I	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
2	X AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE Docket No. 03-2006
3	PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS, ET AL,
4	Plaintiffs, v. Washington, D.C.
5	February 17, 2009 2:48 p.m.
6	FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., CONTINUED PM SESSION
7	Defendant. X
8	TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE EMMET G. SULLIVAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	APPEARANCES:
11	For the Plaintiffs: KATHERINE A. MEYER, ESQUIRE HOWARD M. CRYSTAL, ESQUIRE
12	TANYA SANERIB, ESQUIRE DELCIANNA J. WINDERS, ESQUIRE
13	Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal 1601 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
14	Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20009
15	202.588.5206
16	For the Defendant: JOHN M. SIMPSON, ESQUIRE LISA ZEILER JOINER, ESQUIRE
17	LANCE L. SHEA, ESQUIRE KARA L. PETTEWAY, ESQUIRE
18	MICHELLE PARDO, ESQUIRE Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP
19	801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 500
20	Washington, D.C. 20004 202.724.6522
21	Court Reporter:
22	U.S. District Courthouse  Room 6716
23	Washington, D.C. 20001 202.354.3258
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.
25	

```
1
                          P-R-O-C-E-E-D-T-N-G-S
 2
               (2:48 P.M.; OPEN COURT.)
 3
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please remain seated and come to
     order.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Counsel. Proceed, Counsel.
 6
                               TOM RIDER,
 7
     having been duly sworn previously, testified as follows:
 8
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT'D.)
 9
     BY MR. SIMPSON:
10
          Mr. Rider, you testified in your direct about what the
11
     objectives of this lawsuit are. You recall that testimony?
12
          Yes, sir.
     Α
13
          But your real objective is to get the elephants out of
14
     the circus; isn't that true?
15
          No, sir.
     Α
16
          Isn't that what you've been telling the media when you've
17
     done your media work?
18
          Oh, I believe at one time there was -- we were, I
19
     thought, trying to -- that there would be forfeiture of the
20
     elephants and that changed.
21
          All right. But you -- you told that in --
22
               THE COURT: Excuse me one second.
23
               (PAUSE.)
24
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
25
           (BY MR. SIMPSON) All right. Let me refer your attention,
```

- sir, to a radio interview you gave in July of 2005 at KABC, AM
  Radio, Los Angeles. You recall that, an interview with Tammy
  Bruce?
  - A Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

- Q All right. Look at the screen, sir. You described the lawsuit that was filed and you state, (reading) My main aim of this lawsuit is to get those elephants out of the Ringling Brothers circus.
- 9 That's true, isn't it? That's true, isn't it?
- 10 A Not now, sir.

Yes, sir.

- 11 Q You've changed your mind, you don't want them out of the circus?
  - A That's not -- that's not what we're doing right now. I would love to see the elephants all in a sanctuary but that's not the purpose that I'm in this lawsuit for.
  - Q All right. You also said the same thing to a committee of the Nebraska legislature in January of 2006, did you not?
    - Q Let me refer you to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 94A in evidence at page 264, that exhibit. And I'll refer you, sir, to page 11 of a hearing transcript before the Nebraska legislature.
  - And in the statement that is attributed to Tom

    Rider, (reading) Well, I do believe -- well, do I believe

    there should be elephants in the circus? No, I don't think

    they belong in the circus because if they're getting abused,

they're riding in train cars. Is there a humane way to have elephant in the circus? I have not found any humane way yet unless you're letting them walk 25 miles a day and letting

them do what they do in the wild, which is not tricks.

Have I read that correctly?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Were you under oath when you made that statement?
- 8 A I don't remember.
- 9 Q Did you intend to tell the truth to the legislature?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Now, are you familiar, sir, with a case, a criminal case
- 12 | that was filed in 2001 in California against Mark Oliver
- 13 Gabel?

4

5

6

- 14 A Yes, I'm familiar with it.
- 15 Q You were well aware of that case at the time, were you
- 16 | not?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q You followed that case, did you not?
- 19  $\blacksquare$  A I wasn't in the courtroom, but I followed it, yes.
- 20 Q You followed the progress of that case, did you not?
- 21 A Oh, yes, sir.
- 22 Q You spoke about that case in the media; isn't that true?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q And isn't it true that it was your view, and you've said
- 25 | this, that that case was just a big publicity stunt?

- 1 A I don't remember that.
- 2 Q And that that case never had any merit to it and you
- 3 knew, before it was brought, that Mark Oliver Gabel would be
- 4 acquitted; haven't you said that?
- 5 A I don't remember saying that.
- 6 Q An important thing of that case in your mind was getting
- 7 all of this media attention. It really didn't matter what the
- 8 | legal issues were; isn't that true?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Let me refer you to that same lecture you gave in
- 11 Carbondale, Illinois. In September 2002, and this is
- 12 | time-stamped four hours, 36 minutes, 15 seconds through four
- 13 | hours, 37 minutes, 38 seconds.
- 14 (VIDEO CLIP PLAYED.)
- 15 | Q (BY MR. SIMPSON) This case is a publicity stunt, too,
- 16 | isn't it, Mr. Rider?
- 17 A No, sir.
- 18 MR. SIMPSON: No further questions. Pass the
- 19 witness.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. Redirect?
- 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MS. MEYER:
- 23 Q Hello, Mr. Rider.
- 24 A Hello.
- 25 Q Mr. Rider, on cross-examination last week, Mr. Simpson

- elicited testimony from you where you described a beating of an elephant named Pete that you witnessed when you worked at
- 3 the Clyde-Beatty circus; do you remember that?
- 4 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And Mr. Simpson asked you whether you ever complained to any government authority about that beating, and I think your answer was, "Not while I was at Clyde-Beatty." Do you
- 8 remember that testimony?
- 9 A Yes, sir -- yes, ma'am. Sorry.
- Q Thank you. That's okay. And I wanted to know, what did
  you mean by that when you said, "Not while I was at
- 12 Clyde-Beatty"?
- A Well, I meant not while I was -- I didn't -- I didn't
  take off and just run to the USDA and say, hey -- the next
  morning I left. I don't think I brought it up until it was
- 16 after I was at PAWS.
- Q Okay. Since you left your employment with the circus industry, have you, in fact, talked about the beating that you witnessed of Pete when you worked at Clyde-Beatty?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Could you actually -- I would like to go to
  Defendant's Exhibit 34.
- Can you see that, or do we need to blow it up a little bit?
- 25 A Enlarge it, please.

- 1 Q Okay. You recognize what this is?
- 2 A Oh, yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q What is it?
- $4 \parallel$  A It's my testimony before the Judiciary Committee.
- 5 | O That's the United States Congress; is that correct?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q And when did you give this testimony?
- 8 A I believe it was June  $12^{th}$ , 19--- or excuse me, 2000.
- 9 I believe it was June 12<sup>th</sup>, 2000.
- 10 Q Okay. Could you just take a look at the second line down
- 11 and read from -- for us the testimony that starts with the
- 12 word "I worked," and just read the first two sentences of that
- 13 section.
- 14 A (Reading) I worked with Clyde-Beatty Cole Brothers
- 15 circus in 1997 as an elephant keeper, and I loaded the
- 16 children for the elephant ride. The elephant which we used,
- 17 Pete or Petunia, was considered to be a dangerous animal and
- 18 we were cautioned not to go near her. Despite this, she was
- 19 used for the rides before the show and during intermission
- 20 carrying as many as ten children at a time on her back.
- 21 0 Okay. That's enough of that. Could you then also read
- 22 the third paragraph of your testimony that starts with "I left
- 23 Beatty Cole."
- 24 A (Reading) I left Beatty Cole because in White Plains,
- 25 New York, when Pete did not perform her act properly, she was

- taken to the tent, laid down and five trainers beat her with bull hooks. Pete is now dead.
  - Q Thank you. Now, Mr. Simpson -- I want to back up a minute. Have you also discussed what you witnessed when you were at Clyde-Beatty and the beating of Pete as part of your public education advocacy?
  - A On occasions, yes.
    - Q Now, Mr. Simpson asked you whether, when you were employed at Ringling Brothers, you complained about the mistreatment you were witnessing to various people and I wanted to ask you about that.
    - Who exactly did you complain to about the mistreatment of the Ringling Brothers' elephants when you were employed by Ringling Brothers?
- 15 A I went to Randy Peterson, who was my supervisor.
- 16 Q Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 17 A And complained to him.
- Q All right. And now, did you -- did you have occasion to actually observe Mr. Peterson himself hit elephants with bull hooks?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- 22 | Q How often did you see that?
- 23 A It would vary. It was -- it was frequently.
- Q Okay. And you testified, I think, that once when you went to Mr. Peterson, he, quote, your job was threatened; is

that correct?

7

here."

- 2 A Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q What did you mean by that?
- A I was in Greensboro, North Carolina and I had already
  been complaining on the train and stuff, you know, around the
  workers, and he told me, he said, "I want to get you out of
- 8 And I said, "Well, maybe I should transfer."
- 9 And he said, "No, I want you out of the circus."
- So I knew at that point my job was threatened if I
- 11 ever went to complain to the USDA or anybody besides him.
- 12 Q Okay. And after you complained to Mr. Peterson about the
- 13 mistreatment you were witnessing, did the -- did the practices
- 14 you were complaining about actually change in a good way for
- 15 the elephants?
- 16 A No, ma'am.
- 17 Q Okay. Were there other Ringling Brothers' employees that
- 18 you remember actually complaining to about the mistreatment of
- 19 the elephants?
- 20 A There was about quite a few, but I don't remember their
- 21 names, not all of them.
- 22 Q Do you remember any of them?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Who do you remember talking to about it?
- 25 A I remember one in particular, his name was Neil. We were

- in New York City and Neil actually quit the show that night because of the abuse on the animals. And -- well, it was -- I -- I can't remember all of them that actually quit because of -- I remember there was a -- one of the -- oh, I can't remember his name.
- I'm sorry, I just -- the names are -- everybody on the show was one name instead of first name. We rarely ever had a last name. I know Gary Oxidine was one. He didn't like the way they were treated.
- Q Okay. I was asking you if you remember you actually complaining to a particular person.
  - A Oh, yes, I have, ma'am.
- 13 Q Besides Randy Peterson?

- A Everybody on the train. Gary Oxidine, Alex Vargas, he was -- he was not -- he was a supervisor, but we had like -- we had Randy Peterson, then we had other supervisors, and when I went to Alex in Jacksonville, Florida and we -- there was nobody there that day. It was just me and Alex, and he had actually come back to visit. I believe he was on the Kaleidoscape or Kaleidoscope, whatever they called it at the time, and I said, "You know they're still hitting these animals."
- And he goes, "Well," he says, "there's nothing I can do about it. You know it's discipline."
- Q What about Jeff Pettigrew? I think you mentioned him as

- l someone --
- 2 A Oh, yes, I did complain to Jeff Pettigrew, even Daniel
- 3 Raffo, I told him.
- 4 📗 Q Did Mr. Pettigrew have any particular job
- 5 responsibilities at Ringling Brothers?
- 6 A He was the union steward, but that wouldn't -- excuse
- 7 | me -- that wouldn't be Ringling. He was also --
- 8 Q When you say -- I'm sorry, if I could just interrupt.
- 9 When you say he was the union steward, what do you mean by
- 10 | that?
- 11 A A union steward is the person who, if you have a problem,
- 12 you go to him and then he goes to the union, and you can file
- 13 a grievance through him to the union if it's a union matter.
- 14 | Q Okay. But Mr. Pettigrew was one of the individuals you
- 15 complained to; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes, ma'am.
- 17 | Q Now, did you ever see Mr. Pettigrew hit an elephant with
- 18 | a bull hook when you worked at Ringling Brothers?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 | O How often did you see that?
- 21 A Frequently as two or three times a week, if not almost
- 22 every day.
- 23 Q And after you complained to Mr. Pettigrew, did the
- 24 practices you were complaining about change at Ringling
- 25 Brothers?

A No, ma'am.

- Q Why didn't you complain to the USDA about what you were seeing while you worked at Ringling Brothers?
  - A I didn't want to lose my job.

they are going to find another reason.

- Q Okay. And what made you think you would lose your job?
- A It was basically -- everybody on the -- everybody on the animal crew knew that. If you go complain, you know, you're going -- you're going to get fired; maybe not for that, but

So it was just a known fact, you know, you don't -you don't -- you hide everything from the USDA, you don't tell
the USDA, you don't even tell the general public what goes on.
Everything is kept quiet.

Q Okay. And why did you not complain to Mr. Kenneth Feld?

A Well, for one, it isn't like Kenneth Feld just walks

around the circus going "who's got a problem." And I knew at

that point if you went to anybody above your supervisor, which

I really didn't have to go. I should have went to my

supervisor and should have been handled from that point.

So when I found out that they are just going to call it discipline, I know I can't walk up to Kenneth Feld and go, "Hey, Mr. Feld, come here, look what they're doing to your animals," because you're done. You are going to lose your job for some reason. It doesn't have to be that, but you will not be there very long.

- Q Mr. Simpson also asked you why you did not take the write-ups that you received to the union, and you answered,

  "Jeff Pettigrew was present on the third one." What did you
  - A Jeff Pettigrew was one of the -- I believe there was 15 of us who were down at my birthday party that night and Jeff was one of them. In fact, Jeff said, "If you're going to write him up, you're going to have to write us all up." And at that point Jeff still said, "Well, look, we are going to write him up. He's going to go to Europe in a few days. We are going" -- I think I got three days from work, and that was it. It wasn't -- it was more or less you're going to have to write everybody up at the party because we were chanting.
  - Q Was Mr. Pettigrew still the union steward during that period of time?
  - A Yes, ma'am. Excuse me. He -- he got injured on the job by -- he got attacked by some tigers, and I'm not sure if his union job -- I'm pretty sure at that time he was, but I want to be very clear when I say "yes" on that. As far as I think, I'm pretty sure he was.
  - Q Okay. Mr. Simpson asked you about a time when you heard Jeff Steele complaining about marks on the elephants. Do you remember that discussion you had with Mr. Simpson?
- 24 A Yes.

mean by that?

Q And I think you said that happened in Boston,

- Massachusetts; do you remember that?
- A Yes, sir -- yes, ma'am.

- Q What do you recall about that incident?
- A He got -- he got upset because we -- in Boston, you're inside the arena, and so the other people, besides employees of Ringling, have an opportunity to see this, so he was very upset that, you know, there was a lot of hook marks and a lot of hooking going on, and, you know, he just got a little bit
- 10 Q Why do you think he was upset?
- 11 A Because the --

upset about it.

- MR. SIMPSON: Your Honor, that calls him to speculate about what's in somebody else's mind.
  - THE COURT: What's the impression that you were left with? We're not trying to get you to -- get into someone's mind, but what was the impression? What was your reaction to all of that?
- THE WITNESS: It was the same it had been for two-and-a-half years. It was, you know, we got to keep it -- my impression was they didn't want nobody to see it, so you know, it was just like when Dateline was there, we talked about Dateline was, "Don't get caught on camera. When you're doing the walks, you either hide the bull hook or don't get caught." We used to call people with cameras Canadians.

THE COURT: When was this Dateline show?

