# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO

ANIMALS,

. CA No. 03-2006

Plaintiff,

v.

Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, February 11, 2009

FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

10:18 a.m.

Defendant.

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL - MORNING SESSION DAY 6
BEFORE THE HONORABLE EMMET G. SULLIVAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs

KATHERINE A. MEYER, ESQ.

TANYA SANERIB, ESQ. ERIC GLITZENSTEIN, ESQ. DELCIANNA WINDERS, ESQ.

Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal 1601 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20009

202-364-4092

For the Defendants:

LISA JOINER, ESQ.
KARA PETTEWAY, ESQ.
JOHN SIMPSON, ESQ.
MICHELLE PARDO, ESQ.

LANCE SHEA, ESQ.

Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202-662-4504

Court Reporter:

JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR Official Court Reporter U.S. Courthouse, Room 6820 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20001 202-354-3187

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Civil action 03-2006, American 3 Society For the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, et al versus Feld Entertainment, et al. 4 5 Will counsel please identify yourself for the record? MS. MEYER: Katherine Meyer for the plaintiffs, your 6 7 Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Meyer. 8 9 MS. SANERIB: Good morning, your Honor. Tanya Sanerib 10 for the plaintiffs. Good morning, your Honor. 11 MR. CRYSTAL: Howard Crystal for the plaintiffs. 12 MS. WINDERS: Good morning. Delcianna Winders for the 13 plaintiffs. 14 15 MS. SINNOTT: Good morning. Michelle Sinnott, tech, 16 for the plaintiffs. MR. SIMPSON: Good morning, your Honor. John Simpson 17 for the defendant. 18 MR. SHEA: Good morning, your Honor. Lance Shea for 19 2.0 the defendant. MS. JOINER: Good morning, your Honor. Lisa Joiner 21 22 for the defendant. MS. PARDO: Good morning. Michelle Pardo for the 23 defendant. 24 25 MS. PETTEWAY: Good morning. Kara Petteway for the

1 defendants. 2 MS. STRAUSS: Good morning, your Honor. Julie Strauss 3 for the defendant. MR. PALISOUL: Good morning. Derrick Palisoul, 4 5 technology, for the defendant. Good morning. 6 THE COURT: Good morning. Welcome. 7 All right, let's proceed. MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, before we call the first 8 witness this morning, I just have one preliminary question for 9 The parties met with Joe Burgess, and unfortunately we 1.0 vou. cannot upload video to the ECF system, so I just want to figure 11 out the best procedure for getting you those videos that have 12 been admitted for -- provisionally admitted into evidence. 13 THE COURT: For my personal use? 14 15 MS. SANERIB: Yes, for your personal use. THE COURT: A DVD, I think. Yes, I think so. 16 MS. SANERIB: Should I just bring them to the 17 courtroom in the morning? 18 THE COURT: That would be fine. 19 MS. SANERIB: Okay. And your Honor, the plaintiffs 20 21 are going to call Dr. Ros Clubb. 22 THE COURT: All right. MS. SANERIB: And Dr. Clubb's name is spelled R-o-s, 23 and it's C-l-u-b-b. 24

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.

1 Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about 2 to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 3 truth? DR. CLUBB: I do. 4 5 THE COURT: Good morning. 6 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 7 ROS CLUBB, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS, SWORN 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SANERIB: 9 Good morning, Dr. Clubb. Can you please state your full 10 name for the Court? 11 Yes. It's Ros Edwards Clubb. 12 Now, Dr. Clubb, I'd like to start off by talking about your 13 0. educational background. Do you have a bachelor's degree? 14 Yes. I got a bachelor's in zoology from and Aberdeen 15 16 University. And do you have any further educational degrees beyond your 17 bachelor's degree? 18 I've got a Ph.D from Oxford University. 19 Α. 20 Q. Okay. 21 THE COURT: Can I ask you to keep your voice up a 22 little bit? 23 THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: If it means you pull the microphone down 24 25 so it amplifies your voice ...

THE WITNESS: Is that better?

BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. And what is your Ph.D in?
- A. It's in stereotypic behavior in zoo animals.
- Q. And what was your Ph.D thesis on?
- A. It was looking at the state of behavior in zoo carnivores and looking for correlates of their behavior in nature and why some develop stereotypies more than others.
- Q. And can you briefly describe for the Court what stereotypic behavior is?
- A. Yes. It's an -- it's commonly seen in captive animals, and it's very repetitive and in variance, a very fixed behavior pattern that doesn't seem to have any apparent function.
- Q. And when you were conducting your research for your Ph.D thesis, what did that entail?
- A. I looked at a very wide range of studies that have been done on over thirty species of carnivores in zoos, so in the captive environment. They commonly develop what's called pacing stereotypies, so the kind of pacing you would commonly see in a polar bear, for instance, in an enclosure, pacing back and forth. And I did an extensive literature review to try and characterize what those species how those species behave in nature in the wild, and I found that the ones that had the most wide-ranging behaviors, so a typical example would be the polar bear, for more and prudent to the development of pacing

stereotypies in captivity.

- Q. And the methodologies that you used, are those common within the field of animal behavior?
- A. Very much so. I use quite extensive literature searches to characterize the studies that had already been done by a very wide range of other researchers, and I also complied what's called the comparative methods, so comparing what's done and how those animals behave in the wild versus how they behave in captivity, looking for a correlation between those behaviors.
- Q. And after receiving your Ph.D, have you done any work regarding elephants?
- A. Yes. At Oxford University just after my Ph.D I did a study on reviewing the welfare of elephants in European zoos, because up until then there had been various concerns about kind of welfare problems that have been seen or recorded in elephants in captivity, and we were approached to basically pool together all that research, built up a picture of the big picture basically.
- Q. And when you said "we," who was the "we"?
- A. Sorry. It was myself and my Ph.D supervisor, Georgia
  Mason, and we were commissioned by the RSPCA, the Royal Society
  For the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, to look into this and
  to conduct the research.
- Q. Okay. And does this (indicating) appear to be a copy of the study that you produced as a result of that work?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And can you explain for the Court a little bit more about the work you performed to compile this report?
- A. Certainly. Quite a large part of it was again reviewing the literature, because there had already been quite a number of studies done on elephants in captivity, but also we looked and quite extensively at the behavior and how elephants live in the wild. And I also talked to people who work with elephants and keepers as well as zoo curators. I analyzed data from zoo stud books, which is looking at the births and deaths to look at mortality rates, infant mortality, as well as breeding rates. Yeah. That's it.
- Q. And what generally did the study find?

- A. What we find overall quite surprising range of welfare problems in zoo elephants, in captive elephants, and those included things like the development of stereotypic behavior, so there was quite -- about 40% of the ones that had been studied showed that behavior, and there was also evidence of shortened life spans. They didn't live as long as we would expect based on how long they live in the wild. Also, things like infant mortality rates. Quite a lot of infants dying; significant levels of foot and joint problems in captive elephants, so across the board there was quite a big body of evidence that the welfare was poorer in captive elephants.
- Q. Did the report also include information on the training of elephants in captivity?

