am surprised 2 3 in the sense -- I am not surprised, but he is not the person that I deal with. 4

(Defendant's Exhibit No. 2, marked for identification.)

#### BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q Let me show you, sir, what we have marked for identification as Defendant's Exhibit 2, which for identification is a September 26, '05, letter to Dear Tom from Eric Glitzenstein. Do you recognize this

Page 132

Page 133

- 12 document, sir?
  - A Yes.
  - O Is this an example or let me put it this
- way. Is this illustrative of the types of cover 15
- letters that you would get from Mr. Glitzenstein with 16
- your payments from WAP? Illustrative is a bad word, 17 18 okay.
- 19 A I am not familiar with that word, sir.
- Q Is this an example of the kind of cover 20
- letter you would get from Mr. Glitzenstein when you got 21
- your payments from WAP? 22

Page 131

- going on, but let me just show you, sir, the complaint 1
- that was filed in this case on September 26, 2003. I 2
- direct your attention to page 22 where counsel for the 3 plaintiffs are listed. 4
- 5 A Okay.
- Q And does that surprise you, sir, that 6
- Mr. Glitzenstein's name is listed there and with his 7
- signature? 8 9
  - A No. No, I expect -- it looks like the law firm signed it. This is the original. This looks to
- 10 me like it was the original one that was filed. I am a 11
- little confused on that. I read this. But as far as 12
- paying attention to -- as I say, I don't -- in other 13
- words, I have communicated with Kathy and Kim at the 14
- 15 time. 16

21

- Q Well, the question, though, sir, was does it surprise you as you sit here today to find out
- 17 Mr. Glitzenstein signed the complaint as a counsel for 18
- plaintiffs in this case? 19 MS. MEYER: Objection. He already answered 20 that question.
- BY MR. SIMPSON: 22

Yes, sir. 1

- Were there times when you would come to this 2 O office and pick up cash from WAP? 3
- 4 A
- Did you ever get paid in cash from WAP? 5 O
- 6 Α
- 7 So it was either a check that you cashed; is 0 8
  - that correct?

9

12

17

18

- Yes, sir. Α
- Or deposited in someone else's account and 10 they gave you the money? 11
  - A No, sir.
- Did you ever deposit this in anyone else's 13 Q account? 14
- A Yes, for check-cashing purpose. I never 15 deposited in their account. 16
  - O In other words, you endorsed it to someone and they gave you the money back?
- A Because they had a bank account, for 19 instance. If they had a bank account and I had the 20 cash the check and I was able to cash it that way. 21
  - Q And the other way you got paid was through a

Tom E. Rider October 12, 2006
Washington, DC

Page 136 Page 134 Q How about the suit you are wearing? Is that Western Union money gram; is that correct? 1 1 one of your media expenses? A There was times when it was sent in Western 2 2 A I believe this was a gift, too. 3 Union. 3 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 3, marked for Do you have a job? 4 Q 4 5 No, sir. Α identification.) 5 Do you collect unemployment compensation? 6 0 BY MR. SIMPSON: 6 O Let me show you, sir, what we have marked as 7 Α 7 Do you collect food stamps? Defendant's No. 3. This is Exhibit No. 3 for 8 0 8 identification, which is a document produced by WAP in 9 No, sir. Α 9 Aid for Families with Dependent Children? this case entitled the Wildlife Advocacy Project custom Q 10 10 transaction detail report, all transactions. Have you 11 A No. sir. 11 Do you have any other source of income as we 12 O ever seen this before? 12 now speak other than what you get in financial 13 A No, sir. 13 assistance from the WAP? O It indicates in here -- you can just glance 14 14 A No. sir. through it -- numerous entries indicating a payment to 15 15 Tom Rider for media expenses? 16 Q How much are they going to pay you this year, 16 17 do you know? A Yes, sir. 17 A I don't know what the grants will be this Q Did you understand what media expenses means? 18 18 19 year. A Yes, sir. 19 Do you have any estimate about how much you 20 O What does media expense mean? 20 have been paid from January 1, 2006 till today? 21 A Any and all expenses that I incur on the road 21 A No, sir, I don't. I could probably figure it in the process of my public appearances on behalf of 22 22 Page 137 Page 135 out. It has been basically the same this year. 1 the elephants. 1 Q So you expect it could be as much as \$33,600? Q And so what would those expenses be, sir? 2 2 A If that's what the grant comes to. That's --A Any and everything that it takes to live in a 3 3 sometimes you have other expenses like I could break 4 Volkswagen van. 4 down in my van. 5 Q So that would be your food? 5 Q Now, have you ever had an expense that you A It would be food, gas, laundry, oil, gas for 6 6 have incurred, a media expense, for example, that you my generator, you know, very little entertainment. I 7 7 have not paid for with your media expense money from don't -- that's any and all expenses that I incur on 8 WAP but that someone else has paid on our behalf with 9 9 the road. 10 credit card? O Does it include your clothing? 10 A Yes, sir. If I needed my clothes washed or I A From my media? Beginning of the question 11 11 when -- has anybody paid? needed a new shirt or something because one was getting 12 12 O Let me re-ask it. It's a bad question. 13 raggedy. 13 There are certain things you go out and buy with the Q Did WAP buy your shirt that you are wearing 14 14 money you get from WAP, correct? 15 today? 15 A Yes. 16 A I bought it with -- for the purpose of the 16 Food, clothing, correct, gasoline for your 17 doing media. 17 van, other things that you actually buy yourself, 18 Q So the shirt that you are wearing today is 18 19 correct? one of your media expenses? 19 A For whatever it takes me to live. 20 A Yes, sir. 20 O Now, are there things that WAP provides to 21 O Is the tie? 21 you that you don't pay for but somebody else let's you A No, no. The tie was a gift. 22