THE WITNESS: That Dateline was in -- oh, we filmed 1 it in Denver and it -- I believe it was '98. 2 3 THE COURT: It was in the '90s. THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, it was in the '90s, yes, sir. 4 5 And he came into the barn and he told us, "Don't get caught on 6 There's going to be a lot of cameras there." So when tape. 7 he yelled about --8 THE COURT: He, who? 9 THE WITNESS: Jeff Steele. So when he came to us 10 about excessive hooking, to me it was the same thing. 11 (BY MS. MEYER) And what was Mr. Steele's position at the 12 Blue Unit when you worked there? 13 Unit manager. Α 14 Mr. Simpson also asked you if the USDA ever called you 15 personally to let you know they were coming to do an inspection. Do you remember him asking you that? 16 17 Yes. Α 18 Okay. Would there be any reason why the USDA would be 19 calling you? 20 Α No. 21 Did you have any responsibilities at all with respect to 22 showing the USDA around Ringling Brothers? 23 No, ma'am. Α 24 Do you have any basis for believing that the USDA

informed someone else at Ringling Brothers when they were

- coming for an inspection?
- 2 A Yes, ma'am.

- 3 Q What is your basis?
  - A I believe Randy Peterson in Vegas said, "Hey, USDA is going to be in Phoenix," which was, I believe a week or two away -- a week. I think only a week, and he said, "So everybody be alert when we get to Phoenix."
  - Q And what was your impression as to what he meant by that?
    - A Don't get caught hooking, pick up the food, keep it on the pallet. There was a write-up by the USDA for food being on the ground, so we had to make sure that all the food was on the pallets, the bags of grain and stuff, keep it off the floor, keep it on the pallets, so he was just making us well aware that they were coming.
  - Q And Mr. Simpson asked you last week about a time that you were cited for insubordination and you said it had something to do with giving Karen corn to eat before you gave her water. Do you remember that testimony?
- \_
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Could you explain why that would be grounds for insubordination?
- A Well, it -- it happened like this: Karen is up in front.

  We were watering and feeding at the same time. Well, I saw

  Tommy Henry and Adam Hill standing there, and I went ahead and

  threw the corn up to Karen, and usually what we do is we throw

the bag and someone will grab it and bring it back.

So I threw it there, and Adam Hill grabbed me, spun me around, threw me back against an elephant, and I put my hands in the air and said, "Did you see that, Tommy Henry?"

And at that point, I didn't -- I didn't react to anything, and I was wrote up for throwing the corn up there.

They called it insubordination because he said Karen hadn't been watered, but I was busy feeding. I didn't see that Karen hadn't been watered.

- Q What does that mean, "Karen hadn't been watered," why is that insubordination?
- 12 A I really don't -- you're supposed to water them and then
  13 they can get their food.
- 14 | Q Okay.

- $\blacksquare$  A I don't know where the insubordination comes in.
- Q Okay. But you're supposed to give them water before you give them food; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q All right. How often were the elephants provided water during the 24-hour period?
    - A Well, when -- Okay. When I first started, I was on a full day. So in the morning, they would get water. Usually Philly already had a -- night barn man already had them watered when we got to work, so if they went in, if there was a rehearsal, then they went in and came back out and got

watered and then basically in the evening.

Now, when I became barn man, if it was a non-show day, then I come in and usually around 5:30, 6:00 o'clock we would water them and then feed out and usually Randy or somebody would come over and help me, and then Philly would water them when he came on. That's the best -- so I'm going to say three times, maybe four times a day.

- Q Okay. Four times during a 24-hour period?
- 9 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 10 Q Did the elephants have regular access to water?
- 11 A No, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And so if -- if the elephant wanted to get water
- 13 on its own, could it?
- 14 A No, ma'am.
- Q Okay. It only got water if one of the crew members gave
- 16 | it water; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And if you deviated from the water schedule, would that have been grounds for insubordination?
- 20 A Excuse me, deviated?
- 21 Q You gave them water on your own at some other time during
- 22 the day, would that have been grounds for insubordination?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q What if you -- were you permitted to give the elephants
- 25  $\parallel$  food during the day if you wanted to?

1 A No, ma'am.

- Q Would that have been grounds for insubordination?
- 3 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Mr. Simpson asked you about a statement that you made to the Nebraska legislature regarding why you believe you were
- 6 given all three write-ups that have been mentioned. Do you
- 7 recall that?
- 8 A Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q Okay. And why do you believe you were given those 10 write-ups?
- 11 A Excuse me?
- 12 Q Why do you believe you were given those three write-ups?
- 13 A I believe it was -- I really believe that at some point
- 14 they were trying to get rid of me towards the end. I think
- 15 that I complained so much. I know that if on the last one
- 16 they could have fired me, but my job would say -- because I
- 17 was going over to Europe with Raffo.
- 18 But I believe over the two-and-a-half years of
- 19 arguments on the train with -- you know, just yes, complained
- 20 all the time, you know, and all of us would complain about
- 21 stuff, you know, but never getting to a point of fighting,
- 22 physically fighting, but a lot of complaining or -- I won't
- use that word, but you know, back and forth bickering between
- 24 each other.
- 25 I just believe that they saw what they could and saw

- 1 an opportunity, and I think the write-ups were strictly wrong.
- 2 I don't believe I should have been wrote up for any of them.
  - Q Okay.

mean by that?

- A Okay, maybe the last one.
- Q You testified that the bull hooks you saw at Ringling
  Brothers had tape on them. Can you again describe what you
  - A They would -- they would tape them. If they didn't have a handle, they would tape it all the way up to the top and all the way around except leaving out the top point and the hook part, just the tip of the hook. It was -- when you go into a show, you -- it's dark and the men in black, they are dressed in black and they run around and hook the elephants to get them to, you know, be in whatever position or was, and these guys have to keep their bull hook covered so that the public doesn't see it.
  - Q Okay. But the point of the bull hook itself was not covered in tape; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am, it wasn't covered.
  - Q Okay. I'd actually like to show, if I could, Mr. Rider one of the photographs that was taken at the Auburn Hills inspection of a bull hook that's already been admitted into evidence. It's May Call 54 at page 40.
- MR. SIMPSON: Objection, Your Honor, this exceeds
  the scope of direct and the cross.

1 THE COURT: I'll allow it over objection. 2 0 (BY MS. MEYER) So this is a photograph that was taken at 3 the Auburn Hills inspection. Is that what you mean by tape around the bull hook? 4 5 Yes, I do, but there would have been more tape down 6 towards the point. 7 But that's basically what you're talking about? 8 Yes, ma'am. Α 9 Okay. Thank you. 10 THE COURT: For the record, he did testify about 11 this on direct examination. 12 (BY MS. MEYER) Now, how often did you see a bull hook 13 used in a way that broke the skin of an elephant? 14 How many times? 15 Yes. 0 Wow, I couldn't -- so numerous, I couldn't count them. 16 17 And how many times did you see a bull hook used in a way that made an elephant bleed? 18 19 Again, I'm -- I know that there is 50 at one -- at one 20 time was down in Richmond, so I'm going to say hundreds. 21 Did you see the bull hook used in this way breaking the 22 skin of an elephant with respect to all of the elephants that 23 you worked with? 24 Objection to the leading, Your Honor. MR. SIMPSON:

THE COURT: Rephrase it.

- 1 📗 Q (BY MS. MEYER) Did you see a bull hook used --
- 2 THE COURT: Tell us what you saw with respect to
- 3 whatever it is you want to ask him.
- 4 Q (BY MS. MEYER) Could you tell us if you saw the breaking
- of the skin. Which elephants did you see the breaking of the
- 6 skin on?
- 7 A I -- all the elephants at one time or another had bloody
- 8 hook marks and stuff like that and hook welds. That's on all
- 9 the elephants. You can't pick out one and say it had more,
- 10 this one had less, you know, it was -- it would vary, but all
- 11 the elephants. There wasn't one that didn't. There was some
- 12 | that they -- not as much that they could use them for
- 13 inspections but...
- 14 Q And when you say -- when you say, "all the elephants,"
- 15 does that include Nicole?
- 16 A Yes, ma'am.
- 17 | Q Does that include Karen?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q Does that include Mysore?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21 | O Does that include Jewell?
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- 23 0 Does that include Susan?
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q Does it include Lutzi?

- 1 A Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q Does it include Zina?
- 3 A Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q And with regard to the chaining practices that you
- 5 described in your direct testimony, did you see those
- 6 practices used with respect to Nicole?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q And did you see them used with respect to Karen?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q Did you see them used with respect to Mysore?
- 11 A Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q Did you see them used with respect to Jewell?
- 13 A Yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q Did you see them used with respect to Susan?
- 15 A Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q Did you see them used with respect to Lutzi?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q Did you see them used with respect to Zina?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q Okay. And with respect to the number of hours that the
- 21 elephants were chained on the train that you testified, was
- 22 that true with respect to Nicole?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q Was it true with respect to Karen?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am.

- 1  $\parallel$  Q Was it true with respect to Mysore?
- 2 A Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q Was it true with respect to Jewell?
- 4 A Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q Was it true with respect to Susan?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q Was it true with respect to Lutzi?
- 8 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q And was it true with respect to Zina?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am.

- 11 Q How much playing with each other could the elephants do
- 12 on the train?
- 13 A How much playing could they do?
- 14 Q Playing together. Playing together.
- 15 A Playing. Very little. I mean, you can't -- they're side
- 16 by side on the train, so, you know, it isn't like they could
- 17 turn around. Like for the three English, they couldn't turn
- 18 around and play with Lecheme. She was back there. Meena was
- 19 in the back. They couldn't interact that way. They could
- 20 only interact next to each other.
- 21 MS. MEYER: I would like to show Plaintiffs'
- 22 Exhibit 121B, a clip that was shown by Mr. Simpson and ask
- 23 Mr. Rider some questions about it, and it was two, colon, 22,
- 24 | colon, 56, to two, colon, 24.
- 25 Q (BY MS. MEYER) Do you remember this video footage as it

starts?

1

2

3

(VIDEO CLIP PLAYED.)

- A Yes, ma'am.
- 4 📗 Q (BY MS. MEYER) And this is footage that you took, right?
- 5 A Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q And Mr. Simpson asked you -- while we're watching this,
- 7 Mr. Simpson asked you why you say this handler in the front
- 8 here is hooking this elephant, and I would like you to explain
- 9 | it to Judge Sullivan if you would, please.
- 10 A Well, if you watch, he puts it right up here behind her
- 11 ear, and he's hooking her in the top of the ear with it and
- 12 she actually -- right now he'll pull her sideways, but right
- 13 there he just did a little jab to her with the end of it. And
- 14 if you watch up here where he does it back up on top, see how
- 15 he's jabbing there?
- Now she's bending over as it's hooked right into
- 17 here. It's not hooking to the head piece because the one
- 18 | thing they told us at Ringling was don't ever use the hook on
- 19 | the head piece because they are very expensive heed pieces.
- 21 elephant reacting to what's going on?
- 22 A Trying to bend to get out of the pain of her ear being
- 23 hooked.
- 24 Q And what is your basis for saying -- for saying that this
- 25 | is what's going on, that the handler is hooking the elephant

- behind the ear?
- 2 A I've seen it a lot, a lot of times at Ringling. It's --
- 3 | it's a means to bring their head down. It's -- you hook them
- 4 right behind the ear, and I've seen the marks where it slipped
- 5 and cuts down behind the ear.
- 6 0 Which side of the elephant is the handler on?
- 7 A The left side.
- 8 Q And is that -- is that unusual?
- 9 A No, it's -- it's basically you always walk -- I don't
- 10 know if it's on purpose, but they always walk on the left-hand
- 11 side of the elephant.
- 12 Q And during your two-and-a-half years at Ringling
- 13 Brothers, did you see wounds behind the ears of the elephants?
- 14 A Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q And which side did you usually see them on?
- 16 A Usually on the left-hand side.
- 17 | Q How common --
- 18 A Or excuse me, if we're looking head on, would be the
- 20 left ear.
- 21 | O Okay. And how common did you see that?
- 22 A I would say two or three times a week.
- Q Okay. Now, you answered some questions posed by
- 24 Mr. Simpson regarding a beating of Karen that you witnessed in
- 25 New Haven, Connecticut. Do you remember that testimony?

- 1 A Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q And you said after the beating, you didn't want to go up
- 3 to Karen. Do you remember saying that?
- 4 A Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q What did you mean by that?
- 6 A Well, I stayed as far away as I could because Karen, she
- 7 was not happy at that point, and I knew that if there was
- 8 nobody -- if I would have went up there or even got close
- 9 enough, she could have reached out and got me.
- 10 Q Okay. There's been a lot of talk about Karen. Were you
- 11 given any particular instructions about Karen when you went to
- 12 work at Ringling Brothers?
- 13 A The very first thing you do when you walk in the tent is
- 14 they say, "Karen is the fourth elephant. Don't ever go near
- 15 Karen."
- 16 Q And why did they tell you that?
- 17 A That's where I first heard the word "killer," and it was
- 18 just don't, she's -- "She's dangerous. Only certain people
- 19 can go near her. Do not, under any circumstances, go up to
- 20 Karen."
- 21 0 Okay. And did anyone at Ringling Brothers have
- 22 particular responsibility with respect to Karen while you were
- 23 there?
- 24 A The main one was Sonny. I mean, he -- he was the one
- 25 | that always took her in for whatever part of the show she was

- 1 in. I wasn't -- I believe she went in in the very beginning
- 2 and that's when they had the kids around the -- where the
- 3 circus goers around the arena, the ring, and then there was
- 4 Randy Peterson, Pat Harned, Alex Vargas, Jeff Pettigrew,
- 5 obviously Sonny. Other than those maybe five or six
- 6 individuals, those were the ones I went to first because those
- 7 are the ones that can go up to Karen.
- 8 Q Okay. But did you say that Mr. -- Sonny, you said Sonny,
- 9 is that Sonny Ridley?
- 10 A Yeah, Robert Ridley. I'm sorry, we called him Sonny.
- 11 Q You said you thought he had principal responsibility for
- 12 Karen?
- 13 A Basically. That's who was always taking care of her.
- 14 Q And the video clip that you took that we watched again
- 15 today that Mr. Simpson showed you of, I believe it's
- 16 Mr. Ridley getting a bull hook stuck in the mouth of an
- 17 | elephant. Do you remember that clip?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q Do you know which elephant that was?
- 20 A It looked like Karen.
- 21 0 Okay. Did Karen have any particular physical
- 22 characteristics that you remember when you think about her?
- 23 A Yes, I do. She had a black -- her hair was black. I
- 24 want to say U-shaped. I can't remember if it was upside down
- 25 or right side. If you look at her hair, right in the forehead

- 1 lacksquare was a U shape. We never cut it.
- 2 Q And do you remember if Karen could do anything in
- 3 particular with her trunk?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 6 A She blew raspberries.
- 7 Q What do you mean by that?
- 8 A She'd step on her trunk and blow like a [noise]
- 9 raspberry. She was good at it. I forgot that. Okay.
- 10 Q And when you think about Zina, do you remember anything
- 11 | about her physical characteristics?
- 12 A Her trunk, the bottom portion of her trunk, I was told
- 13 paralyzed, and she couldn't -- she couldn't like normally use
- 14 her trunk. She would have to pick food up, flip it up and
- 15 then spin her trunk kind of like an egg beater, you know,
- 16 don't go near her when she's spinning it. She'd get it up
- 17 | there and just spin.
- 18 Q Did she have trouble taking water in?
- 19 A Yeah. We had to water her with a hose.
- 21 A Take the hose and stick it in her mouth and just let
- 22 her -- stand there with it so she wouldn't chew on it, but
- 23 just sat there and let her drink out of the hose because she
- 24 couldn't -- she couldn't suck water or actually throw the
- 25 water up in her trunk, she couldn't do it.