- A. Yes. We spent quite a lot of time characterizing the kind of treating methods that are used in captivity in zoos and also the effects that that could have across the board.
- Q. And what were the recommendations as a result of the report?

- A. Well, the evidence was so strong that we thought that there was such significant welfare problems that we thought the captive population shouldn't be added to, so no more elephants should be added to that population before some work could be done to actually try and sort those issues out. So we recommended that no more elephants were imported into zoos, but also there shouldn't really be any more captive breeding, particularly because such high infant mortality rates, and that it should really be a matter of sorting out those welfare problems and solving them before that continued.
- Q. Would you make that same recommendation today?
- A. Well, certainly there's still significant evidence of welfare problems, but I think some recent papers I've been working on with them, some coauthors, we discussed that quite at length and we came to the conclusion that if there were facilities that were actually performing -- that were actually raising and breeding elephants well without any adverse effects, that there was really no reason to recommend that that should stop, so I think my view now would be that in those facilities it could continue but in other facilities it shouldn't, but

still that the issues need to be sorted out and solved.

- Q. Okay. And did the Clubb & Mason report pertain to both Asian elephants and African elephants in captivity?
- A. Yes, both species.
- Q. Did you rely on studies of both Asian and African elephants in captivity for the report?
- A. Yes, we did.

Q. And did you also rely on studies of Asian and African elephants in the wild, for the record?

MR. SHEA: Objection; leading.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.

#### BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. Did you see any reason to distinguish between these two species during your conclusions in the report?
- A. We certainly looked for differences. There are some evidence that, for instance, Asian elephants have certainly smaller group sizes in the wild, and there was some evidence we found from the welfare aspect, the African elephants seemed to do better than Asian elephants on some measures, such as infant mortality, but other than that, they seemed to respond quite similarly and behave quite similarly in the wild.
- Q. Dr. Clubb, would it surprise you if I told you in leafing through this report that there is approximately 28 pages of references that document this report?

- A. No. It was -- it turned out to be quite a big study and there's a lot of work that's been done already, and a large part of what we were doing was trying to collect that, so that involved quite a lot of reference material.
- Q. And have you continued to study elephants?

- A. Yes. Since the publication of that report, I've carried on working on and particularly survival rates and breeding rates of elephants in zoos and published one paper with another one that's in review.
- Q. And I forgot to ask this earlier, but when you were obtaining your Ph.D, did you take any courses in animal training?
- A. Not particularly taught courses, but it's very much the kind of principles behind animal training are very much kind of integral to the study of animal behavior, so some of the course work I did was related to that, and then I did quite an extensive literature search looking for the publication of that report.
- Q. Okay. And Dr. Clubb, where are you currently employed?
- A. With the RSPCA in the UK.
  - Q. And what is your position with RSPCA?
- 22 A. I'm a scientific officer in the wildlife department in the science group.
  - Q. And what made you decide to take that position?
    - A. Well, I was really quite attracted to the RSPCA because

they're one of the few charities who actually have a group, specifically assigned group, employing scientists and applying science to the policies in the work of the society, so that's what really attracted me to that position.

- Q. And have you done any work on elephant-related issues at the RSPCA?
- A. I have done some work. Specifically creating kind of a literature review on the welfare of circus animals, which involves elephants, so to some extent, yes.
- Q. What was that literature review done for?

- A. The UK government is currently looking at the issue of wild animals in circuses, and they said that they were going to ban the use of certain wild animals species, so as part of that work, we compiled -- well, I as well as some other people at the RSPCA compiled research pertaining to that issue and then submitted it to the government, which they formed a working group to consider the evidence.
- Q. And has the UK government made a decision yet on whether to ban any wild animals in circuses?
- A. No. The decision is currently pending. The outcome of the actual working group was a report that was written by the chairman of the working group that is then being considered by the government. On the outcome of that, we weren't particularly happy with the whole process that it went through and that's some of the key issues were missing from the whole procedure, so

they didn't look at training or performance, which is obviously integral to the life of a circus animal. And also quite a large body of work that we submitted was discounted because it's recognized that there's not a huge amount of work done on circus animals in general, so we were given permission to look at the wide realm, so it's quite common to look at studies where animals experience similar conditions but not necessarily within that exact environment, so we submitted quite a large body of work outlining the implications for the different aspects of circus life, but that wasn't considered in the end, so I think the whole process didn't really go anywhere. I know the government's considering where to go next.

- Q. Does the RSPCA have a policy on the use of wild animals in circuses?
- A. Yes. They have a policy against the use of wild animals in circuses on the basis that the conditions, the experience are likely to cause distress and suffering.
- Q. And are there any conditions in particular that the RSPCA is concerned about?
- A. Yes. Particularly the extensive transport, so the regular transport of circus animals, which is known to cause a whole range of welfare problems, as well as the temporary nature of the enclosures and the housing, and obviously greatly restricts the kind of environment you can provide for those animals, as well as the confinement, so these in particular are concerns.

- Q. And do you personally agree with that policy of the RSPCA's?
- A. I think there's a lot of evidence to support that, and I think I don't have a fundamental opposition to the use of animals in circuses, so if they do experience good welfare and I was presented with that evidence, then fine, you know, I'd be happy with that, but I do think the weight of the evidence is against the ability to provide a suitable environment.
- Q. Does the RSPCA have a policy against the use of elephants in zoos?
- A. We don't have a policy against animals in zoos. We've got a general policy on captive environments that cause or are likely to cause distress and suffering. Obviously the work that we've done as well as the research that's come out recently, the RSPCA is concerned about the use of elephants in zoos because the weight of the evidence is that they do experience poor welfare.
- Q. Okay. And Dr. Clubb, do you feel that despite working for an animal welfare charity that you still approach this case and your opinions in this case objectively?
- A. Very much so. I got involved in this case a while before I started working for the RSPCA and I'm very much doing this -- it's all being done on my own time and as an individual with my own basis for arguments and my own opinion and not as an employee of the RSPCA.

1	Q. Okay. Dr. Clubb, did you submit a copy of your curriculum
2	vitae, along with your expert report in this case?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. I'd like to show you a copy of that.
5	Ms. Sinnott, can you please pull up Plaintiff's Will
6	Call Exhibit 113 at page 74?
7	Dr. Clubb, does this appear to be the C.V. that you
8	submitted, along with your expert report?
9	A. Yes, it does.
10	THE COURT: Again, there's personal information on
11	there, counsel, that I think the witness would appreciate if we
12	just draw a line for the pertinent information.
13	MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, I move the admission of Dr.
14	Clubb's C.V. as Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 113D.
15	THE COURT: Any objection?
16	MR. SHEA: Not to the C.V. alone, your Honor. Of
17	course we would object to the report, but not the C.V.
18	THE COURT: The C.V. is in.
19	(Plaintiff's Will Call Exhibit No. 113D admitted into
20	evidence at about 10:36 a.m.)
21	MS. SANERIB: All right. Your Honor, I tender Dr.
22	Clubb as an expert in animal behavior, and stereotypic behavior
23	in particular.
24	THE COURT: Any voir dire?
25	MR. SHEA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead. 1 2 MR. SHEA: If it please the Court, Lance Shea for the 3 defendant. 4 THE COURT: Good morning. 5 VOIR DIRE 6 BY MR. SHEA: 7 Good morning, Dr. Clubb. Q. 8 Α. Good morning. Dr. Clubb, you're not a member of any elephant professional 9 0. organizations such as the Elephant Manager's Association, 10 11 correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 You're not a member of the International Elephant 0. 14 Foundation, are you? 15 Α. No. You're not a member of the British & Irish Association of 16 17 Zoos & Aquariums, correct? 18 Α. Correct. 19 You live in the UK; is that right? Q. 20 Α. That's right, but members of that organization are keepers 21 of animals. 22 And you're not a keeper of animals, correct? 23 Α. No. 24 You are not a member of the American Zoo & Aquarium 25 Association, are you?

