## **PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 11**

To Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc.'s Motion for Leave to Amend Answers to Assert Additional Defense and Rico Counterclaim
Civ. No. 03-2006 (EGS/JMF)

Page 524 Page 526 1 (The document marked for identification as 1 Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 109 through 116 were 2 2 Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 108 was received in received in evidence.) 3 evidence.) 3 BY MR. HIRSCHKOP: 4 BY MR. HIRSCHKOP: 4 Q Mr. Smith, would you look at 116, please. Do you 5 O Look at the second page of 108. "The invoice for 5 have it, sir? the undercover operative that we have in place for Florence 6 A I got it. 6 7 Lambert is No. 9216, coded reference," quote, "C", end 7 There's a new code here, quote, T, end quote. Do quote, "for billing." 8 you remember what that is? 8 9 Does it refresh your recollection that C in that 9 A I don't know remember, no. bill is for Florence Lambert? 10 Q Would it refresh your recollection if I suggested 10 11 A I see the report. I take it to be accurate. that was the taxes that hadn't been paid by Mr. Froemming 12 Q And why did you have to have codes for all these 12 and you gave him extra amounts. Does that refresh your 13 people? Was it to hide it from your own finance 13 recollection? department? 14 A It could very well be. I said I don't recall. 14 MR. HIRSCHKOP: Your Honor, in the prior group it 15 A Of course not, 15 Q Well, Mr. Froemming brought these bills to you; 16 included 114-A when I moved in that group. 16 and you sent them to finance. Who else was going to see it 17 THE COURT: Yes, sir, I included everything that it had to be coded? 18 between 109 and 116, which included 114-A. 18 A Well, they're coded to keep track of where the 19 MR. HIRSCHKOP: Thank you. 19 20 20 costs are going. I move 117 in, Your Honor. O Couldn't you do it by name? Didn't you normally 21 THE COURT: 117 is admitted over objection. 21 22 (The document marked for identification as 22 get bills my name? Page 525 Page 527 1 A I got bills by name. 1 Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 117 was received in 2 2 O These bills that went to finance to be paid, evidence.) 3 MR. HIRSCHKOP: And I'd move 118 in, Your Honor. they're all coded, are they not; or are they by name? 4 THE COURT: 118 is admitted over objection. 4 A They're all coded. Every cost accounting system has codes in it. This is just a cost accounting system. 5 (The document marked for identification as 6 MR. HIRSCHKOP: I'd move into evidence, Your 6 Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 118 was received in 7 7 Honor, 102 through 107. evidence.) 8 THE COURT: If you come across something you R BY MR. HIRSCHKOP: 9 9 don't think ---Q Sir, were you seeking to get information on the 10 MR. CAWLEY: Yeah. Let me catch up. 10 Fund for Animals in New York, an operation run by THE COURT: -- is not a stipulation, let me know. Cleveland Amory, the author? 11 12 A I don't recall. I don't -- I don't recall. 12 MR. CAWLEY: Right. I was just making sure. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 102 through 107 will be 13 Q Look at this 118 and see if it refreshes your 14 recollection. 14 admitted over objection. 15 (The documents marked for identification as 15 A 118. Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 102 through 107 were 16 Q The fifth line down -- fifth paragraph -- I beg 16 17 received in evidence.) 17 your pardon -- "We also have plans for this operative to be MR. HIRSCHKOP: I move into evidence, Your Honor, 18 18 invited out to the Black Beauty Ranch in Texas to spend 19 109 through 116. 19 time with Cleveland Amory and to obtain the necessary 20 THE COURT: 109 through 116 are admitted over 20 background information for further action." objection. 21 Was The Fund for Animals one of the network that 21 22 (The documents marked for identification as 22 PETA controlled, according to your theory?

6

13

1

3

Page 1983

1 Q What do you recall about those reports in the

first several years, 1990, 1991, 1992?

A After looking at them, I don't recall seeing

4 them. Periodically, I mean, I had discussions about

5 animal rights and things that were happening. I don't

6 recall the reports in the early years.

Q Who was Chuck Smith?

8 A Chuck Smith was executive vice-president and

9 chief financial officer of the company.

10 Q Was he involved with, in some respect, all of

11 the companies that you have discussed?

12 A Yes, he was.

3

7

13

1

3

9

O What was the relative difference between your

14 role with respect to these corporations and

15 Mr. Smith's role?

16 A My role was primarily in the creative end. I

17 was the guy that put the shows together. I would go

18 all over the world looking for talent and would come

19 up with the creative concepts, hire a creative team

20 and really guide the various productions that we had.