- Q And when you think about Zina, do you remember any of her physical characteristics?
- A The thing I remember about Zina was other than the trunk,
- 4 | I mean, that's -- you could identify Zina was just her trunk.
- 5 She was --

Α

8

- Q I'm sorry. We did Zina already. I was thinking Lutzi in my head. When you think about Lutzi.
- 9 Q When you think about Lutzi, what kind of physical
- 10 | characteristics do you think of?

Oh, I'm sorry.

- 11 A A little bit shorter than Susan. Her and Susan were
- 12 always together, so they were like the two that were always on
- 13 the -- side-by-side on the train, and I always thought
- 14 Susan -- she was always getting pushed around by Susan, so I
- 15 would say that -- I mean, between Lutzi and Susan, they're
- 16 | both tall and lanky. Her front legs were very, very long, her
- 17 | front legs. That I do remember on her.
- 18 Q You talking about Lutzi?
- 19 A Lutzi.
- 20 | O All right. And what about Nicole. When you think about
- 21 | Nicole, do you have a memory of any physical characteristics?
- 22 A She had a lot of pink out. We call it pink out when
- 23 | they -- the light colors they get on her trunk and stuff, she
- 24 | had a lot of that, and then she was always the end elephant.
- 25 It was easy to spot Nicole.

- Q Okay. And do you remember anything unusual about the ears of any of the elephants that you worked with?
  - A The English.

- 4 0 Tell me about that.
  - A Camella, Lecheme and Meena had what was a -- I was told it was a burn. They had been in a fire in Europe years ago, and their ears were like little droplets, like little earlobes all way up and down them, so I guess where -- that's the only way I can describe it is like an earlobe in different places hanging.
  - Q When you worked at Ringling Brothers for the two-and-a-half years you were there, did you ever see an elephant bleed because it got scratched by a branch?
- MR. SIMPSON: Objection to the leading, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q (BY MS. MEYER) Did you ever see the elephants with branches?
  - THE COURT: What other incidents of bleeding do you recall, if any?
  - THE WITNESS: I've seen -- other than the bull hook,

    I've seen them get a scratch when they were on the train,

    might be coming -- when they are going down to get in. Among

    themselves, bleeding from -- I believe it was Susan had a big

    gash in her head and we realized it was from one of the

    elephants had scratched her with a tusk. They weren't big

- 1 tusks but sometimes you'd see them that way. Walking into
- 2 | a -- rubbing next to a building or something. It wasn't --
- 3 you know, it wasn't as frequent as it was with the bull hook.
- $4 \parallel Q$  (BY MS. MEYER) Okay. Did you ever see the elephants
- 5 given branches to play with or to eat?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q How often did you see that?
- 8 A Kansas City, I remember that vividly because that's the
- 9 first time. After that, it was -- if Davey Tree Service had
- 10 them, maybe three times, four times. We'd just call Davey
- 11 Tree Service and they'll run out and dump us a bunch of
- 12 | branches, but it wasn't every time.
- 13 Q Okay. Did you ever see any blood on an elephant caused
- 14 by a branch?
- 15 A Not that I remember.
- 16 Q Okay. And in answer to a question from Mr. Simpson, you
- 17 | said that the scars that you were describing on the heads of
- 18 the elephants were not caused by head pieces. Do you remember
- 19 | telling him that?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 0 Why do you say that?
- 22 A Because they weren't where the head piece was, for one.
- 23 Like the difference to me between a scar and a permanent scar
- 24 is two different things. They had scarring where they would
- 25 cut the skin, be back behind the ear or on behind the leg or

on the trunk. It may not be permanent but they would leave a cut and they were always like white.

It looked like, if you take and you -- the top of the skin and then it would be white kind of like fat, but not fat. It would just -- it would be white, so you'd have this white and then a little blood in the middle. I've seen that quite frequently.

- Q But how do you know that those wounds that you've describing were not caused by head pieces?
- 10 A They weren't where the head pieces were, and honestly,
  11 the head pieces are on so loose, I don't see how they could
  12 really scratch them.
  - Q Okay. And do you remember Mr. Simpson showed you a videotape of you and some other handlers putting oil on elephants?
- 16 A Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q Okay. How often did that happen?
- 18 A Once.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

- 19 Q Is that the only time?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- A I believe it was -- I don't know her full name but we
  called her Vika. She was, I believe, from Kurdistan or
  something. She's on the end of the video when they turn the
  video around and somebody said, "Wave, Vika." Vika was the

- 1  $\blacksquare$  one that was filming it at the time.
- 2 Q How do you -- who was Vika?
- A She worked with Pat Harned on the baby elephants, and then I don't remember what she did after that.
- 5 Q She was employed by Ringling Brothers at the time?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And do you remember where that videotape was taken?
- 9 A D.C. Armory.
- 10 Q But physically where were you at the D.C. Armory?
- 11 A Oh, in the basement. We had set up the picket line and
- 12 then we put some of the fences up in front of it, and we were
- 13 down on the -- at the end where you see in the video, we're
- 14 down in an open space. We swept the floor and we started
- 15 pouring oil all over them. I mean, it was kind of fun.
- 16 Q Okay. Now, is the public -- was the public allowed to
- 17 have access to those areas when you were at a particular
- 18 venue?
- 19 A Not the general public, no.
- Q Okay. Now, Mr. Simpson asked you some questions about a
- 21 | letter that you wrote to PAWS, and I'd like to go to that.
- 22 Defendant's Exhibit 39. Can you see that, or do you need --
- 23 A Can you enlarge it? Okay. She's doing it.
- 24 Q Okay. Do you remember Mr. Simpson asking you about this
- letter?

- 1 A Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q Okay. And what is this letter?
- 3 A It's just a letter telling Pat Derby that I left.
- 4 📗 Q Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 5 A Because I had -- I kind of left on a -- without telling 6 her.
- Q Okay. Could you -- could you read the paragraph in the middle of the letter, please, the one that starts with "I want."
  - I want to thank you -- whoa. (Reading) I want to thank you for helping me get the word out about Ringling Brothers' mistreatment of the elephants and giving me a place to stay. Originally, when you hired me to do security at the new place, you told me that I could continue to speak out against Ringling. I remember Sharon Simms sat me down and told me that I could do as much media as I wanted, but then, when you settled your lawsuit with Ringling, you and Sharon told me that as long as I was on PAWS' payroll, I could not do any media against Ringling anymore. When you found out that I was planning to go to Washington to help the ASPCA at a press conference, you told me that I would be fired if I did that, so I decided that I had to leave PAWS. I want to do everything in my power to help the elephants, and this means speaking out as much as possible against Ringling Brothers -whoa, excuse me, I lost myself. This means speaking out as

- much as possible about how Ringling Brothers beat them and especially mistreats the babies.
  - Q Okay. Thank you. Now, Mr. Simpson asked you some questions about your answers to interrogatories, and particularly your answer to Defendant's Interrogatory No. 24, and I'd like to turn to Defendant's Exhibit 16 and go to page 39 of that exhibit.

You're going to have to make that bigger, I think.

All right. And I want to -- I want to read the first sentence of this interrogatory. It says, (reading) Identify all income, funds, compensation, other money or items, including, without limitation, food, clothing, shelter, or transportation you have ever received from any animal advocate or animal advocacy organization.

Do you see that?

A Yes, ma'am.

- Q Okay. Now, what I want you to do is read your response to that sentence, which starts at line 5 of the response after the comma. Go down like five lines in your response, and after the comma after "interrogatories." Just read the rest of the --
- A (Reading) Mr. Rider would be willing to provide

  Defendants with the answer to the first sentence of this interrogatory. Subject to --
- Q Go ahead.

- A (Reading) Subject to and without waiving the foregoing or general objections to those interrogatories.
- 3 0 Hold on a second. We're lost here.
- 4 A Yeah.
- Q What I want you to read is if you start on line 5 right after the comma. It says, "Interrogatories," comma.
- 7 A Uh-huh, (reading) And subject to a confidentiality
  8 agreement, Mr. Rider would be willing to provide Defendants
  9 with the answer to the first sentence of this interrogatory.
- 10 Q Thank you.
- 11 A You're welcome.
- 12 Q Okay. Now, I want to go to the next page, which is page
- 13 40. Can you tell me the date of those -- of that answer?
- 14 A June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2004.
- Q Okay. And now I would like to go the page 42 of the same exhibit. Okay. And this is your supplemental responses to
- 17 Defendant's interrogatories. Do you see that at the top of
- 18 the page?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q I'd like to go to the end of those, which is at page 61,
- 21 to get the date. Do you see the date there of the date for
- 22 the supplemental responses to the interrogatories?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q What's the date?
- 25 A January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2007.

Q Okay. And now I want to go to page 60. And again, I want you to read what your answer to your supplemental response to Interrogatory No. 24 is.

- A (Reading) Subject to and without waiving his previous objections to this interrogatory, Mr. Rider hereby incorporates by reference the deposition testimony that he provided on October 12, 2006, and reiterates that he has offered to provide Defendants with a complete list of information that is responsive to this interrogatory subject to a confidentiality agreement that would protect his personal privacy.
- Q All right. Thank you. And then after Judge Sullivan resolved the request for the confidentiality agreement, did you in fact provide a complete answer to Interrogatory No. 24?

  A Yes.
  - O Let's go to Defendant's Exhibit --

THE COURT: Can you repeat that? I had to add a matter on to my calendar at 5:30. I need to speak with my staff just for five minutes, so you can take a five-minute recess. You can either stay there or not, but don't go too far. All right. There is no need to stand. Thank you.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: This honorable court now stands in a short recess.

(A BRIEF RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please remain seated.

- 1 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Counsel.
- Q (BY MS. MEYER) All right. We're still in Defendant's

  Exhibit 16, and I'd like to go to page 63.
  - And so this is the -- your second supplemental responses to Defendant's interrogatories. Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q All right. If you go to the end of that document, which
- 8 | is at page 73 in exhibit -- Defendant's Exhibit 16, it will
- 9 have the date that those were provided. I think it's page 73.
- 10 Hold on a minute. Okay. And you see the date there by your
- 11 name?

- 12 A Yes, ma'am.
- 13 0 What is that date?
- 14 A 9/24/2007.
- Q And that's the date that your second supplemental
- 16 responses were provided to Defendant; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q All right. Now, I'd like you to go to pages 13 to 16 of
- 20 | Exhibit 16 and just read it to yourself, starting with -- this
- 21 | is your response to Interrogatory No. 24, starting with
- 22 Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 24." Just read it
- 23 to yourself.
- 24 A (Witness reading.)
- 25 Q Ready for the next page?

- 1 A Oh, yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A (Witness reading.) Okay. Okay.
- 4 📗 Q And just -- oh, keep reading. Sorry.
- 5 A (Witness reading.) Okay. All right.
- 6 Q Okay. Sorry. You're still reading?
- 7 A (Witness reading.) Yes, ma'am. Okay.
- 8 Q All right. Have you read that?
- 9 A Yes. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q If you could go back to page 76 of that exhibit. I'm
- 11 sorry, next page, 77. First of all, having read your complete
- 12 answer to Interrogatory No. 24 there, is that in fact the
- 13 response that you gave when you -- after Judge Sullivan
- 14 | resolved the confidentiality agreement?
- 15 A Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q Now, if you go to page 15 of the document, which is page
- 17 77 of Defendant's Exhibit 16, and you look at the middle
- 18 paragraph that starts "to the best of my recollection."
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q Okay. And can you tell us which groups, based on your
- 21 answer there, provided you funding for your public education
- 22 advocacy work?
- 23 A Which group did?
- 24 Q Yes.
- 25 A Oh, the ASPCA, the Animal Welfare Institute, the Fund for

- Animals and the Animal Protection Institute.
- Q Okay. And if we go to the first page, which is page 78
- of this exhibit, you look at the top and you read -- read that
- 4 | first sentence in that paragraph there.
- 5 A (Reading) From all the other animal advocacy groups over
- 6 the last seven years, other than the Plaintiff groups or
- 7 Wildlife Advocacy project, I have received -- You want me to
- 8 continue?
- 9 Q And then there is a paragraph explaining what other funds
- 10 you received.
- 11 A Right. (Reading) Approximately \$25,350, plus one of the
- 12 groups also paid for a round trip train ticket for me to go
- 13 from Los Angeles to Chicago in March 2006 so that I could
- 14 speak to the city council in support of legislation that would
- 15 ban the use of bull hooks on elephants. It also paid my hotel
- 16 bill for two days while I was there, and another group paid
- 17 I for a plane ticket for me in July 2001 so I could speak to the
- 18 city council of South Hampton, New York about proposed
- 19 legislation concerning circuses.
- 20 Q All right. And could you also just read, finally, the
- 21 last paragraph on that page?
- 22 A (Reading) In addition, over the last seven years, I have
- 23 received approximately \$7,690 from nine different individuals
- 24 who could probably be described as animal advocates. None of
- 25 these individuals are party to this lawsuits, attorneys for

- any of the parties or employees or officers of any of the Plaintiffs' organizations or WAP.
  - Q Okay. Now, based on all of this information that you provided in response to Interrogatory No. 24, have you received funding over the years from entities other than the Wildlife Advocacy Project or Meyer & Glitzenstein?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

18

19

- Q Okay. And are those sources of funding the ones that you discuss in your response to Interrogatory No. 24?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. Now, Mr. Simpson asked you whether other than the grants you have received from Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal and the Wildlife Advocacy Project, you have any other source of support. Do you remember that question?
- 15 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And based on your reading of your interrogatories, what is your response to that question?
  - A I've had no other source of income other than the one I spoke of. I had some little trinkets I sold at a flea market that wasn't really income.
- Q Mr. Simpson was asking you whether you have had other sources of funding for your public advocacy other than the Wildlife Advocacy Project or Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal.
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q And I'm asking you, based on what you just read in your

interrogatory responses, what is the answer to that question?

MR. SIMPSON: Objection, it's leading the witness.

THE COURT: I'll allow the question to be answered.

A I received money from other people, from advocates and private citizens throughout. Speaking sometimes its -- speaking engagements, they'll just hand me money, and I put it in my pocket. I don't ask them who they are.

Q Why do people give you money?

A To support the -- to support my public education and my media and to help me out on the road, you know. Sometimes they just say, "Here, you know, this is to help you." I don't --

THE COURT: You mean to say at these -- you mean when you speak, you talking about speaking engagements?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What are you talking about?

THE WITNESS: It's just people who come -- I'll give you an example. I spoke in Pittsburgh or Harrisburg, excuse me, at the capital dome, and some people came up afterwards and they just shook my hand and they would have some money. Well, I didn't count the money. I just put it in my pocket and they said, "There, it's to help you on the road with your media campaign," so...

THE COURT: When you got back to your hotel, you would count the money, I assume.

THE WITNESS: Oh, sure.

THE COURT: Is there an average? You know, what did you take in?

THE WITNESS: Oh, I mean, it's been as -- you know, 50, 100, sometimes 500. That would happen to be a guy who handed me five 100-dollar bills and I don't know who the gentleman was. He just said, "Thank you for doing what you do." It's usually -- it can vary. Every one of them, I don't go there with a expectation of getting anything. I don't go there for that purpose. So if I get --

THE COURT: Do you ever leave without getting something?

THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, many, many times. Oh, yeah.

Actually, the one in Carbondale, since everybody is bringing up Carbondale, Carbondale, Illinois, nothing, and I didn't ask for anything. I didn't go there to say you have to pay me to speak, so...