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider Washington, DC

Page 138

charge to their credit card? 1

MS. MEYER: I am going to object on the 2 grounds that that's a compound question. You have two 3 parts to that question. Maybe you should break it 4 down. 5

## BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q Have you ever gone, sir, and rented a hotel room and paid for it with someone else's credit card in the context of what you claim is your media
- involvement? 10

6

7

9

13

- A Has anyone ever used their credit card to pay 11 for a hotel room? Is that what you are asking? 12
  - O Well, that's a good question. Answer that.
- A Yeah, I would say -- let me think. Anyone 14 other than the Wildlife Advocacy Project. 15
- Anybody, period, whether it includes them or 16
- not? 17 A It would have been Pat Derby at PAWS when I 18
- spoke to Congress. I expect they paid with a credit 19 card. I wouldn't know -- you know, at that time there 20
- might have been a time when I was -- when we were maybe 21
- in between funds or something where somebody could 22

3

7

8

9

10

11

16

21

22

7

8

14

- you stayed at a hotel in Lincoln, Nebraska called the 1 Lincoln Inn? 2
  - A I didn't know where it was.
- Q The question is, did there come a point when 4 you stayed at a hotel in Lincoln, Nebraska called the 5 Lincoln Inn, yes or no? 6
  - A Yes.
  - O And you were there, were you not, to testify before the Nebraska Legislature on a bill that concerned Asian elephants; is that correct?
    - Yes, sir.
- When you stayed at this hotel in Lincoln, 12 O
- Nebraska for what looks to be a week, that hotel bill 13
- was paid on the credit card of Wildlife Advocacy 14
- Project Eric Glitzenstein; is that correct? 15
  - A Yes.
- Now, using this as an example of using 17 Q
- someone else's credit card, is this procedure something 18
- that frequently happens to you or is this a rare 19
- 20 occurrence?
  - A Very infrequent.
  - Has it happened more than once?

Page 139

- have. But to the best of my knowledge, I can't
- remember exactly because I don't have a credit card. 2
- So I will not use my credit card other than, okay, I 3
- had a debit card that I used to use. Is that what you 4
- are talking about? I am a little confused on this 5
- question. 6 7
  - MR. SIMPSON: All right. Let me mark this,
- 8 then.

11

12

- (Defendant's Exhibit No. 4, marked for 9 identification.)
- 10
  - BY MR. SIMPSON:
  - Q Sir, let me show you what we have marked as
- Defendant's Exhibit 4 for identification, which was a 13
- document -- a three-page document produced in this case 14
- pursuant to the subpoena that was served by us on WAP, 15
- Bates numbered 395 through 397. This is how it was 16
- produced. It looks like a fax cover sheet to the 17
- Lincoln Inn together with a xeroxed copy of the front 18
- and back of a Visa card issued in the name of Wildlife 19
- Advocacy Project, Eric Glitzenstein. 20
- Now, my question to you, sir, with this 21
- document in front of you, did there come a time when 22

Page 141

Page 140

- A It may have under the -- not -- once or twice 1 that I -- it is so infrequent I could not recall when 2 3 it happened.
- Q Has this same procedure with using someone 4 else's credit card been used by you for any other 5 purpose other than a hotel room? 6
  - A Other than a hotel room, I couldn't recall at this time.
- MR. SIMPSON: All right. I just got a note 9
- we need to change the tape; is that correct? 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of tape 11
- two of the deposition of Tom Rider. Going off the 12 record. The time is 1329:41. 13
  - (A brief recess was held.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning 15
- of tape three of the deposition of Tom Rider. Going 16
- back on the record. The time is 1332:16. 17
- (Defendant's Exhibit No. 5, marked for 18
- 19 identification.)
- BY MR. SIMPSON: 20
- Q Mr. Rider, let me show you what's marked as 21
- Defendant's Exhibit 5, which is a series of xeroxed 22