21 Q In the years 1990, 1991, 1992, what is your

recollection with respect to how often you would

Page 1984

receive these reports? Did you get them regularly?

2 A I don't believe that I did.

Q Did you have discussions with Mr. Smith from

4 time to time about Mr. Froemming's work?

A I did. Mr. Smith and I would meet

6 frequently. And we discussed all the topics of all of

7 our businesses, and the animal rights issues would

8 come up.

Q Let me ask Mr. Andelman, if you would,

10 please, to put on Plaintiff's Exhibit 595 which is in

11 evidence.

Mr. Feld, this document, which is in

13 evidence, is a letter to you from Richlin Consultants

4 dated January 18, 1993. Do you see it in front of

15 you?

16 A Yes, sir.

17 Q It says, "Dear Mr. Feld." It's from Richlin

at the top. And then it says, "I have been instructed

19 to submit copies of my weekly reports to your office

20 for review."

21 Is that date significant in your mind in

terms of when you started to get these reports

Page 1985

1 regularly?

2 A I believe it is. I think from that time on,

3 I did receive regular reports from Richlin.

4 Q All right. Mr. Andelman, would you please

5 put on the next Exhibit 596?

Could you turn to it also, Mr. Feld?

7 Now, this document is also in evidence,

8 Plaintiff's 596, and it's to a Mr. Bloom. Was he an

9 executive in your organization?

10 A Yes, he was.

11 Q Is the date the same as the previous

12 document?

A Yes.

14 O It says, "I have been instructed that

15 effective this date, the distribution list for my

16 reports are to include," and is that your name there

17 at the top?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Now, during the time '90, '91, '92, I think

20 you just mentioned that you had discussions with

21 Mr. Smith; is that correct?

22 A That's right.

Page 1986

Q Now, first of all, how often would you have

2 discussions or phone calls with Mr. Smith?

A We both traveled a great deal. And if I was

4 in the office, which probably averaged over the year

5 maybe two days a week, and if he was in the office, we

6 would meet. And I would talk to him probably four

7 times a week.

Q What would be the topics that you would cover

9 in these conversations?

10 A It was a full array of all the businesses

that we were in. So it dealt with the circuses. It

12 dealt with Sells-Floto merchandising, all the ice

13 shows and all of our -- that was the time when we

14 started globally expanding. And so there was a lot of

15 talk about our international business.

16 O Did those conversations include at times

17 discussion of Mr. Froemming's work?

MR. HIRSCHKOP: Objection, leading, Your

19 Honor.

20 MR. CAWLEY: I can rephrase it, Your Honor.

21 BY MR. CAWLEY:

22 Q Can you state whether or not any of those

1

4

5

| Page 20 | 16 | 7 |  |
|---------|----|---|--|
|---------|----|---|--|

- 1 monitoring virtually all the state legislatures in the
- 2 country about legislation that would affect the circus, and
- 3 we would have lobbying efforts to counteract that or to
- 4 enact depending on what the various bills were. And it had
- 5 to do with animals but it also had to do with respect to
- 6 innovations and a lot of other business issues.
- 7 Q Did you also approve the idea that there should be
- 8 counterdemonstrations?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And what was the purpose of a
- 11 counterdemonstration?
- 12 A It was really to try and get a balance. I mean,
- 13 if the press would come -- typically, the demonstrations
- 14 typically were opening nights where we had a lot of media
- .5 or at the animal walks where there were media. And if they
- 16 only saw a group protesting the circus, that would be the
- 17 story.
- So if there were pro circus demonstrations, there
- 19 was a greater chance to have a balanced story come out.
- 20 Q Did you feel that the information imparted by the
- 21 animal activists in their demonstrations was accurate?
- 22 A I thought it was inaccurate and a

## Page 2068

- 1 misrepresentation of actually how we took care of our
- 2 animals, yes.

3

- O In what respect? How was it inaccurate?
- 4 A There was literature -- I mean, they would say
- 5 that it's a common practice. They would bulk all the
- 6 circuses together. And, for instance, a common practice
- 7 was that we would use electricity. There was -- at one
- 8 point they said that there was the use of blow torches to
- 9 shave hair off of elephants.
- 10 Q Was that true, to your knowledge? Did you use
- 11 blow torches to take the hair off elephants?
- 12 A No. it wasn't.
- 13 O Now, there were a great number of invoices that
- 14 were discussed here. I'm sure you recall last week when 14
- 15 the invoices were discussed. Do you remember that?
- 16 A Yes, I do.
- 17 O Okay. Who approved those invoices?
- 18 A Charles.
- 19 Q Did he have the right to do that in the
- 20 organization?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q His title?