- Q (BY MS. MEYER) Without naming names, do you know any of the individuals who have contributed to the Wildlife Advocacy Project for your public advocacy work?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. Can you describe -- again, without naming any names, can you describe the kinds of individuals who have provided money to the Wildlife Advocacy Project for your public advocacy work?

```
1
               MR. SIMPSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
 2
     this because we tried to get this information in discovery and
 3
     you suppressed it.
                           And I didn't allow it either.
 4
 5
     right. Overruled -- or sustained.
 6
                           I'm just asking for the kinds of people
               MS. MEYER:
 7
     who provided information, not for the names, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT:
                           It's irrelevant anyway.
 9
               MS. MEYER:
                           Okay.
               THE COURT: It should be.
10
11
           (BY MS. MEYER) In connection with your public education
12
     advocacy, did you assist in preparing any video pieces for
13
     Utube?
14
          Yes, ma'am.
15
          Okay. What is Utube?
16
          An internet source for posting videos that you want the
17
     public to see.
          All right. And what did you help put together for Utube?
18
19
      Α
          What?
20
          What did you help put together for Utube?
21
          Oh, a little piece that had some of the different
22
     videotape that we had over the years. I didn't have to -- I
23
     don't recall the finished product right now, but I believe
24
     there was some -- I was speaking in there and then there was
```

videotapes.

- Q Do you know whether that piece on Utube has a link to the Wildlife Advocacy Project?
- 3 A I'm not sure. I didn't -- I guess it does, probably.
- 4 I'm sure it does. I've never -- I haven't been on that link
- 5 in a long time.
- 6 0 Okay. Now, you testified, in answer to a question from
- 7 Mr. Simpson, that in the past you had received some funding
- 8 for your public education advocacy from the law firm Meyer,
- 9 Glitzenstein & Crystal. Do you remember that testimony?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q Do you know whether the law firm was reimbursed by anyone
- 12 | for those expenses?
- 13 A I believe they were.
- 14 Q Now, Mr. Rider, why did you stay so long at Ringling
- 15 Brothers for two-and-a-half years?
- 16 A Well, I loved my girls and that was foremost, but you
- 17 | have to understand, if -- if you're in a strange town
- 18 somewhere, and like, for instance, if I was going to complain
- 19 about the abuse, I knew that I could get fired, in 15 minutes
- 20 | I'm going to be off the train, so that's a deterrent right
- 21 | there not to complain, don't go to management, don't go to
- 22 other places.
- But I loved my elephants and I knew that if I was
- 24 there, at least they were hearing -- you know, they were
- 25 hearing me every day, they were seeing me every afternoon and

- I I could give them my love and affection.
- Q And could you explain the reasons that you left your employment with Daniel Raffo?
- 4 | A The main reason I left is that I thought the conditions
- 5 would change once I got over to Europe. It didn't change. I
- 6 was forced into using a bull hook. His wife was always on me
- 7 about, "Where's your hook, where's your hook," and it just got
- 8 to a point then after I'd seen what happened with the two
- 9 tigers, I just said, "That's it. I'm -- I'm going to do
- 10 everything I can to help these elephants."
- 11 Q Okay. And the photographs that Mr. Simpson showed you
- 12 | last week of you with a bull hook in your hand, which I think
- 13 you said was on the docks. Do you remember that?
- 14 A Yes, ma'am.
- 16 those bull hooks?
- 17 A Daniel Raffo.
- 18 Q Okay. Mr. Simpson also asked you about your original
- 19 response to Interrogatory No. 24 concerning how you first got
- 20 to PAWS from Boston. Do you remember that?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q And how did you get from Boston to PAWS?
- 23 A I got there by bus.
- Q Okay. If we could go to Defendant's Exhibit 16 at page
- 25 89. Okay. And you see your supplemental response to

- Interrogatory No. 24?
- 2 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And at the very beginning of your response, could you just read the first clause of your response.
- A (Reading) I hereby incorporate by reference the deposition testimony that I provided on December 18-19, 2007.
- Q That's enough for that. Okay. And now, what I'd like to do is go to that deposition testimony, if we could,
- 9 Mr. Rider's deposition testimony from December of 2007, and
  10 I'd like to go to page 161, line 3. And if you could read,
  11 starting on line 3, Mr. Rider, down to line ten, please.
- 12 A You want me to read my answer or the whole thing?
- 13 Q Yeah, go ahead.

- 14 A (Reading) After your discussion with Ms. Swart, what did
  15 you do?
  - (Reading) Went downstairs and got on the bus for California.
- 18 (Reading) And traveled where?
- 19 (Reading) To Galt, California -- actually
  20 Sacramento. Was met in Sacramento by a secretary.
- Q Thank you. So if an earlier interrogatory said you had flown to California, would that have been correct?
- 23 A No, that wouldn't have been correct.
- Q All right. Now, you testified that the first time you went public about the abuse that you witnessed in the circus

- industry was when you talked to a reporter for the Daily
- 2 Mirror in London. Do you remember that testimony?
- 3 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Did you learn anything from that experience with talking to the media?
- A That the public can find out that -- I mean, I knew at that point by stepping forward and speaking for the elephants that the public was going to be made aware of this. It was a large article and I knew there would be a good response and I knew that this was a way to help the elephants.
- Q Okay. When you say "this was a way," talking to the media?
  - A Yeah, talking to the media and to newspapers.
  - Q All right. And Mr. Simpson also asked you about a time when someone from the Fund for Animals discussed a job opening with you. Do you remember that testimony?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.

14

15

16

22

23

24

- 18 Q And my question is, why didn't you pursue that job?
- 19 A It wasn't -- I spoke to the person there, and it wasn't
  20 "Come here, you've got the job." It was, "We have an opening
  21 and there's a lot of people applying, come on down and apply."
  - Well, at that point I knew I was better off to just stay with what I was doing and keep the media going because that wasn't a guaranteed job there.
  - Q Okay. Mr. Rider, did anyone ever give you money in

- exchange for you being a Plaintiff in this case?
- 2 A Absolutely not.
- Q Okay. Did anyone ever promise you money in exchange for
- 4 you being a Plaintiff in this case?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Have you ever demanded money from any of the Plaintiff
- 7 organizations in exchange for being a Plaintiff in this case?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Have you ever demanded money from anyone in exchange for
- 10 being a Plaintiff in this case?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Have you ever asked anyone associated with Feld
- 13 Entertainment for money in exchange for dropping this case?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 Q Have you ever asked anyone associated with Feld
- 16 Entertainment for anything else of value in exchange for
- 17 dropping this case?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Has anyone on your behalf ever proposed dropping this
- 20 case in exchange for getting you money?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Now, Mr. Simpson asked you if you expected to continue to
- 23 get funding for your public education advocacy once the
- 24 | lawsuit was over. Do you remember that testimony?
- 25 🛮 A Yes, ma'am.

- Q And I believe you said -- you said, "No, not if you win the case." Do you remember that?
- 3 A Right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 4 Q What did you mean by that?
  - A Well, I meant that if we prevail, there is -- you know, I mean, we've -- there is not going to be anymore media than maybe a day or two, but other than that, I'm not going to go around the United States chasing Ringling because at that point the elephants are going to be in a better situation, so that's what I meant by that.
  - Q And if you lose the lawsuit, do you think you might continue to do media and public education on this issue?
  - A As long as it takes me to get these elephants into a position where they are not being treated like they're being treated now, I will devote the rest of my life to doing media if I have to.
  - Q Okay. Now, you -- in answers to some questions from Mr. Simpson, you were describing the way the elephants would sway when you worked at Ringling Brothers, remember that?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And I think you said in response to a question he asked
  you that they would stop swaying if they were fed hay; is that
  correct?
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q All right. So was that a way to get them to stop

- 1 swaying, to feed them hay?
- 2 A I mean, they would stop because they'd be eating.
- 3 Q Okay. When did you find out where Sophie was?
- 4 A I believe it was either right at the deposition or
- 5 | shortly -- I'm almost positive that Mr. Simpson said it at the
- 6 deposition, and I went, "Oh, I didn't know she was" -- I don't
- 7 recall -- I don't remember before that. I remember at the
- 8 deposition, but I couldn't tell you who or when. I just -- I
- 9 thought that's first place I heard it.
- 10 Q Okay. And so it's after the deposition when you knew
- 11 where Sophie was that you went to visit her?
- 12 A Yes, I'm sure it was.
- 13 Q Okay. And you talked about Rebecca and Mini, the two
- 14 elephants that went to the PAWS sanctuary. Do you remember
- 15 | that?
- 16 A Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q All right. When did they go to the PAWS sanctuary?
- 18 A I believe it was after the settlement with Ringling
- 19 Brothers, maybe 2001.
- 20 Q It was after you brought this lawsuit, wasn't it?
- 21 A As far as I know, yes.
- 22 0 It was after --
- 23 A Oh, yes, it was after. I'm -- absolutely because PAWS
- 24 was on the lawsuit in the beginning and then they weren't.
- 25 Q It was after PAWS withdrew from this lawsuit, wasn't it?

- 1 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And how did you feel about Mini and Rebecca going to PAWS?
- 4 A Well, I was -- I was -- I felt good for them
- 5 that they weren't in the circus anymore, but I knew that
- 6 because of -- you know, being told I couldn't speak out with
- 7 Ringling, I didn't know if I could ever go up there and visit
- 8 them, so I knew there shouldn't have been any reason I
- 9 couldn't go, it's just I never had the opportunity for one,
- 10 but I'm glad they were where -- I'm glad that Mini got to live
- 11 out the rest of her life there. I'm happy with that.
- 12 Q Well, Mr. Simpson asked you if you have had an
- 13 popportunity to see photos of Mini and Rebecca at the PAWS
- 14 sanctuary, and I think you said "yes."
- 15 A Yes.

- 16 Q What did you observe about those photographs?
- 17 A Well, I -- from living up there, I knew where they were
- 18 in the photos, and so I could picture that in my mind. It
- 20 | but of course, Mini wasn't gone yet, so when I seen those two
- 21 | together, I thought -- actually there was three. I guess they
- 22 made friends with one of them there at PAWS, so there was
- 23 three elephants there, and I thought, well, at least they're
- 24 | together and it looked like they were having a lot of fun.
  - Q And can you describe what the sanctuary looks like that

they were at?

A The sanctuary is 2300 -- or 2300 acres. It's kind of a hilly part of the foothills of the San Andreas mountains, and so you had a few uphills and downhills, and then you had this one big valley that went down and then a whole hide of a hill. I heard the side of the hill was going to be something like the bears or tigers were going over there.

On this side of the river, if you go down a bit, then there was this wide open area and that's where they were -- I know that's where they put Mini and Rebecca. I guess they built some barns, and nothing was there when I was there. This was all bare land. And then there was a couple of ponds. One of them was for the -- the bigger one, I believe, is where they put the ones that Pat Derby had and then the smaller ponds is where they put the other, Rebecca and Mini and whoever the other elephant was.

- Q Okay. And they used a bull hook at that sanctuary?
- 18 A No, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. And you also talked about -- Mr. Simpson asked you whether or not you had -- he asked you about your not going to the inspections, the Court ordered inspections in this case.
- 22 Do you remember that?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q Did you want to go to the inspections?
- 25 A I would have liked to go, but I was -- I was scared for

```
my life. I'm going to be honest about it. I was afraid to go
    around Ringling at that time by -- I just didn't feel that --
2
 3
     I didn't feel secure in going there.
         Okay. Now, Mr. Simpson also --
 4
5
               THE COURT: I might be mistaken. I thought you said
6
    you left working for Raffo because you got tired of seeing
7
     elephants hurt. Isn't that what you said on direct
     examination?
8
9
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10
                          All right. And a few minutes ago you
               THE COURT:
11
     said you left there because you were constantly being asked to
12
    use the hook; is that --
13
               THE WITNESS: At Raffo's, yes, sir.
14
               THE COURT: All right. But originally you said you
15
    got tired of seeing elephants being hooked, though.
    mistaken --
16
17
               THE WITNESS: Yes, when I was at Ringling. I didn't
    use the hook.
18
19
               THE COURT: I'm talking about in Europe.
20
                             In Europe, yes. Yes, Your Honor.
               THE WITNESS:
21
               THE COURT: So why did you leave?
22
                             I left because I had to use the hook.
               THE WITNESS:
23
    I didn't like using the hook. I didn't like the way -- I
24
     thought things would be different when I was over there.
```

THE COURT: But you didn't say that on direct

```
examination, did you?
1
 2
               THE WITNESS: I believe so.
                                            I'm not sure.
 3
               THE COURT:
                          All right.
                                       That's fine.
           (BY MS. MEYER) I mean, as I understood your testimony,
 4
5
     you had several reasons for leaving Raffo; is that correct?
6
          Yeah, it was the tigers.
     Α
 7
               THE COURT:
                           I don't think the hook testimony came
8
     out on direct examination.
9
               MS. MEYER:
                           I'm sorry?
10
                           I don't think the testimony about the
               THE COURT:
11
     hook came out on direct examination.
12
               MS. MEYER:
                           No, I don't remember that, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: You agree with me?
                           I'm not sure. I don't remember that.
14
               MS. MEYER:
15
           (BY MS. MEYER) Okay. Mr. Simpson asked you about your
     standing allegation.
16
17
                           When I say, "I don't believe the hook
               THE COURT:
     testimony came out," I don't believe the testimony came out on
18
19
     direct examination about this witness' use of the hook.
20
               MS. MEYER: That he was made to use the hook.
                                                               Ι
21
     don't remember.
22
                           I don't think that at all.
               THE COURT:
23
     would have recalled that.
24
               MS. MEYER:
                           Okay.
25
           (BY MR. SIMPSON) Mr. Simpson asked you a question about
```

your standing allegations in the complaint, and I'd like to pull up the complaint and take a look at it. Actually, I need to go to paragraph 23 at page 8.

Can you blow 23 up? There you go. Okay. Could you -- could you read that paragraph, Mr. Rider?

A (Reading) Because of his close personal relationship with the elephants, Mr. Rider nevertheless still makes efforts to see the animals, and he has been able to observe the elephants he knows, as well as other Ringling elephants on several occasions during the last couple of years by going to cities where the circus is performing. However, each time he has been able to see the elephants, he is aesthetically injured by the demeanor and physical appearance of the elephants who appear sad and beaten down, devoid of their spirits and extremely stressed, and who exhibit stereotypic behavior such as swaying back and forth.

(Reading) Because of his experience with the circus, Mr. Rider knows that the demeanor and behavior of the elephants, which causes him aesthetic injury is as a result of the way they are mistreated by Ringling Brothers. Because of his love for these elephants, Mr. Rider continues to visit them and will continue to do so in the future even though each time he does so, he suffers more aesthetic injury.

24 Q Thank you.

A You're welcome.

- Q Mr. Rider, have you ever teased someone you love by calling them a name?
  - A Teased someone I love, yes.
  - Q Okay. And I do want to play that clip that Mr. Simpson showed you of you calling Karen a bitch. Sorry. I want to play it again.
- 7 A That's okay.

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

9 Decause I want to play some more of it, actually. So we need to go to Defendant's Exhibit 30 and time stamp 16:45 to 17:16.