Tom E. Rider

### Washington, DC

Page 144 Page 142 name. I use my grant to pay for my --1 checks front and back. And I will represent to you, 1 O You use the money provided by WAP to pay the sir, that it was produced by WAP in this case, Bates 2 insurance premiums; is that correct? numbered 408 through 501. I am not going to go through 3 3 A Yes, sir. obviously every one of these. But I would like you, 4 4 O Did you use the money provided by WAP to pay 5 sir, if you can look just at the top document and the 5 for the registration on this car? top two, which has been represented is the front and 6 6 7 A Yes, sir. back of these cancelled checks? 7 O Has WAP provided you with any vehicle other 8 A Okay. 8 than the one you just discussed? 9 Q And the question, sir, is this an example of 9 the type of check you had received over the years from 10 No. sir. A 10 Did you have a vehicle prior to April 12, 11 Q WAP? 11 12 2005? A Yes, sir. 12 O And just for the record on page 409, is that 13 Α Yes, sir. 13 What happened to that car? your signature on the back of these checks? 14 14 I blew an engine. 15 Α A Yes, sir. 15 You got rid of it? (Defendant's Exhibit No. 6, marked for 16 Q 16 A I blew an engine. Yeah, I got rid of it when 17 identification.) 17 I got this one. 18 BY MR. SIMPSON: 18 Q Were there times prior to April 12, 2005, in 19 Q I am going to show you, sir, what we have 19 which you were provided money to repair that vehicle? marked as Defendant's Exhibit 6 for identification. 20 20 A Yes. This is an April 12, 2005, memorandum to Tom Rider from 21 21 And who provided that money? Katherine Meyer. Do you recognize this document, sir? 22 O 22 Page 145 Page 143 A Fund for Animals through the -- I believe at 1 A Yes, sir. 1 that time, yeah, it was minor -- yeah, I believe it was 2 Did you receive it on or after April 12, 2 Q Wildlife Advocacy Fund for Animals when it broke down. 3 2005? 3 4 Q And when was that, sir? A Yes, sir. 4 A Well, it was just before the initiative in 5 Q It indicates in here, sir, that enclosed was 5 Denver two and a half or two -- it would have been a check for \$5,500 to allow you to purchase a used 6 6 probably October 2003 roughly -- it was in October --7 van. Was such a check attached? 7 oh, wait a minute. Was it -- because I had to fix it 8 Yes, sir. 8 Α and go to Denver for the initiative. I am a little --9 Did you, in fact, take that check and 9 I am sure that it was in '93 or 2003. But I am not 10 purchase a used van? 10 sure of the exact month because I would have to look up 11 A Yes, sir. 11 when the initiative in Denver was. 12 Q Is that the van you currently have? 12 Q Okay. Other than this Fund for Animal 13 Yes, sir. 13 situation that you have just described, has anyone else 14 Who is that van registered in the name of? 14 O on any other occasion provided you money to repair a 15 Tom Rider. 15 Α vehicle since -- let me put it this way -- since May of 16 Q Who pays the insurance? 16 2001? 17 Tom Rider. 17 Α MS. MEYER: I have got to object because this Q Do you pay that insurance out of your media 18 18 line of questioning, I thought, was concerning the 19 expenses? 19 previous vehicle. And now you have switched to both 20 That's part of my travel expenses, yeah. 20 Α So WAP pays for your insurance? 21 vehicles. 21 Q MR. SIMPSON: Well, we are talking about the 22 A No. I pay for my insurance. It is in my 22

October 12, 2006

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider Washington, DC

Page 146 Page 148 O So it is a wash. Is that what you were 1 prior vehicle. 1 2 saying? MS. MEYER: Okay. That wasn't clear from 2 3 A No. I am just saying that I -- no, I haven't 3 your last question. 4 filed. It is a grant. 4 BY MR. SIMPSON: O But when you subtract your expenses, is there We are talking about the prior vehicle, the 5 5 anything left over? one you had before the one you now have that WAP paid 6 6 for. And what I am talking about is the other 7 Α No, no. 7 And I want to focus on the period since 2001. vehicle -- by the way, what was it? 8 8 9 Α 9 A Volkswagen van. The last five years. O All right. The old Volkswagen van was 10 Q 10 repaired once by Fund for Animals; is that correct? A Okay. 11 11 Putting aside WAP, have you received any A Yes, before I went to Denver. 12 12 money during that time frame from the American Society O Has it had been prepared by anybody else? 13 13 for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals? A Let me think. 14 14 O Other than the Fund for Animals? A Yes. 15 15 MS. MEYER: Objection. When you say repaired How much have they paid you? 0 16 16 A I believe it was -- I know there was a \$5,000 17 by, you are not talking about the shop that repaired 17 grant and there was a thousand that I can recall. And 18 18 it? past that, it was while I was on Greyhound, it was a MR. SIMPSON: I will rephrase the question. 19 19 \$250 grant a week. BY MR. SIMPSON: 20 20 Q And do you know how long that 250 per week 21 O Have the repairs on that old Volkswagen van 21 22 lasted? been paid for by anyone else in addition to the Fund 22