- A He was chief financial officer.
- 2 Q All right. Were you generally involved in the
- 3 process of approving invoices?
  - A No, I wasn't.
  - Q What type of expenditures would be brought to your
- 6 attention on, typically?
- 7 A If there was a unique expenditure in excess of
- 8 \$50,000, it would come to me.
- 9 Q When you say a unique expenditure, what does that
- 10 mean?
- 11 A Okay. For instance, we operate in 90 cities with
- 12 the circus. So each city, in essence, becomes a separate
- 13 business. So, for instance, in New York city, we might
- 14 spend a million dollars on advertising. Those were not
- 15 bills I ever saw, and that was what I would call a normal
- 16 course of business.
- 17 If there were unique contracts, for instance, if
- 18 someone was -- if we were going to buy a million dollars
- 19 worth of railroad cars or something, that's something I
- 20 would probably see. But that was a unique sort of a
- 21 one-time expense.
- Q Were you aware as the years went on of the total

Page 2070

Page 2069

- 1 that was being spent on Mr. Froemming's organization, say
- 2 starting in 1990 and going on for the next half dozen
- 3 years?
- 4 A I really wasn't aware of the total amount until I
- 5 became involved in this litigation.
- 6 Q Who approved the invoices after Mr. Smith left?
- 7 A After Mr. Smith left, Mike Ruch became the chief
- 8 financial officer.
- 9 Q Okay. And who took over the duties of Mr. Smith
- 10 after he left?
- 11 A In the beginning of 1997, I hired the present
- 12 chief operating officer, Stuart Snyder.
  - Q Stuart Snyder?
    - A That's correct.
- Q And he took over a lot of duties of Mr. Smith?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Now, last week, I think it was, there were certain
- 18 contracts that were offered into evidence. And I wonder if
- 19 I might show you these and ask you to look at them briefly.
- 20 These are all in evidence. And I believe these are all
- 21 plaintiff exhibit numbers. The first is 19, excuse me,
- 22 909

Case 1:03-cv-02006-EGS Document 132-12 Filed 03/30/0752 Page 5 of 795 to 2098) Page 2095 Page 2097 A I saw that there were reports, yes. plaintiff's attorney said in that case, all it is is 1 inflammatory. It's not probative of anything in this case. 2 Reports from Mr. Froemming to your Mr. Smith and 3 MR. HIRSCHKOP: The allegations are in the Mr. Bloom and Mr. Sowalsky, right? 3 4 complaint that he says he saw. Allegations go directly to 4 Whoever the reports were to, yes. the actions of his organizations under him against Pat 5 Did you believe Mr. Froemming when you talked to 6 him? Derby. 6 7 It's cross-examination. This isn't far afield. 7 A Yes. This is directly related to this. I remember the leeway Did you find him reliable? 8 0 Yes. 9 they got on Mr. Kendall yesterday. This is directly 9 10 related. 10 Q Do you have any reason to question what he put in 11 The admissions are not being filed in this case as 11 his reports today? admissions. We may move them at some point. But the fact 12 A I've learned a lot since I've been here in two 12 they made admissions in that case are directly pursuable on 13 weeks, so some things I now question that I never did 14 cross-examination. question before. 15 MR. CAWLEY: It's not fair to read a bunch of 15 Q What do you now question, sir? 16 allegations in a case. 16 A What I question is if in fact he said that he THE COURT: I agree about the allegations. Take 17 17 was -- if the reports are accurate, he said that he was away the allegations. But the admissions, if it was in 18 paying \$1,500 a week, for instance, to Mr. Kendall. And 18 another case, that's an admission you can cross-examine him 19 Mr. Kendall says that he was only getting 750 a week. I about that. The allegations, you can't go into the would think there's something that's not accurate with 21 allegations. 21 that. MR. HIRSCHKOP: Those things are in this case in 22 Q One of them has to be lying, right? Would that be Page 2096 Page 2098 documents. 1 fair? 1 2 THE COURT: No. 2 One of them is not telling the truth, yes. MR. HIRSCHKOP: Okay. Q And you've seen the 1099 that Mr. Kendall got 3 3 4 BY MR. HIRSCHKOP: 4 which matches what he says as his weekly income, isn't that 5 Q You've been sitting in court. Did you see 5 correct? documents we put on the screen that Ed Stewart driver's 6 A I think so. I don't recall seeing that exactly. 7 license was taken from Pat Derby's home? 7 Q Based on that, it would appear that Mr. Froemming is lying, cheating you out of money, wouldn't it? A I saw that on one of the reports. 8 9 Q Do you remember that coming up in the course of 9 A Maybe. the case with Mrs. Derby in California? 10 10 Q Now, to begin with, counsel asked you about a 11 A No, I don't remember that. 11 number of charts. Do you remember the chart counsel put up 12 Q Do you recall banking records were taken from that 12 there? 13 organization? 1.3 A Yes. 14 14 Q The first was Irvin Feld and Kenneth Feld Q Do you recall that coming up in documents in this 15 15 Productions, Inc. When did that go out of existence? 16 case? A That became what is now Feld Entertainment. 16 Q Roughly 1990, who were the owners of Irvin Feld 17 A In this case, yes. 17

Q Wasn't that also one of the things being litigated

Q Do you recall charges of social security numbers

18

20

21

19 in California?