1 A. No.

4

5

8

- Q. Dr. Clubb, now, your Ph.D research, if I understood it correctly, was on carnivores kept in zoos; is that right?
  - A. That's right.
  - Q. Elephants aren't carnivores, are they?
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 | Q. Thus, your Ph.D research didn't cover elephants, did it?
  - A. No. It was strictly stereotypic behavior in carnivores.
  - Q. You're not a free contact elephant trainer, are you?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. You've never trained an elephant using free contact methods at all, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. You've never trained an elephant using what is called protected contact methods either, have you?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. You just haven't trained an elephant under any management system or training system; is that right?
- 19 A. That's right.
- Q. And you've never been trained to use tethers on an elephant, is that true?
- 22 A. That's true.
- Q. And you've never managed or had elephants under your management, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. You've never worked for or consulted with a circus; is that correct?
  - A. That's right.
- 4 Q. You've never analyzed data regarding the births, deaths,
- 5 movements, traits, etcetera, for zoo elephants in the United
- 6 States, true?

- 7 A. True. No, just Europe.
  - Q. And the same is true for circus elephants, correct?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. You've never conducted behavioral studies in captive Asian
- 11 elephants, have you?
- 12 A. No.
- 13  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And at the time of your deposition, you had not designed
- 14 any such study that had been carried out, correct?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. Dr. Clubb, you've never conducted studies in wild
- 17 elephants, right?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. I'm sorry?
- 20 A. I'm sorry. That's right.
- 21 \ Q. Am I correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You've never seen an elephant trained with free contact
- 24 methods, correct?
- 25 A. That's right.

- Q. You have seen elephants handled by free contact methods, but for only one day in a zoo outside London, correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And you've never seen directly FEI's free contact methods, correct?
- A. Not directly, no. Just on the video evidence I've reviewed.
  - Q. Did I hear you just say just on the videos you've reviewed?
- 9 A. Yes.

- Q. You got those videos from plaintiffs' counsel in this case, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. Are there any other videos you've reviewed than those given to you by plaintiffs' counsel in this case?
- 15 A. On the FEI?
- Q. On the FEI elephants.
- 17 A. No, no.
- 18 Q. Now, you did not attend either of the elephant inspections
  19 in this case, did you?
- 20 A. No. I've just seen the footage from it.
- Q. So you've not seen the FEI elephants directly with your own eyes, correct?
- 23 A. Not directly, no.
- Q. You've never seen protected contact methods used with elephants, correct?

A. No.

- Q. I'm correct, aren't I?
- 3 A. Sorry. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And you've never seen veterinary procedures done in
- 5 protected contact management, true?
- 6 A. True.
- Q. And you don't recall ever seeing a device such as an elephant restraint chute used, correct?
- 9 A. No. I haven't seen it used directly, no.
- 10 Q. You've never visited Asia to see the methods by which
- 11 captive elephants are managed or trained, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And you've never visited Africa to see the methods by which
- 14 captive elephants are trained or managed, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. You've never handled or seen an ankus used by FEI, true?
- 17 A. Not directly. Just from the video evidence and the images
- 18 for this case.
- 19 Q. I see. You've never seen one used with your own eyes,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 0. You've never held one, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. You've never treated an elephant for any ailment, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. You're not a veterinarian, is that true?
- A. No, I'm not a veterinarian. I use veterinary studies and medical studies in my research, but I'm not a veterinarian.
- 4 Q. So you've never cared for an elephant, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.

- 6 Q. Now, Dr. Clubb, you mentioned the report you did with Dr.
- 7 Mason right after you got your Ph.D and were shown a copy of it,
- 8 | is that true?
- 9 A. That's true.
- 10 Q. Now, that report, can I call that the Clubb & Mason report,
- 11 is that a good term for it?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. Okay. The Clubb & Mason report, did I understand
- correctly, was issued by your employer, the RSPCA?
- 15  $\blacksquare$  A. They funded the study, yeah, and they published it.
- 16 Q. They published it?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. It was not published in a peer review journal, was it?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Dr. Clubb, that report is, if I understood correctly, was
- 21 based on a review of stud book data for zoo elephants in Europe;
- 22 is that right?
- 23 A. That formed part of it, yes.
- Q. Now, it certainly did not cover zoo elephants in the United
- 25 | States, did it?

A. No.

- Q. And it didn't cover circus elephants in the United States, did it?
- A. No.
  - Q. So it didn't cover the FEI elephants, did it?
- 6 A. No.
  - Q. Dr. Clubb, that study, the Clubb & Mason report, was a literature review rather than the result of field data collection or empirical study; is that right?
  - A. Yeah. The literature review included field studies and empirical data with the premise that we were going to survey all that was known about elephant welfare in captivity. Obviously we couldn't go out and do those studies ourself. I had to rely on researchers who did that and then use that information.
  - Q. I see. But you didn't go out and do any studies with elephants, correct?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. Now, as it stands now, you would stop captive breeding programs, wouldn't you?
      - A. Well, no. As I just explained, since the publication of that report we've kind of reconsidered our position and I think if facilities are breeding well and the elephants aren't showing any adverse signs of harm or poor welfare, then I see no reason for them to not carry on but on that basis, so I've kind of altered my position, I think, based on the evidence I've

reviewed since the publication of that report.

- Q. Is the opinion you just gave based on your knowledge of captive breeding programs in Europe?
- A. Just generally because part of the evidence I reviewed for the report as well as since that for the papers I've published recently are across the board, including work in the U.S.
- Q. I see. Now, you just -- have you published a paper regarding captive breeding programs in North America?
- A. No. No. I've just reviewed the evidence, or scientific literature pertaining to elephants in captivity, which includes the U.S. studies.
- Q. I see. But you've not published anything on that, have you?
- 14 A. No, I haven't.

- Q. And the paper that counsel just mentioned to you that you recently published on longevity, that has nothing to do with U.S. elephants, does it, none of the data in it are from North America, are they?
- A. No, they're from Europe.
  - Q. Now, Dr. Clubb, let me show you page 134 of your deposition, beginning on line 22, regarding captive breeding programs. I'll ask you to follow along as I read.

Question: Are there captive breeding programs in Europe now?

Answer: Yes, there are.

Question: So as it stands now, you would be in favor of stopping those captive breeding programs?

Answer: Until the point where the welfare issues were resolved.

Question: How long would that take?