Page 147

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A A week. Oh, you mean --
- Q For how long a period of time were you

getting \$250 a week from ASPCA? 3

A It wasn't steady. You know, I would really 4 have to sit down and break it down. Up until 5 6

probably -- I am not sure. I would have to break it down. You know, it was some and it was periodically.

- O Do you have any estimate as you sit here today about what the total amount paid by ASPCA to you was during this time frame?
- A I guess maybe 10, 15, 16,000, somewhere in that. It could be more. I am not familiar with -- I don't do their books, so.
- Q During this same period, sir, have you been paid any money by the Animal Welfare Institute?
- A I received grants from them.
- O How much money have you received from them? MS. MEYER: Could I just object? When you say during the same period, are you -- it is not clear whether you are talking about the same period he was
- 20 receiving money from ASPCA or from 2001 to the present. 21 22
  - BY MR. SIMPSON:

for Animals? 1

10

15

- 2 A Yes. On that van there was, yes.
- Who provided such --3 Q
- A My daughter. 4
- O Anyone else? 5
- A Not that I recall. 6
- Q When was the last time you received a payment 7 from WAP? 8
- A I received a grant this week. 9
  - Q And how much was it?
- A Five hundred a week for two weeks at a time, 11
- so it is a thousand dollar grant. 12
- O Now, you call this a grant. Is that your 13 terminology or that's something --14
  - That's what it is. It is a grant.
- O And is that the basis upon which you decided 16
- not to declare it as income? 17
- A It is a grant. I am not familiar with all 18 the laws concerning the IRS, but I consider that a 19
- grant. And after taking out my expenses, I mean, there 20
- is not enough to file taxes. You have to make -- if I 21
- had a job, I would have to make so much money. 22

Page 149

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider Washington, DC

3

4

7

9

14

21

2

4

Page 150

- O During the period since 2001, sir -- well, 1
- let's put it this way. During the period -- well, 2
- that's a good time frame. Since 2001, how much money
- have you received from the Animal Welfare Institute?
- A Well, again, from the actual -- that's going 5 to be -- that would be a guess, and I would rather not
- just guess at this. It is not right. Q All right. But they have paid you something; 8
- 9 is that correct?
- A They have issued grants, but -- I believe 10
- there was twice where they actually had physically sent 11
- me a check and once I know for sure. I am going to say
- once that I know of for sure, which was in Florida. I 13
- believe that was for \$500 for media down in Florida. I 14
- can vouch for that. The rest of it not -- I wouldn't 15
- have any way of really telling what they put into it. 16
- Q Since 2000 --17
- A Maybe --18
- 19 Q I'm sorry. Are you finished?
- A Yeah, I am done. Sorry. 20
- Since 2001, sir, and putting aside the 21
- vehicle repair payment, has the Fund for Animals paid 22

Page 152

Page 153

- Glitzenstein is a law firm. And if you are talking
- about them giving me grants, I can't recall. 2
  - O Since 2001 have you received any money from the Animal Protection Institute?
- 5 A Yes, \$50.
- O Other than Mr. Glitzenstein, Ms. Meyer, and 6
  - their law firm, have you received since 2001 any money
- from any other lawyer involved in this case? 8
  - A No.
- Do you have a laptop computer? 10 Q
- 11 Α Yes.
- Who provided that? 12 Q
- A gentleman in California who -- friend. 13
  - Q All right. Is that -- who is that person?
- His name? 15
- A Rich. 16
- Rich what? 17 O
- A I believe it is Rubin. 18
- O Is he associated with any animal welfare or 19
- animal advocacy to your knowledge? 20
  - A Not to my knowledge. He is a friend of
- 22 animals.