A It may have been, yes.

permissible?

That's fine.

It was known that way?

Productions, Inc. I'm sorry. I'll call it I&K. Is that

18

19

20

21

|     | Case 1.03-CV-02000-LG3 Document 1.                        |    |  |  |
|-----|---|----|--|--|
|     | Page 2111   | _  | Page 2113  |  |
| 1   | Q And this is the first one you remember seeing,          | 1  | prior to depositions.                                      |  |
| 2   | right?  | 2  | Q Well, at deposition, I deposed you. It was well          |  |
| 3   | A Oh, I may have seen others. This is when I              | 3  | over a year ago, wasn't it?                                |  |
| 4   | believe I would get them on a regular basis.              | 4  | A The first time it was, yes.                              |  |
| 5   | Q But you were getting PETA/PAWS reports before           | 5  | Q And I showed you numerous documents with                 |  |
| 6   | that, weren't you?  | 6  | redactions. Why didn't you then, why didn't you then do    |  |
| 7   | A No, I wasn't.   | 7  | something about it?  |  |
| 8   | MR. HIRSCHKOP: Okay. Now, this thing it's in              | 8  | ,  |  |
| 9   | evidence, Your Honor. May I hold it up so the jury can se |    |  |  |
| 10  | what I'm referring to?                                    | 10 | disclosure so we can get to the truth of what's happening. |  |
| 11  | THE COURT: If it's in evidence, yes, sir.                 | 11 | A I believe it is full and honest disclosure.              |  |
| 12  | BY MR. HIRSCHKOP:   | 12 | <b>C</b> ,,  |  |
| 1.3 | Q The first page has privileged, redacted. What was       | 13 |  |  |
| 14  | taken out there that the jury can't see?                  | 14 | jury on the bottom of the first page.                      |  |
| 15  | A I have no idea.   | 15 | A This is "I have on this day prepared a                   |  |
| 16  | Q The bottom has redacted. What was taken out ther        |    |  |  |
| 17  | that the jury can't see?                                  | 17 | help address the issue of centralizing the efforts on      |  |
| 18  | A I don't know.   | 18 | behalf of Ringling to effectively address the problems     |  |
| 19  | Q Well, look at the next page. What was taken out         | 19 | concerning the perception of animal care given."           |  |
| 20  | there that the jury can't see?                            | 20 | Q And attached is an implementation plan, is it not?       |  |
| 21  | A I have no idea.   | 21 | A That's correct.  |  |
| 22  | Q No signature page, nothing. Why is it we just get       | 22 | Q Okay. So this report was basically sending you an        |  |
|     | Page 2112   |    | Page 2114  |  |
| 1   | this totally incomplete document?                         | 1  | implementation plan, wasn't it?                            |  |
| 2   | A I have no idea. This may have come from Charles         | 2  | A I don't know what the redacted portion is. There         |  |
| 3   | Smith's files, not from the company files.                | 3  | is an implementation plan here, yes, sir.                  |  |
| 4   | Q You've seen numbers of documents in this case that      | 4  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,                      |  |
| 5   | have the same kind of redaction that came from the        | 5  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,                      |  |
| 6   | corporation files.  | 6  | <b>,</b>   |  |
| 7   | A That's right. My understanding is, if they were         | 7  | Q It talks about accomplishments under Richard             |  |
| 8   | redacted, they had nothing to do with animal rights.      | 8  | Froemming's direction. He identified groups whose goal wa  |  |
| 9   | Q Well, you've testified to all these things              | 9  | to destroy Ringling Brothers. Do you see that?             |  |
| 10  | Mr. Froemming was doing. How can the jury tell if you're  | 10 | A Yes, sir.  |  |
| 11  | accurate if you take out all this stuff and you don't let | 11 | Q He identified methods used by the opposition to          |  |
| 12  | them see it?  | 12 |  |  |
| 13  | A I didn't redact this. They were redacted by             | 13 | A Yes.   |  |
| 14  | counsel.  | 14 |  |  |
| 15  | Q Didn't you ever look at these and say, wait a           | 15 | direction further. Do you see that?                        |  |
| 16  | minute. This is not very fair. Why don't the jury have    | 16 | 1 5  |  |
| 17  | the full information so they can arrive at the truth in   | 17 | 7 Q Next page, yes, sir.                                   |  |
| 18  | this matter?  | 18 | A Yes.   |  |
| 19  | A No, I never did look at them.                           | 19 | Q He talks about, number four, developed                   |  |
| 20  | Q You actually showed up in this courtroom without        | 20 |  |  |
| 21  | ever having looked at these documents?                    | 21 | operation. What was his intelligence gathering operation?  |  |
| 1   | A No, I didn't say that. I never looked at them           | 22 | A As far as I know, it was to find out what the            |  |