## 11 (VIDEO CLIP PLAYED.)

- Q (BY MS. MEYER) And Mr. Simpson also asked you with respect to the same videotape, he was asking you about whether or not you had seen bags of feces, I think is what he's asking you, and I think you said yes, you had seen some bags on the train. I would like to show another part of this clip. Again, this is Defendant's Exhibit 30.
- THE COURT: You were just teasing the elephant by calling her that name?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 21 THE COURT: Hardly a term of endearment, though, is 22 it?
- THE WITNESS: Not -- I guess it's not -- I just -- I

  do it to my daughters, you know, I do it to her. It's like --
- 25 THE COURT: You call your daughters that?

THE WITNESS: I don't do it as like -- it's just, you know, when you're -- you know, she's -- like my daughter does something that's, you know, I don't want to nag and yell and scream at her. It's like, you know -- especially if she starts nagging at me or something. It's like a term of endearment to me. I don't know how to other to put it. THE COURT: I think you said it all. THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes. MS. MEYER: I want to show a clip from Defendant's 30 from 9:55 to 10:31. (VIDEO CLIP PLAYED.) (BY MS. MEYER) Okay. What did you observe in that clip? Well, I observed this pile of dung sitting here, which would be normal on the train. This is what -- this is what I was talking about when I say we didn't put it in bags. That -- we never bagged up any kind of stuff, and I also noticed that the chains don't have the firehose on them, which is a violation of the California law. THE COURT: I'm sorry, the chains didn't have the what? THE WITNESS: The chains did not have the firehose to protect them and this is --THE COURT: In other words, they aren't wrapped in firehose.

THE WITNESS: Right. They were not wrapped, and you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have to do it in California.

MS. MEYER: Okay. Now, Your Honor, I do want to show as a demonstrative aid, if you'll let me, I'd like to show, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 611, a clip -- a video clip of a Ringling Brothers employee hitting an elephant simply as a demonstrative aid so that I can ask Mr. Rider --

THE COURT: Haven't we been through this? Is this the one that was taken four or five years after he left?

MS. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What's the relevance of that? Is it going to come in under some other exception -- isn't there some other basis for getting it in the record?

MS. MEYER: Well, we do have another basis, but -THE COURT: In other words, the tape speaks for
itself, whatever it is, right?

MS. MEYER: Well, I tell you why I wanted to show it, Your Honor, because again, under 611, it's a demonstrative aid, it's just the same as me showing him a bull hook and saying, "Is this the kind of bull hook you saw used at Ringling Brothers."

I mean, this is the kind of thing -- and I have case cites, *Minabea Company versus Papst*, which is a Judge Friedman decision, 231 FRD3 where Judge Friedman held that in a bench trial, using a demonstrative aid like this is well grounded and there's no basis for keeping it out based on prejudice

because there's no jury here. The D.C. Circuit in United 2 States versus McKinley, 485 --3 THE COURT: I'm sorry, which rule you relying on? It's simply to give you more 4 MS. MEYER: 611. 5 information about what he's talking about. 6 I understand his testimony, and there THE COURT: 7 already been some -- are you telling me that there is no other 8 rule that will permit the admissibility of that tape? Well, we think we're going to get it in, 9 MS. MEYER: 10 but also as a public record of the USDA, a business record, 11 but that's going to be after he's not here and --12 What's the objection, Counsel? THE COURT: 13 MR. SIMPSON: Your Honor, the basic problem here is it's the Trojan horse. That's what this is. 14 This tape was 15 made by a person who's on their witness list. Her name Denise This tape was made --16 Bolbol. 17 Is she going to testify? THE COURT: No, she's not, Your Honor. 18 MS. MEYER: 19 THE COURT: Well, you know what, they should have 20 brought her in here as a witness to prove it up. Just like 21 they did with Cuviello, it's the same problem, and now they 22 want to bring it in through a man who is long gone from the circus at the time this tape was made. It's offered for the 23

truth of the matter asserted, and they're going to try to get

24

him to say exactly that.

1 MS. MEYER: That's not correct, Your Honor. 2 offering --3 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow it. I'll read those cases over the evening hour. I'm not going to allow it. 4 5 You can bring your witness back and I'll certainly take a look at that authority, but I'm not inclined to allow it. 6 7 Is the witness not available the one who took the 8 tape -- who made the tape? 9 MS. MEYER: I don't know. She lives in California. 10 We weren't planning to bring -- get her here for this. 11 THE COURT: Yeah. Well, you know, you can hook her 12 up on the video then. She took the tape. She can testify 13 about it. She can authenticate it. Maybe it comes in for 14 whatever --15 MS. MEYER: Will the Defendant allow that at this point in time? 16 17 THE COURT: It's a question of whether the Court 18 will allow it, and if it's permissible for the Court to allow 19 it, then the Court will allow it. 20 MS. MEYER: Okay. 21 THE COURT: It may well be it's objectionable. 22 Is this person listed as a witness? don't know. 23 I think she was listed as a May Call MS. MEYER: 24 witness because we thought we could get it in through --25 If this is appropriate evidence, you THE COURT:

have a basis for it and testify about this tape she took, then it will become a part of the record if it's appropriate.

Seems to me that's the easiest way to do it if there's an appropriate evidentiary basis for that. I don't know if there is or not.

MS. MEYER: Okay.

THE COURT: I mean, Mr. Simpson indicated that you listed her as a witness, and apparently he was under the impression that you could possibly call her. I don't know whether there were objections made to the scope of her testimony or not in the pretrial record, were there? Did you object, Counsel?

MR. SIMPSON: We didn't, Your Honor. What we objected to was them trying to prove this up with an affidavit. We need a live witness.

THE COURT: Why don't you call her. If she's available, she doesn't have to get on a plane and fly here. We have video conferencing. We have some ability to do video conferencing, and I've told counsel this. It's not a surprise. I've said this before in pretrial proceedings, I've said to both sides on more than one occasion to the extent the Court can accommodate a side, recognizing that there are significant costs associated with the prosecution and defense of a lawsuit, then I was prepared to do so and still am prepared to do so.

I mean, Maybe she can go to the federal courthouse 1 2 there or wherever she's at, LA or San Francisco. I don't 3 know. Okay. We can explore that, Your Honor. 4 MS. MEYER: 5 We can explore that. 6 Give me a proffer as to what that tape THE COURT: 7 Is the tape -- you contend she made that the tape, shows. 8 right? 9 MS. MEYER: Yes, yes. 10 THE COURT: She made the tape when? 11 MS. MEYER: 2004, Your Honor. 12 Taken of the blue team or red team or --THE COURT: 13 It's the blue unit. MS. MEYER: THE COURT: 14 Blue unit? 15 MS. MEYER: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Seems to be it probably has some 17 evidentiary value, so she doesn't have to hop on a plain to get here. If she wants, she is more than welcome to join us. 18 19 To the extent we can accommodate her, we'll do so. We'll do 20 so. 21 MS. MEYER: Your Honor, I do want to move into 22 evidence Mr. Rider's affidavit that he did to the USDA, which 23 is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20. 24 THE COURT: This is redirect examination, so what's the appropriate basis?

MS. MEYER: It's a prior consistent statement because I believe that his --

THE COURT: Prior consistent statement.

MS. MEYER: Prior consistent statement because his credibility was attacked by Defense counsel, so I'd like to move that in as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20. It's an affidavit that Mr. Rider gave to the USDA on July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2000, and I'd also like --

THE COURT: He was impeached in a number of ways -- by a number of ways which impeachment are you referring to?

MS. MEYER: I'm referring to the many questions that he was asked about making -- implying that he was making up these stories as things have gone on and as he's received money from the groups, et cetera.

This is an affidavit -- sworn affidavit he prepared on July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2000 for the United States Department of Agriculture, so I think it's a prior consistent statement that should come into the record.

MR. SIMPSON: The only part of that, Your Honor, that he was impeached on or it was even discussed were the events he described in New Haven, Connecticut and Richmond, Virginia. The rest of that narrative was never -- there was not one word said about it on direct examination. They didn't use this to refresh recollection.

He needs to get in the box and testify about what he

remembered. The only two incidents he could remember were Richmond and New Haven, so I would submit that it only comes in on those two. The rest of it should be out. It's hearsay, it's self-serving, we tried to go through all of this in discovery in his deposition with respect to his interrogatory answer, which has the same narrative and it was cut off on the grounds that he's got to testify about it from personal memory. If he can't, then the interrogatory would be hearsay. That was Judge Facciola's ruling, and I think the affidavit is in the same category.

MS. MEYER: Your Honor, that's simply not true.

Mr. Simpson was not cut off at all in his deposition taking of Mr. Rider. Mr. Rider was made available for two days straight. There is no cutting off of Mr. Rider, and Mr. -- and Judge Facciola certainly did not hold that at all, and the clear implication of the cross-examination of Mr. Rider here today has been that because he's received funding for his public education work over the years, over the years he's been making things up as he goes along, and this is not hearsay because it's a prior consistent statement that he gave shortly after he left his employment to the United States Department of Agriculture as a sworn affidavit, and I think it should come into the record.

MR. SIMPSON: Your Honor, the deposition of Mr. Rider proceeded at the behest of the Defendant for two

days. At the end of the second day after it had been limited by Judge Facciola to 14 hours, we were in the middle of his answer to the interrogatory that talked about all the mistreatment he claims he saw at Ringling Brothers.

She stopped it and we had to go to Judge Facciola to get a ruling, and this was his ruling. And I'm reading from his order dated January 7, 2008, which is Docket Entry No. 245 in this case at page 2. And this concerns the interrogatory answer which I think is the same kettle of fish as the affidavit.

(Reading) If, as I think is certain, Rider continues to confirm the accuracy of his interrogatory answers, the continuation of the deposition serves no purpose that I can see. He remains steadfast in insisting that his interrogatory answers were true and testifies to the same effect at trial. His consistent statements and his interrogatory answers confirmed by his deposition testimony are hearsay.

So he ruled that it would be hearsay, and I would submit that the only part of this that came up in the direct were two cities, and now they want to bring in a whole list of 35 other instances in which they now claim, although he didn't testify to, he saw. So I think it's vastly outside the scope of direct, it's rank hearsay and shouldn't be allowed.

THE COURT: I'll read it over the evening. This is

an affidavit. What's the --It's Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20, Your Honor. 2 MS. MEYER: 3 THE COURT: I'll read it. How many pages is it? It's about seven pages, I believe. 4 MS. MEYER: 5 I'll read it over the evening hour. THE COURT: 6 Anything else? 7 MS. MEYER: I also wanted to move in as a prior consistent statement, the deposition that Mr. Rider gave to 8 PAWS on March 25<sup>th</sup>, 2000, which is Defendant's Exhibit 33, 9 10 which Mr. Simpson asked many questions of, of Mr. Rider during 11 his cross-examination, and I think under Federal Rule of 12 Evidence 106, for completeness purposes, if he's going to use bits and pieces of it, the whole thing should come in. 13 14 THE COURT: It's not for the purpose of 15 completeness. It's for purposes of rehabilitating if he's been impeached. Now, if he's been impeached, it's your burden 16 17 to tell me where he's been impeached, and that portion of the prior consistent statement that rehabilitates his testimony, 18 19 and you have to do that from chapter and verse, Counsel. 20 not going to let in the entire deposition. 21 MS. MEYER: I'm referring, Your Honor, to Rule 32.

THE COURT: You could state it tonight. You can isolate the impeachment portion of his testimony because you have realtime testimony, you can show me the portion of his deposition that rehabilitates it and I'll consider your

22

23

proffer tomorrow. I'm not going to stop it. It's your burden 2 to do it tonight. 3 MS. MEYER: All right. I actually think --Same thing with respect to the 4 THE COURT: 5 affidavit. You can go through that testimony, his 6 cross-examination, you can point out where he's been 7 impeached, you can then point out the portions of his 8 affidavit that rehabilitate him and serve as prior consistent 9 statement. That's your job to do it. 10 MS. MEYER: Okay. I actually think I can probably 11 do that with the PAWS transcript right now, unless you want me 12 to do it later. 13 THE COURT: I'm not going to wait for that. 14 going to call your next witness. 15 MS. MEYER: All right. 16 THE COURT: Unless you're finished questioning this 17 witness. 18 MS. MEYER: I am finished. 19 THE COURT: All right. Any other questions? 20 MR. SIMPSON: I do, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. You can recross. 22 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. SIMPSON: 24 Mr. Rider, in response to Ms. Meyer's questions about your complaints to Randy Peterson, you said that Randy

- Peterson threatened your job; is that correct?
- 2 A Yes, sir.

- Q And you, in fact, took those concerns to the union; isn't that correct?
  - A No, sir, I never made a complaint to the union.
  - Q All right. Well, let me refer you to your interrogatory answers, No. 15, which is Defendant's Exhibit 16 at page 31.

THE COURT: Let me just stop you for one second.

You know, let me just say this: You don't have to stay up all night and do this. This comes -- this may or may not come in subject to this line of questioning. So, you don't have to do it tonight. It's probably best if you do it today while the testimony is reasonably fresh in our minds, but I'm not requiring you to do it tonight.

If you don't want to do it, we can talk about this at some other point, but to the extent it's hooked up to his testimony now. He's available now and there's a need to ask him other questions, it will be preferable to do it, but to just to offer that entire testimony, that deposition testimony, I think the burden should probably be on the Plaintiff to show me why it should become a part of the evidentiary record on the -- at the rehabilitative phase of examination, but you don't have to do it tonight if you prefer not to do that, Counsel. Go ahead.

Q (BY MR. SIMPSON) Let me refer you, sir, to the entry for

- Greensboro, North Carolina, February 11<sup>th</sup> through 15<sup>th</sup>, 1998, and it states, (reading) I went to the union because Randy Peterson threatened my job because I was complaining about people beating the elephants.
  - Have I read that correctly?
- 6 A You read it correctly.
  - Q And you stated that under oath at the time, did you not?
- 8 A I stated it under oath.
- Q And in response to your complaint to the union, the union told you you couldn't be fired for complaining about animal abuse; isn't that true?
- 12 A No, that's not true.
- Q All right. Do you remember saying that in an interview in Denver, Colorado on the radio?
- 15 **||** A When?

21

22

5

- 16 | O In August of 2004?
- A I may have said it, but when I went to the union in

  Greensboro and then I asked him -- I didn't go in and file a

  complaint. That's what I'm saying about that.
  - Q But your -- your understanding from talking to the union was that you could not be fired and you could not lose your job for complaining about animal abuse; isn't that true, sir?
- 23 A They said I had to be wrote up three times.
- Q They told you you couldn't be fired for complaining about animal abuse, didn't they?