Page 151

- you any money? 1
- A Other than the one time I talked about? 2
- 3 Yes. Q
- Oh, other than fixing the car, not that I can 4 Α
- 5 recall. 6
  - Q Since 2001 have you -- other than the payments you have received from the Wildlife Advocacy
- 7 Project, have you received any payments directly from 8
- 9 Eric Glitzenstein?
- 10 A No.
- O Since 2001 other than payments that you have 11 received from the Wildlife Advocacy Project, have you 12
- received any payments from Katherine Meyer? 13
- 14
- Since 2001 other than the payments from 15 Q
- Wildlife Advocacy Project, have you received any mone 16
- from the law firm Meyer, Glitzenstein, and Crystal? 17
- 18 A Yeah -- yes.
- Q How much? 19
- A Well, it was when my sister died. No, that 20
- wasn't from the firm, no. I am going to have to say--21
  - because I am little confused here because Meyer and

- 1 Q What do you mean by that?
  - A He likes elephants.
- Q Do you have a cell phone? 3
  - Α
- 5 Who provides that? Let me rephrase. Who
- pays for that cell phone? 6
- A I believe that's out of the grants for the --7
- I believe it is WAP, Wildlife Advocacy Project. 8
- Q All right. And how long have you had that 9 10 cell phone?
- A Oh, that's -- I have had a cell phone at 11
- different times during the whole time. Like when I was 12
- with the ASPCA, I had a cell phone. So I would say 13
- from -- I don't know when the bills started -- a year 14
- 15 or two.

16

- Q During the period since 2001, have you had a cell phone the entire time?
- 17 A Not the entire time but majority of it. 18
- Q For the time when you did have a cell phone, 19
- was there any point in time when you paid the bill with 20
- money other than money provided to you by WAP or ASPCA? 21
  - A No.

Tom E. Rider October 12, 2006
Washington, DC

Page 204 Page 202 A I never worked for them. 1 him. 1 Q Was there a point in time when you had any What kept you from doing that? 2 2 relationship at all with PAWS? 3 A Because I was going to Europe with those 3 three elephants. And Kenneth Feld is untouchable 4 A Yes. 4 What was the nature of that relationship? 5 0 5 basically. Equal interest in elephants. 6 What kept you from writing him a letter? 6 Q And what was the time period in which you had I don't know the man. I don't know him. 7 7 Α the relationship that you just described? Do you There was nothing that kept you from writing 8 8 remember that? 9 a letter, was it? 9 A Approximately three days after I returned to 10 A Yes. He was very good friends with Dicky 10 the United States up until May of 2001. So it would be Chipperfield, so that could have prevented me from 11 11 from 2000 to 2001. Approximately 14 to 15 months. 12 working and getting fired in England. 12 O During that time period that you just Q The Chipperfields might have fired you as a 13 13 described, did PAWS pay you any money? 14 result. Is that your testimony? 14 Not -- I was given a grant each week for my That's what I am going to say, yes, because 15 15 Mr. Chipperfield and Mr. Feld, I guess, are pretty good 16 food. 16 Who gave you the grant? friends. And it is not my position that I should have 17 Q 17 PAWS. to go anyone further than my supervisor at Ringling. 18 Α 18 How much was the grant each week? 19 0 When I tell Randy Peterson, that should be it. 19 20 It was \$50. Q There was nothing that kept you when you quit Α 20 Did PAWS provide you with any other benefit your job at Ringling Brothers from going to the USDA 21 O 21 during that time frame that you described? 22 over this, either, was there? Page 205 Page 203 Housing. 1 Yes. 1 Α Where was the housing located? 2 Q What? 2 Q I believe it was the Royal Delta Inn in Galt, 3 Α A I was on a boat going to Europe. 3 Q You still could have written them a letter, 4 California. 4 Did PAWS provide you any other benefit? 5 couldn't you? They don't get mail in England? 0 5 A Who would I write to? The USDA? I don't 6 Α 6 During that time frame, March 2000 to May of 7 know who I would write to. 7 2001, did you have any other source of income? 8 Q You ultimately made a complaint to the USDA; 8 9 Α 9 is that correct? Q Did you have any other employment during that 10 A Oh yes, sir. 10 MS. MEYER: Can we take a break at some point time frame? 11 11 A No. I was not employed during that time like a 10-minute break? We have been going for --12 12 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 10, marked for 13 MR. SIMPSON: This is fine. 13 identification.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The 14 14 BY MR. SIMPSON: 15 time is 1433:06. 15 Q Let me show you, sir, what we have marked as 16 16 (A recess was held.) Defendant's Exhibit 10, which was produced in this case THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record. 17 17 as TR 0001. It is a letter, dated May 14, 2001, 18 The time is 1447:54. 18 apparently from Tom Rider to Pat Derby. Do you 19 BY MR. SIMPSON: 19 recognize this, sir? Q Mr. Rider, did there come a time, sir, when 20 20 Yes, sir. you went to work for an organization called the 21 21 Performing Animal Welfare Society or PAWS, P-A-W-S? Q Is it your signature? 22