Answer: I cannot predict anything like that. I don't have the forethought to predict how many years of research it's going to take to do that.

Did I read your testimony correctly?

A. You did.

Q. And you hold this view -- well, strike that question.

Dr. Clubb, it's your opinion that all wild animals should be banned from circuses, isn't it?

- A. That's the position of the RSPCA.
- Q. And that's your position too, isn't it?
  - A. Well, as I said before, I think the weight of the evidence I've seen is that the circus environment, it would be very difficult to provide for the needs of animals or wild animals in that kind of environment, so the weight of the evidence I think is against keeping animals in captivity. I'm sorry. Wild animals in circuses, but, as I said, if someone presented me with the evidence that an animal is experiencing good welfare in a circus, I'm not fundamentally opposed to it.
  - Q. Let me show you page 132 of your deposition, beginning of line 15.

Question: I see. What wild animals? Give me a list of animals that the RSPCA believes should not be kept in circuses.

Answer: We believe all wild animals should not be kept in circuses.

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

- Q. And what is your definition of a wild animal?
- A. An animal that hasn't been domesticated.

MR. SHEA: Your Honor, those are my questions at this We move to strike or to exclude Dr. Clubb's testimony from this matter based on the arguments and our Notice of Daubert Objections, the Defendant's Notice of Objections of Daubert objections, and the foregoing testimony. We move to exclude pursuant to Rule 402 and 703. She simply is not an elephant expert, in our view. Her major writing about elephants was issued by her animal rights employer rather than published in a peer review journal. The report does not cover the FEI elephants or any elephants in North America. She has conducted no research directly with elephants and has not observed elephants or their training or their management in any She has no expertise in elephant training or meaningful way. management under any management system and has never provided care for any elephant and has never worked for or consulted with a circus. We argue that she should not be able to testify about

elephant training or management generally, elephant training or 1 2 management of elephants or the effects of those methods on animal welfare, FEI's current practices or the behavior of 3 captive or wild Asian elephants or behavioral comparisons of 4 5 same. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 Counsel, any response? 8 BY MS. SANERIB: I have a couple of follow-up questions for you, Dr. Clubb. 9 10

When you were preparing the Clubb & Mason report, I believe you mentioned you consulted with different individuals about that. Did you consult with individuals who have trained elephants in captivity?

MR. SHEA: Objection. It calls for hearsay.

THE COURT: She can answer the question whether she consulted with them as opposed to telling us what an individual may have said.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

## BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. And they're both trainers in traditional free contact as well as protected contact elephant management?
- A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHEA: Objection; leading.

THE COURT: Refrain from leading, counsel.

Official Court Reporter

MS. SANERIB: Okay.

BY MS. SANERIB:

Q. Did those --

THE COURT: Refrain from leading. Let her testify.

BY MS. SANERIB:

Q. In your opinion, Dr. Clubb, are elephants domesticated?

MR. SHEA: Objection; leading.

THE COURT: If you have an opinion about the domestication of elephants.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think --

THE COURT: What's that opinion?

THE WITNESS: I think traditionally a lot of people have referred to them as domesticated animals, but I think my opinion is that they haven't been domesticated and they haven't been selectively bred over many, many generations as we would classify, for instance, farm animals or pet animals. They have been tamed, but that's a different process, and that the domestication process, you're basically selecting for particular genes and particular traits, and often that involves selecting them so that they're more adaptive to the captive life, whereas taming is quite different, and I would say that elephants have been tamed but not domesticated.

BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. Is the RSPCA an animal rights group?
- A. No, it's not. It's an animal welfare group. We've got a lot of -- our position is quite pragmatic among animal welfare

organizations and we do a lot of work with industry, including the farm animals, research animals, and very much not an animal rights organization.

THE COURT: What's the difference in your view between an animal rights organization and an animal welfare organization?

THE WITNESS: In my view, animal welfare is promoting animal welfare within the systems that are in place already. We don't have -- we don't take an approach which prevents the use of animals. It's much more kind of a utilitarian approach and much more pragmatic.

So, for instance, we work on standards that are used in farms and we work with laboratory and research institutes to try and improve the welfare of the animals within them, not prevent them using it because we know that that's the current situation, so all we can do at the moment to effect change is to improve the welfare of as many animals as possible rather than saying don't use them.

## BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. Dr. Clubb, you talked about some of the research and literature reviews you've done on stereotypic behavior?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Have any of the studies you've used been published in journals in the United States?
  - A. Yes. There's particularly for -- you're talking about

elephants rather than other species?

- Q. Let's start with other species to begin with.
- A. What's really worldwide, there's a lot of literature and study on stereotypic animal behavior, and it's conducted across the world really, and including for elephants. Most of the work, I think all of the work has been done in circuses pretty much in the states rather than in the UK.
- Q. So then do researchers in Europe rely on publications from the United States and vice versa?

MR. SHEA: Objection; leading.

THE COURT: I'll allow the question. What do they rely on?

THE WITNESS: I don't think there's any divisions in terms of geographically. The whole purpose of publishing in the scientific journal is to share the information right across the board, so I don't think anyone has a geographical division, and a lot of -- there's no reason to think that the research conducted in the U.S. doesn't apply in Europe and vice versa, so it's very much worldwide.

MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, I would like to point out that the Supreme Court in the <u>Daubert</u> decision itself noted that expert witnesses, including those that are not basing their expert opinions on firsthand knowledge or observation, can still be classified as expert witnesses, and that the Supreme Court in the follow-up joinder decision noted that to arrive at a

conclusion by weighing all scientific evidence is not the sort of junk science with which Daubert was concerned.

And I would submit that that is precisely what Dr.

Clubb has done here. She's looked at the relevant science and analyzed that, and therefore her expert opinions should come in because she's done that kind of research.

THE COURT: Does anyone have any additional argument in response to counsel's argument to exclude her testimony?

MS. SANERIB: No.

MR. SHEA: I do have additional argument, your Honor.

Your Honor, consulting with trainers is not training, and I think we established she's not done that or management. She did not attend the elephant inspections in this case, hasn't seen them with her own eyes, and is relying only on the types of things the Court has seen so far: snippets of film taken out of context, or the I assume the video record of the inspections, but was not there to view the inspections, so we had the situation where she is relying totally on paper knowledge here without anything to connect her opinions to the facts of this case, and that is what is required under 702. All we have is Ipsey Dixett (ph), which is not allowed under Rule 702, <u>Daubert</u>, <u>Joiner</u>, or any of the other cases construing it, and we'd move to strike or to exclude her testimony.

THE COURT: All right. The case is proceeding nonjury, though. What's the harm, what's the prejudice to

anyone if the Court hears her testimony and then at the time when it determine what it's going to base its opinion on, at that time make a determination as to what weight, if any, it gives the testimony of this witness and the others?

MR. SHEA: Your Honor, I believe that we have shown that she is simply not qualified to testify about these matters; therefore, under Rule 403, as we moved, her opinions would certainly not be probative but would be prejudicial because this is a person who is employed with an animal -- I guess you would call it a welfare group -- I don't want to mince words about that -- and does have credentials. I mean, she does have a Ph.D, albeit about carnivores rather than elephants, so we think the prejudice is strong and the --

THE COURT: What's the prejudice?