- 1 A I don't -- I don't think I -- I don't remember that, no.
- 2 Q Let me refer you to a transcript of a radio interview
- 3 | that you gave in Denver Colorado on August 10, 2004. And this
- 4 was with a person named Tom Green. Do you remember that?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And it states in here -- opposite Mr. Rider. (Reading)
- 7 When I was there, I complained to my supervisor. You could
- 8 only complain so much. If you tried to go to the USDA, you'd
- 9 be fired. They threatened my job in Greensboro, North
- 10 Carolina. Luckily, we were union and the union stepped in and
- 11 | said, "You can't fire him because he's complaining about your
- 12 elephants." Basically, they just kind of let it go and
- 13 | figured -- and just figured, "Well, I can't do anything
- 14 because I don't want to lose my job."
- 15 Did I read that right?
- 16 A Right. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Ms. Meyer also asked you about an interaction with Jeff
- 18 Steele in Boston, Massachusetts with respect to marks on the
- 19 elephants. Do you remember that?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q And Jeff Steele got pretty worked up about that, didn't
- 22 he?
- 23 A Well, because it was in the public view.
- 24 Q Right. And what really got him worked up was the fact
- 25 that the elephants had a lot of marks on them from fighting

with each other, wasn't it? 2 Α No. 3 You don't recall that? I don't recall him saying that. 4 5 All right. Let me refer you to that same PAWS statement, Defendant's Exhibit 33, page 66, line 9 through 68, line 4. 6 7 (VIDEO CLIP PLAYED.) 8 (BY MR. SIMPSON) Now, Mr. Rider, you testified in 9 response to Ms. Meyer's questions that you want to get the Blue 10 Unit elephants away from the way they're being treated now; is 11 that correct? 12 Yes, sir. Α But since December 1<sup>St</sup>, 1999, it's true, is it not, you 13 14 haven't seen any mistreatment of the Asian elephant Jewell, 15 have you? 16 Α No. You haven't seen, since December 1<sup>st</sup>, 1999, any 17 mistreatment of the Asian elephant Lutzi, have you? 18 19 Α No. You haven't seen, since December 1<sup>St</sup>, 1999, any 20 mistreatment of the Asian elephant Mysore; isn't that true? 21 Well, other than chaining, so no. 22 Α You want to stand by your answer? Is it "yes" or "no"? 23 It's "no." 24 Α

You haven't seen any mistreatment, since December 1,

- 1 | 1999, of the Asian elephant Nicole, have you?
- 2 A No.
- Q You haven't seen any mistreatment of the Asian elephant
- 4 Susan since December 1<sup>st</sup>, 1999; isn't that true?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And you haven't seen any mistreatment of the Asian
- 7 elephant Zina since December 1<sup>st</sup>, 1999; isn't that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And the only incident of mistreatment that you say you've
- 10 seen of Karen the elephant is that film you made of Mr. Ridley
- 11 | in Tulsa in 2001; isn't that right?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q And you couldn't tell in that situation whether Karen or
- 14 whoever that elephant was bled, correct?
- 15 | A True.
- 16 Q Now, Ms. Meyer showed you the answer to Interrogatory 24
- 17 in which you were asked to answer a question about the money
- 18 that you have received from animal advocates. Do you remember
- 19 | that?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q She pointed out to you that objections were made. Do you
- 22 remember that?
- 23 A Uh-huh.
- 24 Q And objections were made based on your personal privacy;
- 25 isn't that true?

- l A Yes.
- Q And isn't it a fact, sir, that you sought a protective order and it was denied?
- 4 A I --
- THE COURT: I can take notice of that. I don't know if he even knows that, but I can certainly take notice of that, if that's the case. I think it probably is the case.
- Q (BY MR. SIMPSON) And let me just show you that exhibit,

  if we could, sir. It's Defendant's Exhibit 16 at page 40 in the

  exhibit. And Ms. Meyer showed you this page. She's the one who

  submitted these objections; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- Q And that law firm Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal is the same law firm that sent you a 1099 for 2000-and-some-odd dollars for the year 2001; isn't that true?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- Q You mentioned that you had -- you think that the olive oil film was made by a person named Vika. You remember that testimony?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q And Vika was at the end of the film; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q We didn't show that film in here last Thursday all the way to the end, did we?
- 25 A I'm not sure.

- 1 Q How do you know it's Vika?
- 2 A Because Vika was there.
- 3 | Q Well, how many times have you seen that film?
- 4 A Once.
- 5 Q And that was played in the courtroom?
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 Q Last Thursday?
- 8 A Uh-huh.
- 9 Q So you -- you, based on that, are saying it's Vika?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q You saw Vika when it was played in the courtroom?
- 12 A No, I didn't see Vika when it was played in the
- 13 courtroom. Vika was taking the pictures.
- 14 Q Vika wasn't on the part that was played in the courtroom;
- 15 | isn't that true?
- 16 A I guess not.
- 17 Q And you've seen that olive oil film on another occasion,
- 18 haven't you?
- 19 A Somewhere.
- 20 Q Yeah. When did you see it?
- 21 A I don't remember.
- 22 Q You saw it to get ready for this trial, didn't you?
- 23 A I may have.
- MR. SIMPSON: All right. No further questions.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Your witness. You can close

with your witness.

MS. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. I did want to point out to you, Your Honor, that with respect to Mr. Rider's direct testimony last Thursday, he did talk about not wanting to be made to use the bull hook.

THE COURT: That's fine. I figured you'd correct me if I'm wrong.

MS. MEYER: Yeah, it's at page 71, line 3 to line 9.

THE COURT: I just didn't recall it. That's fair enough.

MS. MEYER: I didn't either.

THE COURT: I thought that was something that I would have recalled, but I didn't hear that. All right.

That's fine.

MS. MEYER: I want to just -- just to complete the story of what happened with the remarks made by Mr. Steele in Boston, and I'd like go to -- back to the PAWS transcript that Mr. Simpson has been reading from, which is their Exhibit 33 and go to lines where Mr. Simpson stopped. Go to line 68:05 and read to line 70:07, if we could do that.

MS. SINNOTT: Excuse me, Carol, could you switch us back. Thanks.

## FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. MEYER:

Q So Mr. Simpson stopped at line 68, line 4, so I would

- l like you to read line 68, line 5.
- 2 A (Reading) What is your sense that he was concerned about
- 3 the elephants -- what is it your sense that he was concerned
- 4 about the elephants or he was concerned about?
- 5 (Reading) He was concerned about being caught.
- 6 There was no concern about the elephants.
- 7 (Reading) What was his -- I got -- so I got up on
- 8 my birthday, and he told me, this is a business. And I think
- 9 that is his attitude, was it strictly -- was, it is strictly
- 10 business. It is not the care of the elephants. He doesn't
- 11 worry about -- he doesn't want the hook marks to be seen by
- 12 the public. Because if they are seen, he has to answer for it
- 13 eventually. And he has to answer to somebody higher up, which
- 14 is Richard Froemming. Richard knows what's going on, too.
- 15 Q Keep reading.
- 16 A (Reading) That particular time, I mean, the hook marks
- 17 were nothing like in Richmond. They were all over the
- 18 elephants. It was -- I know he wasn't concerned with the
- 19 elephants. He was concerned for himself more than he was the
- 20 elephants. It was like, "I'm going to get in trouble," so I
- 21 don't want to see this -- I don't want to see this anymore.
- 22 (Reading) What city is this?
- 23 (Reading) Boston. Fleet center is in Boston.
- 24 That's it. You got the big ramp that goes on back up -- a big
- 25 ramp going up. That was Boston.

(Reading) He came back in. It was in Saint Louis, 1 He said twice. The first time he said, it must have 2 3 been Boston where I heard it. Just prior to Boston, he jumped on everybody, too, although it was the day before. Then he 4 5 came back the next night because there was a couple of 6 incidents where it happened. Because when I got to work, they 7 told me Jeff Steele was mad about all those hook marks. 8 then the next night -- so it was Boston, twice in Boston when 9 he --10 0 Keep going. 11 (reading) -- Said it. I remember when I came in, he came 12 back up -- he came back from jumping on everybody about hook 13 marks again, purpose, in two days. 14 (Reading) So that kind of -- show you what I mean 15 by this day on -- day one day on process. You got two years 16 of clouds here that -- basically, hooking and beating for the 17 whole two years.

Q That's enough. Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MEYER: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step down. Thank you. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone.

THE WITNESS: All right.

THE COURT: Just so -- Ms. Meyer.

You can step down.

With respect to the prior consistent, again, just so the record is clear, the reason I'm requiring you to do it, first of all, it's because it's nonjury.

I mean, there is an exception to the hearsay rule.

I think it's your burden that if you're offering it as a prior consistent statement to show where during cross-examination he was impeached and there's a need for rehabilitation with indeed a prior consistent statement as an exception to the hearsay. I think that's your burden.

Do you have to do it now? Probably not. You know, so I'm not trying to deprive you of sleep tonight.

MS. MEYER: Okay. I appreciate that.

THE COURT: The other thing is this: I'm just thinking about the witness in California. Well, it would be probably overkill to have her go to some federal courthouse there and hook up video conference. You know, we can probably -- she could probably testify by way of phone, unless there is some reason why she can't, but then I start thinking about it how is she going to authenticate a film she can't even see over the phone.

So you'll have to figure that out. It may well be that that film comes in as a business record of the USDA. I don't know. I don't know. That's your burden. You have some cases you want me to read, that's fine. It's a film of -- it's a film taken by someone who's not an employee of USDA

that may have been sent to USDA in the course of investigation. Is this a business record within the meaning of the rules of evidence of USDA? You know, could be. I don't know. There should be -- there should be some authority that addresses that.

It's not as if someone from USDA went out and took this film, right?

MS. MEYER: Right.

THE COURT: Unless you -- so I don't know whether it's a business record of USDA, you know, or not. So I'm just exploring those options with you. You know, I don't know how you get someone on the telephone to authenticate a film she can't see. I don't know.

MS. MEYER: Okay.

THE COURT: Because, you know, you're very innovative. You'll figure out a way, but if you can't, you know, then maybe it comes in as a business record, I don't know, and it probably has some evidentiary value, I assume, but I'm not going to rule on that in a vacuum. I don't know. I'm just sharing these options with you. It's up to you to figure it out.

MS. MEYER: Okay.

THE COURT: But I'll be happy to read whatever cases you want to bring to my attention, but that last point, you don't have to do that tonight, I don't think. I mean, if

```
there's a -- you to supplement a prior consistent and it's
     appropriate to let it come into the record, I'll let portions
 2
 3
     of his deposition testimony and indeed portions of his
     affidavit as appropriate, but as long as they -- they -- you
 4
5
    know, the introduction -- the receipt of those items is not in
6
     conflict with a fair reading of the Federal Rules of Evidence.
7
               MS. MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
8
               THE COURT: Who's your next witness?
9
               MS. MEYER: Before we do the next witness, I did
10
    want to move in one other exhibit which has not been objected
11
     to, and I wanted just to read a little portion of it.
12
    Plaintiffs', we'll call, Exhibit 93, and there has been no
13
     objection.
14
               THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
15
               MR. SIMPSON: I'm sorry, I don't have the numbers
16
    memorized. I have to look it up, Judge.
17
               THE COURT: You want to take a minute to see?
18
               (PAUSE.)
19
               MR. SIMPSON: You said 93?
20
               MS. MEYER:
                          Right.
21
               THE COURT: 93, that's what I heard.
22
               MR. SIMPSON: Yeah, there's no objection.
23
               THE COURT: All right. Admitted.
24
               (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 93 ADMITTED.)
25
                          Thank you. This is a memorandum dated
               MS. MEYER:
```

July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2000, and it's from Diane Ward, investigator for the USDA. I just wanted to read the first paragraph.

(Reading) An ex-Ringling Brothers circus employee, Tom Rider, has come forward with many allegations of abuse to the elephants by Ringling Brothers employees. This man is speaking out to the USDA, apparently directly to Michael Dunn and to the news media, all of which he has done with the assistance of Pat Derby, PAWS.

(Reading) I have worked with Tom for the last week and have taken a lengthy statement from him, attached. Tom worked with the elephants as their keeper, barn man, for two-and-a-half years. There is no question that he loves the elephants that he worked with in the Blue Unit and wants to help them find a better life than what is provided by the circus.

That's all, Your Honor. Thank you.

easier. Why don't you do this this evening, file a -- either this evening or tomorrow, file a short pleading. Just tell me what it is you want and where it is in the record and the authority for that, and I'll have counsel for Defendant file a very short pleading in response to that, and that's the luxury I have because it's nonjury, all right.

MS. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. SIMPSON: And this is on the prior consistent

statement? 2 THE COURT: Yeah, the prior consistent statements, 3 yes. Right. 4 MS. MEYER: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: But gain, I'm not trying to -- you know, 6 I don't want you to stay up all night. You know, I don't know 7 how lengthy the deposition portions are. I don't know, how 8 loan think are they that you're attempting to get in? 9 MS. MEYER: Not very lengthy. 10 All right. Then what's fair then? THE COURT: 11 mean, you know -- you know how lengthy they are and what's 12 fair? Tonight or tomorrow? 13 MS. MEYER: Tomorrow. I can do it by tomorrow. 14 THE COURT: That's fine. Why don't I do that, and 15 then tomorrow is Wednesday and then by what, close of business tomorrow or so? 16 17 MS. MEYER: That will be fine, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And then close of business on Thursday 19 then for your response. 20 MR. SIMPSON: All right. 21 THE COURT: All right. Who's your next witness? 22 MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, Plaintiffs call their 23 expert witness Gail Laule. 24 THE COURT: Excuse me one second.

MS. SANERIB: I can give you the spelling of the

```
witness' name. It's Gail, G-a-i-l, and the last name is
 2
     Laule.
 3
               THE COURT: The same procedure I outlined, it also
     pertains to that video issue as well.
 4
 5
               MS. MEYER: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
 6
               THE COURT: The tape issue, it also pertains to the
 7
     tape issue.
 8
               MS. MEYER: We'll include that as well. Thank you.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. Okay.
10
               MS. SANERIB: The last name is Laule, L-a-u-l-e.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Now, is your witness out of
12
     the city -- out of state?
13
               MS. SANERIB: Yes, she is, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: She's going to have to come back
14
15
     tomorrow, does she know that?
16
               MS. SANERIB: She can come back tomorrow.
17
               THE COURT: I had to schedule a TRO for 5:30 for a
     status hearing, so we're going to have to stop promptly at
18
     5:30, but you can certainly use your time between now and
19
20
     then.
21
               MS. SANERIB: Yes, that's fine.
               (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.)
22
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
23
24
               THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
```

THE COURT: Proceed.

1 GAIL ELLEN LAULE, 2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SANERIB: 4 5 Good afternoon, Ms. Laule. Can you please state your 6 full name for the record. 7 Gail Ellen Laule. 8 And Ms. Laule, have you ever worked with animals? 9 Α Yes, I have. 10 What was your first job working with animals? 11 My first job was at Marineland in California working with 12 marine mammals. 13 Okay. And what did you do there? I was an animal trainer, so I worked with all the animals 14 15 there from setations [ph.], and whales, dolphins and sea 16 lions. 17 And about how long did you work at Marineland? I was there for eight-and-a-half years. 18 And what did do after Marineland? 19 20 I worked at the LA Zoo for three-and-a-half years. 21 And what did you do at the LA Zoo? 22 There I was in charge of a show department, a show 23 program there. 24 And what kind of animals were you working with?

That was a pretty wide variety from small mammals like

- 1 raccoons and possums, birds of prey, large cats and some
- 2 lemurs and other animals. Kind of a variety.
  - Q And how long were you at the LA Zoo?
- 5 Q Okay. And what's your current occupation?
- 6 A Currently, I do three things. I'm an animal behavior
- 7 consultant with my consulting firm called Active Environments.
- 8 I am also president of Wildlife In Need, which is a nonprofit
- 9 organization doing rescue work and conservation work, and I am
- 10 vice president of a marine facility called Ocean Adventure.
- 11 Q And during your tenure at Active Environments, have you
- 12 worked with elephants?
- 13 A Yes, I have.

- 14 Q All right. And when you first started working with
- 15 elephants, about when was that?
- 16 A That was in 1989 was the first time.
- 17 Q And how were elephants trained at that point in time?
- 18 A At that time there was really just one form of or system
- 19 of elephant management called "free contact."
- 20 And how does that system work?
- 21 A It's basically a system that utilizes specific tools,
- 22 which is the bull hook and chaining, other sorts of tools like
- 23 | that which primarily is based on negative reinforcement and
- 24 the use of physical punishment to get the animal to comply
- 25  $\parallel$  with the behaviors that you want.