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider

Washington, DC			DC
	Pag	ge 206	Page 208
1	A Yes, sir.	1	A Yes, of the elephants. I'm sorry.
2	Q Did you send this letter to Pat Derby on	or 2	Q And when you appeared at these press
3	about May 14, 2001?	3	conferences, were you represented PAWS?
4	A Yes, sir.	4	A No. I was representing myself.
5	Q Did you write this letter?	5	Q Did you appear at these press conferences
6	A Yes, sir.	6	with other people associated with PAWS?
7	Q So these are your words?	7	A Yes.
8	A Yes, sir.	8	Q Who were those people?
9	Q It states in here that in the first line	9	A It would have been Pat Derby. I am trying to
10	that you had a security job at PAWS; is that con	rect? 10	think. It may have been Ed Stewart. But Pat was
11	Well, let me say the letter states that, correct?	11	usually there with me.
12	A Right.	12	Q In the middle of this first full paragraph or
13	Q Did you have such a job at PAWS?	13	the large paragraph beginning, "I want to thank you,"
14	A I was instructed by Pat Derby to open the	ne 14	you make a reference to being on PAWS payroll. Do yo
15	gate for anyone who came down to the gate.	15	see that?
16	Q This was the gate to the property?	16	A Yes, in the middle paragraph?
17	A It was a gate that was on the sanctuary.	17	Q Right. It is the sentence that begins, but
18	Q So you were like the night watchman?	18	then, when you settled your lawsuit with Ringling.
19	A No. I was there to open the gate for any	one 19	A Yes, okay. Ask the question again.
20	who came down to go through that gate.	20	Q The reference is to PAWS' payroll, being on
21	Q To get out of the property?	21	PAWS payroll. And the question, sir, is other than
22	A No. It was in the middle of the property	<i>r</i> . 22	what you have already testified to in terms of the
	•		
	Doo	e 207	Page 209
	_	- 1	- The state of the
1	Q Did you have any other duty at PAWS other		grant and the housing, was there anything else you got
2	than the gate duty?	2	from PAWS for being on their payroll?
3	A I watered plants. But that was on my own	3	MS. MEYER: I am going to object to the

- accord because nobody could water the trees. 4
  - Did they have elephants at that time?
- Α No. 6

5

12

13

- Did they have other animals at that time? 7 Q
- 8 Α No.
- Q Did you have any involvement with any of PAW\$ 9 advocacy press relations or any other types of similar 10
- activities? 11
  - A I am sorry. Yes.
    - And what did you do in that regard?
- Some press conferences, any media that Pat 14 A wanted me to. 15
- 16
  - How many times did you do that?
- Probably six to 10 times. About six to 10 17 A
- 18 times.
- Q And what was the nature of your involvement 19 in these press conferences? What did you talk about? 20
- The abuse I saw at Ringling Brothers. 21
- Of the elephants? 22 Q

question because you are confusing Mr. Rider. The sentence said that two other people told him that as long as he was on PAWS' payroll he could not do any media.

### BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q Did you regard yourself as being on PAWS 9 payroll? 10
- A No. 11

5

6

7

- Why not? 12
- A Because Pat Derby when I went up to the 13 sanctuary she told me, I am going to send you your 14
- check for your expenses in the form of a check because 15 it was 45 miles away. 16
- Q And you got such a check every two weeks --17 every week the entire time you were there? 18
- A I have to say I am not sure if it was over a 19 20 week or week and a half.
- O Let me ask you this. Did you declare the 21
- money you got from PAWS as income on your tax return? 22

5

19

21

4

5

7

8

17

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider Washington, DC

Page 210

- A No. That was a grant. No, I didn't.
- O And I think I may asked this, but did you 2
- file an income tax for that year that you were at PAWS? 3

1

4

- O Were you in this -- this letter makes 5
- reference to the fact that you quit your security job 6
- at PAWS last week. And this letter is dated May 14, 7
- 2001. So is it fair to say that you quit your security 8
- job at PAWS in the week of May 7, 2001? 9
- A It would have -- I would say, yes, I know 10
- that I was -- yes. I would say, yes. 11
- O So up until the time you quit, you had that 12
- security job at PAWS; is that correct? 13
- A It was not a security job. It was to open 14
- the gate with the key. She put me in the motor home by 15 a fence with a key and said, Would you open that for
- 16 17 me.
- Q All right. Let's call that the gate-keeping 18 job. Up until--19
- A It is called a gate keeping without job. 20
- O The gate-keeping function. Up until the time 21
- you quit, is it fair to say that you held that