MR. SHEA: -- relevance is very light.

THE COURT: The prejudice to whom?

MR. SHEA: The prejudice to our client, your Honor, because she'll be giving opinions with a Ph.D license, if you would --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SHEA: -- without actual knowledge of the facts here, and her only view is without context, and she has no real-world experience with elephants to apply that, to fill the analytical gap between what she's seen on film snippets and what she may say to this Court.

THE COURT: The courts, you know, circuit courts, including our Circuit, have said time and time again that a judge sitting nonjury is presumed to make his decisions based on the competent evidence. And if I hear her testimony and at the end of the day don't give it any weight, what's the prejudice to your client?

MR. SHEA: Your Honor, I will say to the Court that the Court has heard it, will have heard it, and to the extent -THE COURT: I can't reject it?

MR. SHEA: -- to the extent you divide your mind, you can. However, if it's been an entire day --

THE COURT: If I rely upon it -- just a minute. If I relied upon it in a written decision, you're certainly going to know whether I relied upon it or not, that's for sure, aren't you?

MR. SHEA: Certainly.

THE COURT: Exactly. And if I say I reject it and you don't see it there, then presumptively I've not relied on it, have I?

MR. SHEA: That's true.

THE COURT: I'll hear it, subject to weight determination at some point, when I consider the merits in this case. I think the better part of wisdom is to hear it. I'm the gatekeeper. It's a <u>Daubert</u> objection. It seems to me there's no prejudice. If I conclude that an opinion of any expert is

not entitled -- or all the experts is not entitled to weight, then I won't give it weight and I certainly won't rely on it, and if I do, you'll see it in writing. I'm certainly not going to do that, reject something and then rely on it. I'm not going to do that.

So let's proceed.

MS. SANERIB: I did want to put one brief response on the record, if I may, your Honor.

When plaintiffs submitted their request for Rule 34 inspections and then followed up with a motion to compel those inspections, one of defendant's complaints was the large number of people plaintiffs sought to involve in those inspections, so it's very disingenuous for defendant now to say Dr. Clubb and others of plaintiffs' experts should not be qualified as experts because they did not attend those inspections when it's defendant who said we couldn't bring all the people we wanted to bring to those inspections, so I don't think that's a solid basis for the defendant to complain about these experts and their backgrounds and experience. All the experts who couldn't attend those inspections watched the video footage, looked at the photographs, and I just don't think that's a ground in which they should be disqualified as experts in this case.

MR. SIMPSON: Your Honor, we did not exclude anybody from that inspection. We made concern we didn't want a big gang of people coming down there to look at the elephants, but any

expert in this case designated by the plaintiffs was welcome to come, to both locations, Florida and Michigan.

2.4

THE COURT: What's the record citation to the evidence that the defendants limited the number of experts? It's one thing to say they limited the number of experts on a given day. It's quite another thing to say they just totally limited the number of experts, period.

MS. SANERIB: The only citation I have before me, and I think there might be others, is, this is Feld Entertainment's response and objections to Plaintiffs' Rule 34 request for entry upon land. It's docket entry 99. And if you look at the third page in paragraph seven on the third page, FEI objects to plaintiffs' request for inspections because they seek to bring more people than the facilities permit or can handle at any one time.

THE COURT: This was an issue that the magistrate judge resolved, though, correct?

MS. SANERIB: That's correct, your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: In his ruling did he limit the number of experts that plaintiff could have on the premises? I don't recall that he did.

MS. SANERIB: I don't recall that he did, but he also asked the parties to try to resolve as many as possible issues pertaining to those inspections.

THE COURT: Did you bring to the magistrate judge's

attention that the defendants were limiting the number of experts?

MS. SANERIB: Yes. We said that, you know, we asked for a certain number of people to be able to attend those inspections and that we had a reason for all those people being there, and defendant continued to insist that it was more people than their facilities could allow.

THE COURT: What did the magistrate judge do?

MS. SANERIB: I think he asked us to try to -- to work things out, to try to make it -- to create a solution.

THE COURT: I understand that. What happened after that, though?

MS. SANERIB: We had to concede that we were not going to be able to bring that many people.

THE COURT: Why did you concede? You don't normally concede anything. What do you mean, conceded?

MS. SANERIB: Well, your Honor, we were at that point up against a pretty tight close for fact discovery deadline and we wanted to be able to inspect the elephants.

THE COURT: That's a major issue. If you conceded it, then you did it on your own. No one ever told me, no one ever appealed the magistrate judge's ruling on that point. I can't speak for the magistrate judge. My guess is he would have been extremely sensitive to any efforts to thwart the plaintiffs from allowing their experts to view the circus.

MS. SANERIB: I don't think this was Magistrate
Facciola's doing at all. It was the negotiations between the
parties, but I'm just saying, you know, defendant said we
couldn't bring as many people as we wanted to at these
inspections. We had to curtail back the number of people we
wanted to bring, and as a result not all of our experts got to
attend those inspections, so I think it's disingenuous --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's the decision that plaintiffs made unilaterally, though. That wasn't the decision that was forced on the plaintiffs by the magistrate judge. I certainly didn't enforce it. I would have been extremely sensitive to anyone's experts viewing anything, and no one ever brought to my attention that there was any inappropriate action by defendants in limiting the number of experts. I would not have tolerated that, and I don't think the magistrate judge, had you asked him to allow you permission to allow more experts or to grant a few more days or weeks, you know, it's always easy to look back through the looking glass. My guess is he probably would have been sensitive to it. If he had not, there would have been an appeal. I can assure you I would have been sensitive to that as well. I understand the arguments pro and con, but it sounds as if this was not imposed by the magistrate judge, and I'm not being critical, but it sounds as if you waived that issue, though. It sounds like, to use your words, you conceded the issue.

MS. SANERIB: Well, I guess it was sort of a Hobson 1 2 choice, either conduct an inspection with some of our experts or 3 not be able to do it at all. THE COURT: Or ask the magistrate judge for leave to 4 5 bring all your experts there. 6 MS. SANERIB: Well, then I think, if you look back at 7 the transcript from those proceedings before Magistrate 8 Facciola, he said he was going to twist some arms, and he 9 certainly did. THE COURT: He said he was going to do what? 10 MS. SANERIB: Twist some arms to get us to agree on 11 the terms of those inspections, so I think everyone --12 13 THE COURT: He didn't twist those arms, though. doesn't sound like he made you. He didn't force you to do that. 14 I'm not going to say he ordered us to do 15 MS. SANERIB: that, but he certainly put a lot of pressure on the parties to 16 agree on some terms so we could get on with those before the 17 18 close of discovery. 19 THE COURT: I'll allow the witness to testify. Again, I'm the gatekeeper and I'll determine what weight, if any, to 20 give this expert and all the other experts in the case. 21 22 BY MS. SANERIB: Before we get into elephants, Dr. Clubb, I'd like to talk 23 just a little bit more about animal behavior.