- 1  $\square$  Q Okay. And have you trained elephants using free contact?
- 2 A No, I have not.

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 Q What system have you used?
- 4 | A I use something called "protected contact."
- 5 Q And what's protected contact?
  - A It differs from free contact in that it's a system that's based exclusively on positive reinforcement. The tools we use are targets of varying lengths that the animal moves towards; a whistle, which is used as a conditioned reinforcer, a way of saying good, and food reinforcers. It's also a system that
- Q All right. And who developed this system of protected contact?

prohibits any physical punishment of the elephant.

- A It was my partner, Tim Desmond, and myself.
- 15 Q Okay. And when did you do that?
- 16 A We started in 1989 in the San Diego Wild Animal Park and 17 finished up in 1991.
- 18 Q Okay. And why did you develop that system?
  - A Two basic reasons. At the time there was the recognition that there were a number of people -- a lot of people over the years dying from elephants, being killed by elephants and also being severely injured by them, and there was also some very public eating of an elephant which brought to light the whole issue of the use of physical punishment as well.
- 25 So the industry was really looking for an

alternative, and that's how the opportunity came about.

THE COURT: Talking about the killing of humans in captivity or --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: In captivity?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q (BY MS. SANERIB) And what work did you do to develop protected contact?

A We had an agreement or a contract with the San Diego Zoological Society. We worked at the Wild Animal Park initially with an African bull and an Asian bull with the idea of taking the techniques we had used with marine mammals and applying those to the training of elephants, and so that's what we developed over the course of the time there.

Q Okay. And did you do any research?

A Yeah. I mean, this was the first time we ever worked with elephants, so we spent a lot of time talking to the people there, talking to other experts in the field, researching, you know, reading, observing, asking questions, because we needed to understand not only the behavior of elephants generally, but also what was the system that was currently in existence and how did it need to change.

Q Okay. Ms. Laule, about how many elephants have you worked with?

A I've worked with over 730 elephants.

- 1 Q And where are those elephants located?
- 2 A In various facilities throughout the U.S., Europe and 3 Southeast Asia.
- Q All right. And has Active Environments done any work for any of the Plaintiffs in this case?
- 6 A No.

- Q Okay. Have you worked at any free contact elephant facilities?
  - A Well, because free contact was the only system that's been used for centuries, every facility I've worked at either previously used free contact or was currently using it when I started working there.
    - Q Okay. And what's the work that you mostly do at these facilities?
    - A I'm usually called in either to simply evaluate or assess an elephant program and give feedback on how they might improve it, to evaluate whether it's a system that would work with protected contact, to actually convert with protected contact, training staff in training techniques and the tools to use. I also teach opperant conditioning and problem solving and also to do sometimes individual behavior problems, either an individual elephant or an individual problem.
    - Q Okay. About how much time do you spend at these facilities when you go to them?
  - A It depends, but in most cases I do multiple visits over,

you know, a year, sometimes a lot longer than that.

tool that is based on negative reinforcement.

- Q And have you seen elephant handlers at those facilities training elephants in free contact?
  - A Yes.

- Q And how would you describe the techniques that they use?
- A Well, like I said, it's -- free contact is the traditional system that's been used with animals. They always have a bull hook and the bull hook is used to cue the behavior and then also to elicit the behavior, and the bull hook is a

Basically, it's something that the animal feels fear -- I mean, excuse me, feels pain or discomfort from it and then they do the behavior you want by moving away from that object, escaping that object, the bull hook, okay.

That's a negative reinforcement. And then the person, the trainer in free contact, because they are in with the elephant, there is no barrier between, they take a position of social dominance. They actually become part of that elephant's social system. And because they're this big and elephants are this big, the way they become that dominant person is through the use of the bull hook.

So they use negative reinforcement to get the behavior they want. They must get the behavior they want, so they demand 100 percent compliance because to get less than that may in fact inhibit or be to their detriment, in other

- words, reduce their standing in the view of the elephant, and
- 2 then they also, because of that, use physical discipline or
- 3 physical punishment as well using the bull hook all in the
- 4 context of getting that compliance from the elephant.
- Q Okay. And Ms. Laule, have you ever trained an elephant
- 6 to accept veterinary care?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. Have you trained elephants for husbandry
- 9 procedures?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And are there any zoos that use protected contact?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Would you say roughly how many?
- 14 A The -- my best guess would be about half of the zoos in
- 15 | the U.S. currently use protected contact.
- 16 Q And do any circuses use protected contact?
- 17 | A No.
- 18 Q Have you worked with any circus elephants?
- 19  $\blacksquare$  A Elephants after they were in the circus, yes.
- 20 Q And about how many elephants?
- 21 A I can think of three for sure.
- 22 Q Okay. And what circus did those elephants come from?
- 23 THE COURT: And do you have a view as to why the
- 24 circuses don't use the protected contact?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Because of the requirements of

protected contact, I don't go in with the elephant, first of all, so, you know, all circus performances, there's not only the handler but people with the elephants riding on their backs, and because protected contact is based on --

THE COURT: And the public.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, exactly. Because it's based on voluntary cooperation, okay. I cannot guarantee to you that I'm going to get 100 percent compliance every time, and that's right, you have the public right there and you have to protect them as well, so those are the main issues that make a circus very difficult to convert to protected contact unless they are willing to change what a circus looks like.

- Q (BY MS. SANERIB) Ms. Laule, about how many years have you been training animals?
- A I've been training animals over 30 years.
- 16 Q And why did you get into animal training?
- 17 A Because I love animals. That's what I wanted to do.
  - Q And is it possible, because of your love of animals, that you might be a member of one of Plaintiff organizations in this case?
  - A I'm -- I have been a member and am a member of a variety of animal welfare, conservation, environmental organizations.
    - Q Okay. And do you believe that your memberships in any organizations along those lines is inconsistent with providing objective testimony here in this case?

- 1 MR. SHEA: Objection, leading.
- 2 THE COURT: I'll allow the question to be answered.
- 3 A No, definitely not.
- 4 Q (BY MS. SANERIB) And Ms. Laule, what's the highest
- 5 educational degree that you hold?
- 6 A A master's.
- 7 Q Okay. And what is that master's degree in?
- 8 A Master of behavioral science.
- 9 Q All right. And what was your -- did you provide a thesis
- 10 for your master's degree?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
- 12 | O And what was that in?
- 13 A It was "Behavioral Enrichment Program for Zoo Animals"
- 14 was the title of it.
- 15 Q Okay. And what animals in particular?
- 16 A Well, it actually covered a wide variety of animals, and
- 17 I had a particular project that was part of it was sea lions.
- 18 Q Okay. And Ms. Laule, are you a member of any
- 19 professional organizations?
- 20 A Yeah, right now I'm currently a member of AZA, American
- 21 Zoo Association.
- 22 Q Okay. And have you done any work with the AZA?
- 23 A Yeah. Most of the -- actually I think all of the zoos
- 24 that we work with in the U.S. are AZA members.
- Q Okay. Have you taught any courses for the AZA?

- A Yes, I developed -- I was -- developed the second revision -- or the first revision of the principles of elephant management, which is part of the professional training of AZA.
  - Q And are you still involved in that course?
- 6 A No, I'm not.

5

14

16

17

18

19

20

- 7 Q Okay. Do you know if it's still ongoing today?
- 8 A Yes, it is. I was involved in the first four years of 9 it.
- 10 Q Okay. And have you begun involved in any AZA committees?
- 11 A Yes, I was behavioral adviser to the Elephant SSP for a

  12 number of years. I was on the advisory or the executive board

  13 for behavioral -- Behavior Advisory Group, and I was on the

Elephant Task Force a number of years ago as well.

- 15 Q What was the Elephant Task Force?
  - A It was a committee that was formed at this time when they were just developing the principles of elephant management school and we were kind of going through this transition time of, you know, dealing with the deaths and all the issues associated with elephants.
- Q And I think you mentioned the SSP. Can you explain what that is.
- A Yes. It's called, "Species Survival Plan," and because
  both Asian -- or Asian elephants are endangered and African
  elephants are listed as well, their populations are actually

- 1  $\parallel$  managed in zoos, and so this committee oversees that.
- 2 Q Okay. Ms. Laule, do you attend professional conferences?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 0 And what conferences do you attend?
- A Well, over the years, it's varied, but I've -- recently I
- 6 usually always go to AZA. I've been a member and gone to many
- 7 conferences of the International Marine Animal Trainers
- 8 Association, the American Association of Zoo Keepers, Animal
- 9 Behavior Society, and the International Conference for
- 10 Environmental Enrichment and there was something else, but I
- 11 can't remember it now.
- 12 Oh, oh, and I also, because of the work I do through
- 13 Active Environments, we also work with primates in the
- 14 | laboratories. I've been to conferences that involve animals
- 15 in biomedical research.
- 16 Q Okay. Have you presented at any of the conferences you
- 17 listed?
- 18 A Yeah, I presented most of the time when I go to a
- 19 conference.
- 20 0 Okay. And are those conference proceedings published?
- 21 A Yes, most of them are.
- 22 Q Okay.
- MS. SANERIB: Ms. Sinnott, can you please pull up
- 24 Ms. Laule's 2005 article. It's called, "The Role of Fear in
- 25 Abnormal Behavior."

MR. SHEA: Your Honor, we object to this article 1 2 coming in if it's being offered. 3 THE COURT: Probably being offered in connection with the qualification issue. 4 5 MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, I'm not offering this 6 article for the truth of the matter. I'm offering it to help 7 aid Plaintiffs in countering Defendant's Daubert challenge of 8 this expert. 9 THE COURT: So it goes to qualifications as an 10 expert? 11 MS. SANERIB: That's correct, Your Honor, yes. 12 THE COURT: Any objection? 13 MR. SHEA: Your Honor, it's a -- all I can say is it 14 doesn't come in. It's hearsay with no exception. 15 learned treatise. It doesn't come in as a -- it's body so --16 THE COURT: Let's see what it is. I just want to 17 see what it is and then I can measure what it is against the 18 objection so... 19 MS. SANERIB: If we could go to 3 three of the 20 document, that will give us the title. 21 (BY MS. MEYER) And Ms. Laule, have you seen this article 22 before? 23 Α Yes. 24 All right. And I wanted to go ahead to page 4 of the

document.

THE COURT: Are you offering it to show that the witness has offered articles? MS. SANERIB: Yes, that she's --All right. If you want to do that, THE COURT: that's fine. I'll allow it for that limited purpose but not for the truth of the matter asserted. MS. SANERIB: Okay. All right. Thank you, Your I did want to actually have the witness just point out Honor. a couple of things in this article if I can go ahead and do that. (BY MS. SANERIB) The first one is on page 4 of this exhibit in the first page, if you could just take a look at that underneath the heading. Ms. Laule, could you either read or describe for the Court what you're talking about here? MR. SHEA: Your Honor, we object. I see nothing here that goes to qualifications only. It looks like it's coming in for the truth. THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, we can -- if she's going to render an opinion, we can get to an opinion later, but I've allowed it just for the purpose of your showing that she's authored articles. Let's move on. MS. SANERIB: Okay. THE COURT: You have to qualify her as an expert.

(BY MS. SANERIB) All right. Ms. Laule, what is the --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: You've written articles about what 1 2 topics? 3 THE WITNESS: Mostly about the use of positive reinforcement training, animal welfare, those sorts of things. 4 5 THE COURT: And I assume you published those articles? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I published -- many have been 8 published in peer review journals. I have written chapters 9 and books as well as, you know, presentation proceedings. 10 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 11 MS. SANERIB: I have two other articles of 12 Ms. Laule's, and they're book chapter, and if I can just go 13 ahead and read those, and again these are not coming in for the truth of the matter but just to help us --14 15 THE COURT: You can ask her questions about them. 16 MS. SANERIB: Okay. 17 If we can go to Ms. Laule's article from (BY MS. SANERIB) the Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association, please. 18 19 MR. SHEA: Your Honor, we make the same objections. 20 THE COURT: All right. Insofar as qualification, 21 I'll allow some limited testimony. Let's move on. interested in her opinions. 22 23 MS. SANERIB: And I have just one other article I 24 wanted to move in, which is Ms. Laule's article from the

Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science.

```
THE COURT: All right. Only insofar as this goes to
1
 2
     her qualifications, not for the truth of the matter asserted.
 3
               MS. SANERIB:
                             That's correct, Your Honor, yes.
 4
               MR. SHEA: And Your Honor, we do raise the same
5
     objection.
6
               THE COURT: All right. Overruled. Any voir dire?
7
     I'm sorry, are you finished?
8
               MS. SANERIB: No, I have a few more questions for
9
     Ms. Laule, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
11
               MS. SANERIB:
                             All right.
12
           (BY MS. SANERIB) Ms. Laule, do you know what the Elephant
     Managers Association is?
13
14
          Yes.
15
          And what is the Elephant Managers Association?
          It's an organization that is made up mostly of people who
16
17
     work with elephants, keepers, trainers, that sort of thing.
18
                Is everyone who works with elephants a member of
19
     that organization?
20
      Α
          No.
21
      0
          And why not?
          It's been around for a long time and it was traditionally
22
23
     an organization that was specifically made up of people who
24
     did free contact training, and although that's changed a
     little bit, it still primarily represents those views and so
```

- 1 there's a lot of people that just don't find enough
- 2 commonality there to be involved.
- Q Okay. What size is the community of people who train and work with elephants in the United States?
- 5 A It's not very big.
- Q Okay. Do you generally keep informed about what's going on in that community?
- A Yes, I do. I mean, I do attend conferences, and because
  I work in, you know, many different facilities, I try and
  read, keep up on what articles are out there where things are
  going on.
- Q Okay. And did you submit a curriculum vitae along with your expert report in this matter?
- 14 A Yes.

Α

Yes.

17

20

- Q If we could please go to Plaintiffs', we'll call, Exhibit
  113. Page 604. I think we should have Ms. Laule's CV there,
- And Ms. Laule, does this accurately summarize your qualifications that we've been discussing so far today?

and if we could take a look at the next page 2.

- MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, I move the admission of Ms. Laule's CV as Plaintiffs', we'll call, Exhibit 113E.
- THE COURT: Only insofar as it goes to expertise,

  I'll allow it. Any objection?
- MR. SHEA: We do not object to the CV portion of

that exhibit. We object to the remainder, which includes a 2 report and other documents. 3 THE COURT: All right. I'll allow it. (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 113E ADMITTED.) 4 5 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. SANERIB: 6 (BY MS. SANERIB) Ms. Laule, are you opposed to elephants 7 being in captivity? 8 No. Α 9 Are you opposed to the chaining of elephants? No, if it's used for specific purposes. 10 11 And what purposes are those? 12 Chaining is a form of restraint, and there will be times 13 in caring for an elephant where you would need to restrain them, short periods of time to administer veterinary procedure 14 15 or something like that, so you do want to have that tool available to you, but it's a very specific context. 16 17 I don't believe it should be used for regular management of animals, for housing, for social management, 18 19 that sort of thing. 20 Okay. Ms. Laule, are you being paid by the Plaintiffs in 21 this case? 22 Α No. Why are you donating your time? 23

Because I think I have something to offer the situation.