- A They are my words. 1
  - Q So did she take your words down? How did the 2

Page 212

Page 213

- 3 affidavit come into being?
  - A I am not sure if she wrote it down or had a recorder, but we were in the room.
- Q And it was typed and then provided for you to 6 7 review?
- 8 A Sometimes.
- 9 O And did you understand that when you signed it you were under oath? 10
- A Oh, yes, sir. 11
- Q And you were subject to the penalties of 12
- perjury when you signed it? 13 14
  - A Sure.
- And was it your understanding that this was 15 0
- in connection -- this affidavit was in connection with 16
- an USDA investigation of Ringling Brothers and their 17
- treatment of elephants? 18
  - A Was -- did I do this affidavit because --
- 20 could you repeat the question?
  - Q Was it your understanding at the time that
- this affidavit was prepared that the affidavit was

Page 211

- gate-keeping function up until the time you quit in the 1 week of May 7, 2001? 2
  - A I was opening the gate up until that time.
- Q Okay. 4

3

5

6

- (Defendant's Exhibit No. 11, marked for identification.)
  - BY MR. SIMPSON:
- O Let me show you, sir, what we have marked as 8 Defendant's Exhibit 11, which is the seven-page 9
- affidavit, Plaintiff's Bates numbers 04458 through 10
- 04464. And it appears to have signatures at the bottom 11
- of each page. Do you recognize those signatures as 12
- yours, sir? 13
- 14 A Oh, yes, sir.
- Q Do you recognize this as an affidavit that --15 let me ask you this. What were the circumstances in 16
- which this affidavit was prepared?
- 17
- A This affidavit was prepared when I spoke to 18
- Diane Ward at the United States Department of 19
- Agriculture in Sacramento. 20
- O Are these words in this affidavit her words 21
- 22 or your words?

- for -- was done in connection with an USDA
- 1 investigation of Ringling Brothers treatment of Asian 2 elephants? 3
  - A No.
  - What was your understanding the purpose of
- this affidavit was? 6
  - A To start an investigation.
  - And is it your understanding that such an
- 9 investigation was started? 10
  - A I have no idea what they are doing.
- But this was submitted -- did you submit this 11
- to her or did someone else submit it for you? 12
- A No. This affidavit --13
- 14 0 Yes.
- Yeah, she was right. 15
- And you handed it to her? 16 Q
  - Yeah. Α
- 18 O And it was your intent at the time, sir, was
- it not, that the USDA review your affidavit and 19
- investigate Ringling Brothers, correct? 20
- A It was -- I made the report to the USDA. 21
- Whatever the USDA could do with it, they do with it. 22

11

12

1

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

Tom E. Rider October 12, 2006
Washington, DC

Page 282

1 that disclosed anywhere in there?

2 A No, it was -- I basically just remember.

There were so many incidences of abuse that to name them it would take a few years. You know, it is very,

5 very complicated.

6

7

Q So you just remembered the Roy kicking incident today?

8 A I remember that because I believe he quit 9 like a day later or so. This was -- we had employees 10 in and out.

11 Q But you just remembered that day?

12 A Maybe last night.

13 Q Within the last couple of days?

14 A Yeah.

15 Q That's recent?

16 A Uh-huh.

MR. SIMPSON: All right. I am going to pass the witness at this time. However, as you know, I made an objection as to how this deposition came. And I am going to mark this as the next exhibit, which I faxed

21 over here yesterday. And then of course our

22 position -- this is Defendant's Exhibit 17. Our

Page 284

1 A Travel around the United States doing media,

2 speaking to conferences. If some groups have

conferences, I speak to congressmen. I speak to
 senators, representatives -- House representatives in

5 different states. If I am -- if there is a bill before

6 that state, I go to that state and speak before the

7 public hearing that they have for such bills and

8 basically inform the public of the treatment of the9 elephants.

Q Okay. And when you say inform the public about the treatment of the elephants, are you speaking only about the Ringling Brothers' elephants?

A I am speaking about the elephants at Ringling
Brothers and elephants in general of their treatment in
their -- treatment in captivity at some -- sometimes I
talk about why I don't think elephants should be in

17 captivity, something like that.

18 Q And when you spoke to Mr. Simpson about the 19 kinds of expenses that you have, I wanted to make sure

20 that you gave him a complete answer about that. And m

21 question is, are there other expenses involved in

22 performing the kinds of activities that you just

Page 283

1 position is that we can't complete the cross without

doing the other discovery we think we are entitled to

3 get. But subject to the objection stated in

4 Defendant's 17, I have completed the cross-examination

5 of this witness, subject to what we think we are going

6 to get to do later.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

(Defendant's Exhibit No. 17, marked for identification.)

MS. MEYER: Okay. And I need to take about a five-minute break to collect my thoughts before I do my redirect.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record. The time is 1622:06.

(A recess was held.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record.