THE COURT: Define the scope again of the doctor's

24

1 expertise. You're offering the doctor as an expert in what 2 areas? 3 MS. SANERIB: In animal behavior, and stereotypic 4 behavior in general. 5 THE COURT: Of animals in general? MS. SANERIB: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Not of elephants in particular? MS. SANERIB: Well, of elephants in particular. 8 9 mean, she will certainly --10 THE COURT: That's why I asked you to define the 11 I want to make sure I understand the scope that you're 12 offering. MS. SANERIB: In terms of animal behavior, as Dr. 13 14 Clubb testified earlier this morning, that field certainly includes the methodologies of training animals, and that 15 includes elephants, and she studied stereotypic behavior and she 16 will talk about stereotypic behavior as a whole in animals and 17 18 what we know about stereotypic behavior in elephants. THE COURT: Subject to the objections raised and 19 subject to cross-examination and subject to the Court's ultimate 20 21 Daubert determination, I'll allow the witness to testify. MS. SANERIB: Thank you, your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: And that's the procedure I will follow with respect to all the experts who have testified and any 24 additional experts who have testified in this case. 25

MS. SANERIB: Okay.

BY MS. SANERIB:

2.4

Q. Dr. Clubb, as a general matter, when do animals exhibit stereotypic behavior?

THE COURT: What is stereotypical behavior, first of all?

THE WITNESS: Okay. It's very repetitive behavior that's very fixed in form and it doesn't appear to have an apparent function, so some typical examples would be your pacing polar bear in a zoo, your lab mouse that circles and circles and circles, and it's generally developed in animals in captive situations where they're kept in an environment that doesn't give them everything they need, and particularly when animals are frustrated and they can't perform behaviors that they really really want to perform.

So, for instance, in pigs, one of their natural behaviors that's frustrated in captivity is routing around in the ground with their snout, and when prevented from that, they often develop stereotypic bar biting or nosing each other, so it's associated with deprivation of performing natural behaviors in particular.

THE COURT: That's fairly common in domesticated animals, though, isn't it? Dogs exhibit repetitive behavior when they run up and down a fenced yard barking.

THE WITNESS: They can do. For instance, stereotypic

circling in dogs can develop, but particularly in kennel dogs where they're in quite close confinement and they're kept off on their own, so there's kind of general characteristics that we see across a range of situations that are associated with that kind of behavior, and generally when you improve that environment, you see a reduction in the stereotypy or it doesn't develop at all if it's a very rich environment that provides what the animal needs.

BY MS. SANERIB:

Q. And Dr. Clubb, you were giving the example of pigs and the routing behavior. Are most stereotypical behaviors like that, they come from a natural behavior?

MR. SHEA: Objection; leading.

THE COURT: Yes. Let your expert testify, counsel. Be careful how you frame the questions.

MS. SANERIB: All right.

BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. What is the origin of stereotypic behaviors?
- A. They often develop from behaviors that we would classify as normal, but what differentiates them from that normal behavior is the degree to which they're repeated and how fixed in form they are. So, for instance, walking is a normal behavior, but you can see stereotypic pacing, which is very repeated, and often in the very exact same route, often placed the feet are placed in exactly the same order, and that's the point at which

you would classify as a stereotypic behavior rather than a normal behavior.

- Q. And do we know what the causal factors are for stereotypic behavior?
- A. One of the most common behaviors that's found or common causes is the prevention of a highly motivated behavior, so an animal may be very, very motivated to, for instance, reach around or to travel, and putting him in an environment that prevents that can often promote the development of stereotypic behaviors, and so they commonly develop in environments that would be sub-optimal or baron and often correlate with other signs of poor welfare.
- Q. And is there a consensus in the animal behavior community about the causal factors of stereotypic behavior?
- A. They're generally accepted to be an indicator of poor welfare, and they're often used in many, many animal welfare studies as an indicator of welfare, and they're often measured to give an indication of what the animal's experiencing, so in general they're seen as a sign that the environment that the animal is being kept in or the conditions in which it's being subjected to are insufficient to give it what it needs.
- Q. And do all animals have the same reaction to a captive environment?

MR. SHEA: Objection; leading.

THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase.

BY MS. SANERIB:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do all captive animals exhibit stereotypic behavior? No, certainly not. There's, depending on the kind of quality of the captive environment, captivity may not induce that kind of behavior because it's providing everything that the animal needs but also within an environment that we would classify as sub-optimal, and some individuals develop stereotypies and others won't at all or to a lesser degree, and what's been quite interesting is that recently there's been quite a lot of work on looking at why that is and why some animals develop it and some don't, and when there was a very interesting review of all of that literature, because often we get confusing results, and it suggests that within the same environment the animal that has developed the stereotypy might actually be better off because it's helping it to cope in that environment than the animal that doesn't stereotype, but if you look at two different environments, often the animals that have developed stereotypies are in the poor environment, so it's an indicator that that environment isn't giving it everything it needs. So while it can help an animal to cope with a suboptimal environment, it's generally seen as indicating that

Q. And can you give us an example? I think you mentioned two animals in the same environment.

the ability to express its natural behaviors.

there's something wrong with the environment, it's not giving it

A. Yes. So for instance, if you had -- say in this case you've got two animals, two elephants in exactly the same environment and experiencing exactly the same conditions, the one that's stereotyping may actually be better off at the current time because that stereotypies is actually helping it to deal with that environment, whereas the one that isn't stereotyping might actually be worse off, but they're both experiencing the same environment, and that might be poorer basically in not giving it what they need.

- Q. You said that stereotypic behavior is an indicator of poor welfare. Is there research on what happens when the animal's welfare is improved?
- A. Yeah. Well, there's a lot of work in attempting to alleviating the poor welfare of animals in captivity, and often one of the indicators that's used is the performance of stereotypies, so a lot of work in zoos, for instance, it's prudent to trying to reduce the performance of those behaviors and generally it's taken as sign that the welfare has improved -- I'm sorry. The reduction of stereotypies is taken as a sign that the animal's improved. Often because it correlates with other signs of welfare and from studies that have been done in other animals, we know that, for instance, giving an animal more room to move around in, giving it the ability to perform naturally-motivated behaviors is associated with good welfare, and often in those conditions we see a

reduction in stereotypic behavior.

2.4

- Q. I'd like to talk specifically about elephants, and I believe you mentioned earlier that as part of your work as a behaviorist, you start off looking at natural behaviors; is that correct?
- A. Yeah. I think the kind of first place that behaviors we look is to look at how the animal has evolved, what kind of environment it's evolved to deal with, looking at what kind of social group it lives in, what kind of behaviors it engages in, what kind of environment, and what that environment provides, in order to look at -- to kind of give some context to the animal in captivity, because it's the same animal but it just happens to be in a different environment.
- O. And what's the context for elephants?
- A. Well, from what we know from elephants in the wild, they're extremely social animals, in particularly females, which spend their lives and their kind of natal herd where they're born, and they spend the majority of the time traveling, foraging, and socializing, and they live -- well, depending which species you look at, the African elephant spends a lot of time in the Savanna and Asian elephants spend a lot of time in the forest.
- Q. And what is an elephant's activity budget like over the course of 24 hours?
- A. Well, they spent the majority of their time traveling from place to place foraging, so that takes up quite a big chunk of

their time in the wild, because they tend to feed on a diet that's not particularly rich, so they spent a large chunk of their time trying to find the forage as well as processing it.