5

Page 2115

1 animal activists groups were doing.

2 Q I'm sorry. I asked that poorly, sir. I mean, did

3 he have a crystal ball, or did he have undercover

4 operatives that were going into people's organizations? Do

5 you know which he had?

A I don't know. But from what I've seen here, it

7 looked like he did have people inside of some of the

8 organizations.

6

9

O Well, didn't it wet your curiosity what was his

10 intelligence gathering operation?

11 A I don't know. I don't recall this document.

12 Q He gained advance knowledge of planned attacks.

13 Do you know how he did that?

14 A Where is that, sir?

15 O The next thing, right under created an

16 intelligence gathering operation.

17 A I see that, yes.

18 O He gained advance knowledge of planned attacks.

19 Was that also through a crystal ball or perhaps he had some 19

20 inside information?

21 A He may have. I mean, he talked to a lot of

22 people. I don't know. He obviously gathered some

1 Q Did you direct your lawyers, get me that video

2 propaganda; let's show that to the jury?

3 A They did extensive searches of our facility. What

Page 2117

Page 2118

4 you have is what they came up with.

O But you have no idea where the rest went, the

6 hundreds. You heard Mr. Kendall testify he made over a

7 thousand reports. You heard Mr. Kendall testify he always

8 videotaped demonstrations, that he went to hundreds and

9 hundreds of demonstrations. He made one copy for

10 Mr. Froemming and he kept one copy. Where are the hundreds

11 and hundreds of copies that he gave to Mr. Froemming?

12 A I have no idea.

13 O What have you done to find out where they are,

14 sir?

15 A We searched all of our facility.

16 Q We searched? Did you go search?

17 A No, I didn't search. But the attorneys searched,

18 and we had people spending a substantial amount of time.

9 Q Did you ever ask Mr. Froemming, when you went into

20 Mr. Smith's office and closed it up, what happened to those

21 videos? The videos, he had a credenza full of videos

2 behind his desk. Did you ever ask him what happened to

Page 2116

information.

6

7

Q Mr. Feld, there is no question you're a brilliant

3 businessman. You got up on the stand there. You told the

4 jury of many major accomplishments of yours. You pride

5 yourself on being a brilliant businessman, right?

A I like to think that, yes.

O I'm not appealing to your ego or being negative.

8 Let's get to the truth of this. The fact is, you're very

9 hands on. You're a micro manager, aren't you?

10 A I am when it comes to the shows, yes.

11 O And you go down and you help pick out the dresses

12 for the entertainers, right, and the pants and everything

.3 else. I don't want to limit it to women.

14 A Yes.

15 Q You helped pick out the costumes. You said that,

16 right?

17 A Yeah, I'm very hands on with the production of the

18 shows, that's correct.

19 Q He says here he obtained video propaganda used

20 against the circus. Where's the video propaganda? Why

21 can't the jury see that?

22 A I don't know?

1 those videos?

2 A I don't know that he had a credenza full of

yideos. But I don't know what happened to the videos no

4 matter what.

5 THE COURT: Let me know when you get to the end of

6 a line of questions.

7 MR. HIRSCHKOP: This is fine, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Members of the jury, let's take the

9 afternoon break. If you would, pleased, follow the Deputy

10 Atkins. Please step down, Mr. Feld.

(The jury left the courtroom.)

12 THE COURT: Fifteen minutes.

13 MR. CAWLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 (A recess was taken.)

15 THE COURT: Members of the jury, we'll continue

16 with the cross-examination of Mr. Feld. Mr. Hirschkop?

17 MR. HIRSCHKOP: Yes.

18 BY MR. HIRSCHKOP:

19 Q Mr. Feld, I just want to digress into something

else that came up while I was looking at this. You said on

21 direct examination that you have never been found guilty of

22 an offense by the Department of Agriculture, isn't that

11