16 The is 1642:39

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS

18 FOR THE PLAIN

19 BY MS. MEYER:

20 O Mr. Rider, what is it that you do for the

21 Wildlife Advocacy Project in exchange for the grants

22 that you receive?

Page 285

described that require you to put money out of pocket?

A Well, it would be occasional motel or hotel.

The occasional once in a great while I get to go to a

4 movie or something like that, a small bit of

entertainment. Other than that, it is the normal

function as of everyday day to day.

Q How about if you have to make copies of exhibits to hand out at a hearing? How would you pay for that?

10 A I would pay that for out of pocket. If I had 11 to make copies of press releases or something like 12 that, obviously I would take it out of the money. Any 13 and all things.

Q Okay. And that money -- would that money come from the grant money you received from the Wildlife Advocacy Project?

A Yes.

Q What about if you have to mail a letter and put postage on it? Where would that money come from?

20 A Grant money.

Q Do you ever have to take cabs in relationship

22 in terms of carrying out your public education

7

11

14

October 12, 2006 Tom E. Rider Washington, DC

Page 286

- activities on behalf of the Wildlife Advocacy Project? 1
- A In the first two and a half years, yes. More 2 so than in the end. 3
- Q And when you had to take cabs, how did you 4 5 pay for that?
  - A Out of the expense money.
- O And that would come out of the grant money 7 8 for the --
  - A Out of grant money.
- O Let me finish my question, if you don't mind. 10 That kind of expense would be paid out of the grant 11
- money from the Wildlife Advocacy Project as well? 12
- A Yes. 13

6

9

1

14

- O And I would like to draw your attention to --14
- I don't know the number of it. It is an exhibit that 15
- Mr. Simpson handed you. It is the May 14, 2001, letter 16
- that you sent to Pat Derby informing her that you were 17
- leaving or that you had left. I don't remember which 18
- 19 exhibit number this is.
- A I found it. Exhibit 10. 20
- Q It's Exhibit 10. 21
- A Defense Exhibit 10. 22

Ed Stewart, and no employee of PAWS can ever speak out

Page 288

Page 289

- against Ringling Brothers. 2 3
  - O Were you a party to that lawsuit?
  - A No, ma'am.
- O Were you -- did you ever see any copy of that 5 settlement that you are referring to? 6
  - A No, ma'am.
- O Did anyone ever ask you to review such a 8 9 settlement?
- 10 A No, ma'am.
  - MR. SIMPSON: Object to the leading.
- THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. No, ma'am. 12
- 13 BY MS. MEYER:
  - Q Did you ever sign any such settlement?
- 15 A No.
- Q And in response to a question you had from 16
- Mr. Simpson, I believe you testified that in your view 17
- a beating of an elephant would require the use of two
- hands. And my question is, would it always require the
- 20 use of two hands?
- 21 A No. I believe at the time we were trying to
- differentiate between three things. And it would not

Page 287

O So referring to Defense Exhibit 10, and if 1 you look again at the middle of that paragraph in the 2

5

- middle of the page that you were referring to when you
- gave testimony to Mr. Simpson, particularly the
- sentence that says, But then, when you settled your 5
- lawsuit with Ringling, you and Sharon told me that as 6
- long as I was on PAWS' payroll, I could not do any 7
- media against Ringling Brothers anymore. 8
- Do you see that sentence? 9
- A Yeah. Let me find it here. Right. 10
- O Are you with me? 11
- A No, I can't find it yet. I am still looking. 12
- O It is in the very middle of that paragraph. 13
  - A Oh, But then. I found it. Sorry. Yes.
- O If you could refer to that sentence. What is 15 the reference to settling your lawsuit with Ringling? 16
- What does that mean? 17
- A The PAWS organization had a -- I believe they 18
- filed a lawsuit against Ringling Brothers for -- I am 19
- not sure what it was called. It was like a RICO Act or 20
- something. And there was an out-of-the-court 21
- settlement reached at which time Pat Derby said myself, 22

- always take two hands. But normally it was two hands on the head.
- Q Did you ever see a beating of an elephant 3 with a bull hook where two hands was not used -- were 4
  - not used?
- MR. SIMPSON: Object to the leading question. 6
  - THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 8 BY MS. MEYER:
- Q And can you describe or even show us what 9 kind of action that was? 10
- A It was Pat Harned breaking a yellow 11
- fiberglass axe handle over the top of an elephant. I 12
- don't recall which one because they weren't chained up 13
- at the time. He broke the axe handle over his head. 14
- O How did he break the axe handle over his 15
- head? 16
- A Taking it and striking it right on top of the 17
- head. They have like -- right on top of the head and 18
- it snapped the fiberglass handle. 19
- O Were there other incidents where you saw a 20
- beating that did not require the use of two hands? 21 22
  - MR. SIMPSON: Objection. Leading.