- Q. Do elephants sleep?
- A. Yeah. The studies that have been done have estimated about four to six hours a night, some standing. Most individuals seem to spend quite a chunk of their sleep time lying down.
- Q. And is there any research that's been done on captive elephants?
- A. Yes.

THE COURT: In what context?

MS. SANERIB: In terms of their behavior, I guess behavioral research on captive elephants.

THE WITNESS: Yes. There's been studies in zoos as well as some studies in circuses that look at their activity budgets looking at what proportion of time they spend engaged in different activities. And yeah, they tend -- one of the issues that comes up is stereotypic behavior within those time budgets, so they often develop those kind of behaviors.

### BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. And what are the most common stereotypic behaviors in which elephants engage?
- A. By far the most common is weaving where the animal sways from side to side and sometimes shifting and swinging one foot or sometimes engaged in head-bobbing at the same time. So that

seems to be by far the most common form.

2.3

- Q. And are those behaviors that you just described seen in the wild in elephants?
- A. Well, there's often kind of source behaviors for stereotypic behaviors. Generally they're just not seen in the wild, but I did look specifically for that when I was looking at the wild, the studies that have been done in the wild, and I did find a couple of references. One to a slight weaving motion when -- I think it was an Asian elephant -- when it was resting, with a slight head bob, and an African elephant, the swaying was classified as a type of behavior that was sometimes seen when the animal was anxious or apprehensive, but interesting, both authors noted that it resembled stereotypic behaviors seen in captive elephants, but it was far more -- those kind of behaviors were far more pronounced and far more frequent than those, so it could be a kind of source behavior for stereotypies.
- Q. Okay. And what is the cause of stereotypic behavior in elephants?
- A. Well, from the work that's been done, the key thing that comes out is restraint and confinement. So, for instance, elephants that are kept chained up have a much higher level of stereotypic behavior than those that aren't. Once they're unchained, stereotypy reduces, so there's a few studies that have looked at stereotypic behavior levels of chained animals at

circuses verses letting them use pens or unchaining them in small enclosures and quite drastic reductions in stereotypic behavior have been seen, and also some work looked at the stereotypic behavior in elephants found that it rapidly developed in elephants that were chained up for a very significant amounts of time, so the key thing that comes out of that is the restraint and confinement and probably prevention of performing actual behavior seems to be a key factor in it.

And one other thing that came out, particularly the study that looked at the development of stereotypy, was the social behavior, so they find that the elephants that showed most stereotypy were much more isolated. They had far less companions, they weren't as social as the ones that had lower levels, and in particular removing an elephant from its social group promoted the performance of stereotypies, and when they were reunited the stereotypic behavior reduced or completely ceased, so social behaviors are likely to be involved as well, which isn't surprising considering how incredibly social they are in nature, so I think those two factors are the key things.

- Q. You mentioned that there were studies that documented this. What are those studies?
- A. The ones that look at the stereotypic behavior of circus animals, there's a few papers done by Ted Friend and his colleagues, and also Jeanette Schmid who looked at the chained elephants versus pens, and there's another couple of small

studies that anecdotally stopping chaining at night at zoos led to reduction in stereotypic behavior.

- Q. I'd like to start with you mentioned in Schmid study, and if we can pull that up. That is Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 159. And if we can go to page 11 of that, and looking at this page, if you look at the paragraph right above the graph that starts with the word 19, highlight that, and what does this paragraph state?
- A. It says that so all of them perform stereotypic behaviors when they were shackled, whereas ten performed only when they were shackled and not when they were in pens, and when those two conditions were compared, the ones that were chained or shackled spent a significantly higher amount of time weaving, so engaged in stereotypic behaviors, than those were let out to roam around in paddocks.
- Q. And do you agree with this?
- A. Yeah. I know this paper and I know the methodology that they employed and it seems sound, so, yeah, I don't have reason to disagree with it.
- Q. If we can go to page thirteen. And it says at the very bottom of the page Welfare Implications. If we could look at that.

THE COURT: Counsel, keep your voice up, please.

MS. SANERIB: Okay. Sorry.

BY MS. SANERIB:

2.3

- Q. The authors provide some Welfare Implications conclusions here. Have you seen these before?
- A. Yes.

1.8

2.2

- Q. And do you agree with them?
- A. Yes. From the results of their study, they conclude that providing more space and not chaining the elephants provides them with much more opportunities to satisfy their behavior demands so they have much more opportunities to express their natural behaviors. That's a clear improvement for the conditions in the animals, and I have to agree with that.
- Q. And I think you also mentioned that there was a few studies that were conducted by Ted Friend?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Is one of those Friend and Parker?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. And if we would look at that, that's Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 158, and I'd like to go to page ten of this study. And it says Discussion and underneath that it says "the significant reduction" in that first paragraph, please. And this says: The significant reduction in stereotypic behavior observed in these elephants was consistent with the observations of Schmid, 1995. That elephants perform less stereotypic behavior when released into paddocks. Do you agree with the author's conclusions here?

    A. Yes. They also found not quite as dramatic a decrease, but

a very significant decrease in stereotypies when they moved from

chaining to paddocks, which, yeah, does agree with Schmid, so it's going in the same direction.

Q. If we can go to page twelve of the study, the conclusion. And if you look at the first two sentences, they say: The amount of time the elephants spent weaving was significantly decreased an average of 69% by keeping the elephants in pens when compared to picketing. The incidence of all stereotypic behavior, weaving, head bobbing, and trunk tossing, also decreased an average of 57% when the elephants were kept in pens. Does this also support, in your opinion, that link you were talking about between chaining and stereotypic behavior?

MR. SHEA: Objection; leading.

THE COURT: Sustained.

# BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. What's your opinion about this conclusion, Dr. Clubb?
- A. I'm sorry, I didn't get that.
- Q. What's your opinion about this conclusion?
- A. Yeah. This forms part of the evidence towards the linkage between confinement, and particularly chaining, and the performance of stereotypic behaviors. Remove the chains, give them more space and the freedom to perform more natural behaviors and you see a reduction in stereotypy, and that's quite consistent with studies that have been done on a whole range of other animals.
- Q. Okay. And do you agree with the author's final conclusion,

the last sentence of this paragraph?

A. Oh, yeah. Yeah. I find this a little odd, to be honest. They talk about the Kiley-Worthington study which shows a study of circus animals in the UK, and that they don't think the welfare of the elephants in circuses is any worse off than other husbandry systems, and the reason I find that a bit odd is that they don't discuss at all in the rest of the paper, and they don't present any evidence for the welfare state of animals in those other conditions, in order to even start a comparison, and also given the significant level of stereotypic behavior, particularly when they were chained, is suggestive of poor welfare, so for all those reasons it didn't quite make sense to me, that final paragraph.

MS. SANERIB: And Ms. Sinnott, I'd like to show Dr. Clubb some video. If we could please show an excerpt from Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 143A, which was admitted into evidence with Dr. Hart yesterday.