All right. Do you consider yourself to be an expert in

24

25

Α

elephant training and management? 2 Α Yes. 3 And are you confident you can render an expert opinion in this case even though you've never trained an elephant for the 4 5 circus? 6 Α Yes. 7 MS. SANERIB: All right. Your Honor, I tender Gail 8 Laule as an expert in animal training and in the management 9 and training of elephants in captivity. 10 THE COURT: Any voir dire? 11 MR. SHEA: Yes, Your Honor. 12 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. SHEA: Good afternoon, Ms. Laule. 14 15 Hello. Α Active Environments, is that the name of your company? 16 17 Yes. Α You started that company, didn't you? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 It's a for profit company, correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 And Active Environments consults with elephant 23 institutions to convert management programs from free contact 24 methods, we're talking about elephants, to protected contact methods; is that correct?

- 1 A That's one of things I do, yes.
- 2 Q Now, you and Margaret Whittaker -- let me back up.
- 3 Margaret Whittaker is an employee of Active Environments; is
- 4 that true?
- 5 A Yes, yes.
- 6 Q And you and Margaret Whittaker are the two people at
- 7 Active Environments that provide protected contact training
- 8 services to elephant institutions, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Now, the Humane Society of the United States is a client
- 11 of Active Environments, isn't it?
- 12 A She -- Margaret did one job at Black Beauty Ranch.
- 13 Q Well, in fact, Ms. Whittaker, at the time of your
- 14 deposition in this case, was working for the Humane Society of
- 15 the United States consulting with them to train the elephant
- 16 Babe at the Black Beauty Ranch, correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Is that still ongoing?
- 19 A No.
- 20 0 When did it end?
- 21 A It's been several months since she's been there. I don't
- 22 know exactly.
- 23 Q Okay. Now, Ms. Whittaker was converting Babe to a pure
- 24 protected contact management system; is that true?
- 25 A I'm not sure about that. She primarily went there to

- help assess the animal whether she could be moved from Black
  Beauty Ranch because she had some very, very significant leg
  problems, so she went there to train the animal for X-rays,
  and of course, did that in protected contact, so -- but it was
- 5 more a veterinary issue that she was going for than the training for protected contact.
- Q Ms. Whittaker helped them convert Babe to protected contact completely; isn't that true?
- 9 A I think so. I did not do the job, so I can't tell you
  10 exactly that. I believe they were doing protected contact or
  11 some protected contact prior, but certainly she improved the
  12 situation, yeah.
- 13 Q Babe is the only elephant at that facility, true?
- 14 A Yes, uh-huh.
- Q Now, 70 percent of Active Environments' income is protected contact services, correct?
- A Well, I said that as an estimate in my deposition. I
  can't say that that is exact, but a lot of our work is with
  elephants, yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And 70 percent, is that currently correct?
- 21 A It's probably a little bit less than that now because 22 we've -- we've actually have more primate jobs at the moment.
- Q All right. But that's the number you gave me at the time of your deposition?
- 25 A In October, that's correct.

- 1 Q And that remains pretty close to true, doesn't it?
- 2 A Like I said, I think it's a little bit less now.
- 3 Q Now, you're a member of the ASPCA, correct?
- 4 📕 A As I told you then, I think I am. I know I have been in
- 5 the past, but I'm not current on what my memberships are in
- 6 organizations. I certainly have been in the past, yes.
- 7 Q You haven't checked since your deposition, have you?
- 8 A No, I didn't, sorry.
- 9 Q You're a member of the Humane Society of the United
- 10 States, correct?
- 11 A I think so.
- 12 Q A member of Defenders of Wildlife, correct?
- 13 A Yes, I think so.
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 | Q You've never worked for a circus, correct?
- 17 A That's right.
- 18 Q And you've never trained an elephant to perform in a
- 19 circus, correct?
- 20 A Yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q And you have never been trained in free contact training
- 22 of elephants, true?
- 23 A Well, I have not been trained specifically to do it. I
- 24 | have worked with free contact trainers, including developing
- 25 the principles of elephant management school where we spent a

```
lot of time talking about the techniques of free contact and
 2
     about protected contact, comparing, contrasting, talking about
 3
     how each of us do things, and so that I would consider
     training, although I never actually went on to use it in
 4
     training in free contact.
5
          Now, Ms. Laule, you remember the deposition in your case,
6
 7
     right?
8
          Uh-huh.
      Α
9
          And I asked you a number of questions while you were
     under oath, true?
10
11
          Yes.
12
          All right. Let's go to page -- I'd like to show you page
13
     60 of your deposition beginning on line 1.
               Ms. Laule, and I'll ask you to follow along.
14
15
               (Reading) Question: Have you ever been trained in
16
     free contact training of elephants?
17
               (Reading) Answer:
                                   No.
               Did I read your testimony correctly?
18
19
      Α
          That's correct.
20
          And you've never used an ankus --
               THE COURT: Let her finish, Counsel.
21
22
          No, I just want to say that, but there is also many times
     in that deposition where I told you how much time I spent with
23
24
     these people and how much I learned in the process of that, so
```

yes, that one statement I did answer it, no, but I would

- 1 qualify that I also told you many times over how much time I
- 2 spent and how much I did learn about it from them, so when you
- 3 ask me was I trained by them, that's why I answered the way I
- 4 did just now.
- 5 Q (BY MR. SHEA) Now, Ms. Laule, you've never used a guide
- 6 or ankus, correct?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q And you've never attempted to cue an elephant with a
- 9 guide?
- 10 A That's correct, yes.
- 11 Q Now, your business has never been involved in scientific
- 12 studies of elephants in the wild, true?
- 13 A In the wild, no, that's true.
- 14 Q And you've never conducted studies of elephants in the
- 15 | wild, correct?
- 16 A That's right.
- 17 Q You have never exhibited an elephant in a circus
- 18 performance, true?
- 19 A That's right.
- 20 Q And you've never trained an elephant to be exhibited in
- 21 any performance, correct?
- 22 A Yes. That's correct.
- 23 Q And you've never been asked by a circus to consult with
- 24 | it for elephant handling or care, true?
- 25 📗 A Right.

Now, you've never seen an elephant trained by FEI, 2 correct? 3 In videotapes, yes, I have. You've never directly seen that, have you? 4 5 Α No. 6 And the only videotapes you've seen are those which have 7 been shown by Plaintiffs' counsel in this case; is that 8 correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 And you've never seen FEI's protected contact management 11 methods directly, have you? 12 No. Α 13 And you do not know how FEI defines protected contact, 14 correct? 15 No. Α That is what I'm saying is correct? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 And you're not familiar with FEI's protected contact 19 training methods, correct? 20 That's correct. There was actually one time when I was Α supposed to have the chance to visit, but they didn't want me 21 22 to come. 23 THE COURT: You said "they," who? 24 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to -- I think it was when I

was still teaching the principles of elephant management

- school and there was a trip planned to -- to the -- this was
  the conservation center, but I was specifically told that I
- 3 could not come.
- Q (BY MR. SHEA) Now, you do not know the steps that FEI
- 5 uses to train elephants to accept tethers, correct?
- 6 A I know free contact methods and they do free contact, so
- 7 I can assume that it's being done the same way I've seen it
- 8 done everywhere else.
- 9 Q But you haven't seen them do it, have you?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q And Ms. Laule, you've worked with only two U.S. zoos in
- 12 the past five years, correct?
- 13 A Yes, I think that's correct.
- 14 Q And you're no longer involved in the Principles of
- 15 | Elephant Management course, true?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q No longer involved or consulting with the Species
- 18 Survival Plan of the AZA, correct?
- 19 A That's correct, uh-huh.
- 20 Q And no longer consulting with the Taxon Advisory Group of
- 21 the AZA, correct?
- 22 A Those are the same, the SSP and Taxon Advisory Group are
- 23 | the same, so no.
- 24 MR. SHEA: Okay. Those are all the voir dire
- 25 questions, Your Honor. We renew our *Daubert* objections and

move to strike. 2 THE COURT: All right. Any further questioning? 3 MS. SANERIB: Just a few, Your Honor. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MS. SANERIB: 6 Ms. Laule, you mentioned attending an AZA Principles of 7 Elephant Management course, and I think you said that you could not go to Feld's Conservation Center. Were you told 8 9 why? 10 I'm sorry, it's a little vague, but I think it's simply 11 because I was from Active Environments that did protected 12 contract training. 13 So the circus didn't want you to see their facilities? 14 Α Right. 15 And you -- you mentioned possibly being a member of the 16 ASPCA and the Humane Society of the United States. Why are 17 you not certain about whether you're a member? 18 Because for a number of years now, because I travel so 19 much, including spending a lot of time internationally, my 20 business manager actually takes care of all my personal 21 finances as well, so my assumption is when a renewal notice 22 comes in for an organization I belong to, that she renews it, 23 but I honestly don't know for sure. 24 Okay. And Ms. Laule, have you read scientific studies

about elephants?

1 Α Yes. And were those studies about regarding wild elephants? 2 3 I have read studies about wild elephants and also about some studies on captive elephants as well. 4 5 And about -- do you recall about how many videos you've 6 reviewed in conjunction with this case? 7 Oh, my goodness. 8 Would you say it's more than five? 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay. And do you know if any of those videos came from 11 Feld? 12 It's my understanding they did. 13 Q Okay. 14 MS. SANERIB: And Your Honor, I forgot, there was 15 one additional article of Ms. Laule's that I wanted to move in 16 just for the purpose of supporting her expertise with 17 elephants in training. This is Defendant's Exhibit 228. 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. SHEA: None to that article, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Admitted.

23 right now. Thanks.

24 THE COURT: What's the title of that article?

25 MS. SANERIB: The title of that article is -- maybe

(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 228 ADMITTED.)

MS. SANERIB: All right. And that's all I have

21

```
we can just call it up. The title of the article is --
 2
               THE COURT: Carol has shut us down for the evening.
 3
               MS. SANERIB: The title is "Protected Contact in
     Elephant Welfare."
 4
 5
               THE COURT: All right. That's fine. Any other
 6
     questions, Counsel?
 7
               MS. SANERIB: And just to make the record clear, I
     think we moved that in there, so Plaintiffs' will call Exhibit
 8
 9
     163.
10
               THE COURT: All right.
11
               MS. SANERIB: And the other articles should come in,
12
     I guess, as Plaintiffs' will call, if we can put them all
13
     together, as 164.
14
               THE COURT: This is as to qualifications only.
15
               MS. SANERIB: Yes, for qualifications only. That's
16
     correct.
17
               THE COURT: Did you have another question you want
18
     to ask?
19
               MR. SHEA: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: You may. I'm sorry, had you finished,
21
     Counsel?
22
               MS. SANERIB: Yes.
23
                         VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
24
     BY MR. SHEA:
          Ms. Laule, you're aware that there were inspections
```

- ordered in this case, inspections where Plaintiffs' experts could go and see the elephants, correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q You're aware that one of those was for at the Ringling
- 5 Brothers Center for Elephant Conservation, correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q You didn't attend that, did you?
- 8 A No, I couldn't. I was out of the country. I was unable 9 to attend.
- 10 Q Now, one quick question because I know we're about out of
- 11 time here. The last article that was just referred to, that's
- 12 | Chapter 13 in a book actually, is it not?
- 13 A Yes, just came out.
- 14 | Q Has that been published at this time?
- 15 A It has just came out, yes.
- 16 Q I see. And that contains your current opinions?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 MR. SHEA: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 20 qoing to recite the authorities again. As I indicated,
- 21 | because the Court is proceeding nonjury, then it has the
- 22 | luxury, if you will, of listening to the testimony and
- 23 determining what weight, if any, to give any experts and also
- 24 to make Daubert determinations and I'll do that.
- 25 I will provisionally allow the witness to be

qualified as an expert and to listen to her testimony and give it what weight, if any, it's entitled to when the Court 2 3 addresses the merits in this case. It is 5:30, and I don't think it would be 4 5 appropriate to start with this witness now. You'll have to 6 come back tomorrow. We'll start promptly at 10:00 o'clock 7 tomorrow morning. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 THE COURT: You've been sworn in, you've been 10 provisionally qualified as an expert. What is the precise 11 area of expertise again, just so the record is clear? 12 MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, it was animal training and 13 the management and training of captive elephants. 14 THE COURT: Captive elephants, all right. 15 didn't distinguish between Asian or African elephants or English or anything. 16 17 MS. SANERIB: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Just elephants. All right. 18 19 I have to ask you not to discuss any aspect of your 20 excepted testimony tomorrow. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 THE COURT: Enjoy your evening. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, anything else?

1 MS. SANERIB: Your Honor --2 THE COURT: How long do you anticipate the witness' 3 direct to be tomorrow? I would say probably around an hour. 4 MS. SANERIB: 5 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. All right. 6 don't have to sit there and listen to us talk legalese for 7 awhile. 8 All right. How many additional witnesses do you 9 have tomorrow? 10 MS. MEYER: We have another live witness tomorrow 11 and then we have some deposition testimony, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. And the other witness, just 13 tell me who the witness is. MS. MEYER: The other witness is Colleen Kinsley. 14 15 One of our experts, Colleen Kinsley. THE COURT: Experts. And her area of expertise? 16 17 MS. SANERIB: She's -- Your Honor, she's the general curator at the Oakland Zoo, so she's also worked extensively 18 19 with elephants in captivity both free contact and protected 20 contact. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MS. MEYER: Your Honor, I was wondering if we could 23 have Mr. Rider released from further testimony. He's also 24 been subpoenaed by the Defendant, and given that he's been

thoroughly cross-examined, I was hoping that perhaps --

THE COURT: I don't know. Maybe there's some more
questions. I don't know. I'm inclined to release him, but I
mean, I don't want to -- you have subpoenaed him, right?

MR. SIMPSON: We have, Your Honor, and it's possible
we'll call him in on our case.

THE COURT: I mean, how can we accommodate him? He

THE COURT: I mean, how can we accommodate him? He doesn't reside in Washington, D.C. He's under subpoena, if he'd like to go home and -- that's fine, but he has to be able to come back here, though.

MR. SIMPSON: We can give him as much notice as possible.

THE COURT: When I say he wants to go home, I mean, he lives in the United States on the east coast, right?

MS. MEYER: He can stay. He's in his van. He can stay.

THE COURT: I mean, I don't want -- you know, I don't want him to have to stay here. I mean, I'm going to leave it to counsel to work it out. As long as he can get here, he can drive here within two or three hours or so or fly here. He doesn't have to sit out there in the witness room, that's for sure. He certainly doesn't have to come down here every day. So, can counsel work that out? He is under subpoena. In fairness to Defendant, I don't want to excuse him.

MS. MEYER: Okay.

THE COURT: So, I'll let counsel work out the arrangement there. MS. MEYER: All right. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, I'm trying to say this delicately, but I have to ask you to leave as soon as you can because I do have a 5:30 matter. I'm going to take about a ten-minute recess before that matter. All right. Thank you. (PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:30 P.M.) \*\_\*\_\* 

1	CONTENTS
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF TOM RIDER CONTINUED
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF TOM RIDER 5
4	RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF TOM RIDER
5	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF TIM RIDER 77
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF GAIL LAULE
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF GAIL LAULE BY MR. SHEA 103
8	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF GAIL LAULE BY MS. SANERIB. 111
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF GAIL LAULE BY MR. SHEA 113
10	EXHIBITS:
11 12	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 93 ADMITTED
13	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 228 ADMITTED
14	
15	*_*_*_*
16	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
17	I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a
18	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
19	above-entitled matter.
20	
21	
22	Gotolino Korra
23	Catalina Kerr Date
24	
25	