And for the record, this is Plaintiffs' Will Call
Exhibit 143 at 4 hours and 46 minutes to 4 hours and 48 minutes.

(Video played.)

# BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. Dr. Clubb, did you review this footage while you were preparing your expert report?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Can you describe for the Court the elephants' behaviors?

A. Well, if you look at the elephant that's on the left-hand side, that would be a typical weaving stereotypy in that the animal swaying from side to side in a very repetitive manner. You can see a slight head bob as well involved in the weaving, and the one on the right isn't engaged in much behavior, other than just feeding, in comparison.

2.0

- Q. And would you consider the elephant on the right's behavior normal behavior?
- A. Well, it's difficult to tell in this circumstance, but there it's not engaged in very many behaviors other than feeding, so, yeah, it would be difficult to tell.
- Q. And Dr. Clubb, if the record in this case reflects that the elephant here on the left named Karen engaged in the behavior depicted in this footage for about two hours, what is your opinion about that behavior?
- A. That's pretty significant levels of stereotypy. Looking at it's generally seen that the higher the levels of stereotypy, the more concerned is raised because not only are these very significant lengths of time to be engaged in one behavior, it also limits the other behaviors an animal can be engaged in, so that would be, yeah, I would consider that pretty severe stereotypy.
- Q. Does that indicate anything to you?
- A. Yeah. As I said, it would raise significant welfare concerns regarding the conditions that the animal was kept, and

because of this link between stereotypy and poor welfare, and 1 2 that's a significant amount of time. MS. SANERIB: And Ms. Sinnott, I'd like to show an 3 excerpt from Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 142A. 4 5 And for the record, this is from the time stamp 2 hours ten minutes and 22 seconds to 2 hours 11 minutes and 42 6 7 seconds, and this was admitted into evidence with Dr. Poole on 8 February 4th. 9 10 under 72 Hour Notice, this specific snippet. THE COURT: Counsel? 11 12 13

MR. SHEA: Objection. I don't recall seeing this

MS. SANERIB: I think that footage from both inspection tapes was included on our 72 hour filing. I don't have a copy in front of me. The copy I have, on page eight I have a listing for both Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 143 and for Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 142.

MR. SHEA: Your Honor, 142 listed is 2 hours 12 minutes through 2 hours 13 minutes and 25 seconds. That's different than the time period that counsel just requested.

THE COURT: This is 142A, I believe, isn't it?

MS. SANERIB: Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: There is a reference to 142A on your --

MS. SANERIB: There is reference to 142A on here, yes.

I can show the alternate time stamp if that would make this go more smoothly.

THE COURT: You can proceed with this one. Let's proceed.

MS. SANERIB: If you can play that (Video played.)

# BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. Dr. Clubb, did you also review this video footage preparing your expert report in this matter?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And can you describe the elephant behaviors depicted?
- A. Yeah. Again, if you look at the elephant on the far left, that would be what we would call weaving stereotypy again, and you can see this time there's a slight leg lift within each bout which is seen each time she swings, and the one next to her on second from the left also appears to be engaged in swaying behavior.
- Q. And Dr. Clubb, if the record in this case reflects that the elephant at the far left engaged in that behavior she was just exhibiting on and off for three hours, what would be your opinion?
- A. Again, that's quite a significant amount of time to be engaged in one fixed stereotypic behavior, so it raises real concerns about the conditions that that animal is kept in between of links between poor welfare and stereotypic behavior.

THE COURT: What's an insignificant period of time for this type of behavior?

THE WITNESS: I think, for instance, if you saw a very transient behavior, so you're talking very low levels, like half a percent/one percent of the observation, and a few minutes, then you wouldn't necessarily be that concerned. You still look to see what kind of correlates when it was being performed to give you an indication of why, but the kind of more significant amounts of time suggest that there is nothing else engaging the animal so that it's fixed in this routine for a very significant amount of time. And also it's the performance, the repetitive performance of stereotypy has been linked to physical harm and that that kind of weaving behavior over hours and hours and hours can lead to the development of foot and joint problems, so it can also induce that kind of harm, so I think if you saw very transient behaviors you wouldn't necessarily be hugely concerned, but if you see hours and hours and hours as generally amongst the scientific community has seen as a more significant sign of poor welfare.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SANERIB: And I'd like to show just one more video clip, if I might. Ms. Sinnott, can we please go to Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 128, and the time stamp, for the record, is 0 to 2 minutes, and this footage was admitted with Mr. Cuviello on February 9th.

MR. SHEA: Your Honor, we object to the first 35 seconds of this snippet. It was not authenticated by Mr. Cuviello at all. The snippet that was was 35 seconds to 2

1 minutes.

MS. SANERIB: All right. We'll show I guess 35 seconds to 2 minutes then.

THE COURT: All right. Objection sustained. (Video played.)

# BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. Dr. Clubb, did you review this footage in preparing your expert report in this matter?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what behavior is this elephant engaged?
- A. Again, I would classify that as stereotypic weaving behavior because it's very repetitive, each bout is exactly the same. She lifts her leg in exactly the same way in each bout.
- Q. And Dr. Clubb, if the record reflects that this elephant was born to Feld Entertainment and was raised solely in Feld Entertainment's facilities, would that have any significance to you?
- A. Yes, it would. It would suggest that the conditions that have induced the development of stereotypic behavior are specific to Feld rather than being, for instance, some stereotypies can persist if an animal is being moved to a different environment, whereas if this animal has been in the same conditions throughout, then it suggests it's developed within those conditions and those conditions have caused the stereotypies to develop.

0. Would that information change your opinion at all about the elephants Jewell and Karen who we saw previous footage of? I think given the -- there is -- there are some conditions in some stereotypic behaviors that can develop in one set of circumstances. You move the animal to another and it persists, so, for instance, there was a common example cited as a polar bear that develops a really stereotypic behavior around its enclosure and when it was moved to a bigger enclosure it performed exactly the same stereotypic to exactly the same dimension in the new enclosures, so obviously it hadn't adapted, it wasn't affected by the change in the environment, so that's always got to be a factor when you're looking at stereotypic behavior. Is it a sympton of the current environment or is it potentially a behavior that's persisted? And I think whenever looking at footage like that, you've got to bear that in mind, so for instance, in this case, because she's been in the same environment throughout the whole time, that could be discounted, but when we're looking at the other animals, you also have to bear that in mind, but from the research that has been done on circus animals, what's been quite clear is that the stereotypies are quite responsive to changes in the environment, so moving it from a shackled environment to paddocks has been seen to cause quite drastic reduction in stereotypic behaviors, and you wouldn't expect to see that if the animals had this fixed what's called habit, so, yeah, from the evidence I've reviewed, it

doesn't seem that that's the case for the elephants in this case, so, yeah.

Q. Okay. And Dr. Clubb, you mentioned earlier a little bit about transportation of circus elephants, and I'd like to talk a little bit about Ringling Brothers transportation. Let's assume that the record in this case shows that the elephants on the Blue Unit are transported for many consecutive hours each week and that on average it's 31 to 35 hours, and when they're not traveling, those same elephants are chained on hard surfaces for at least 8 hours at night. What is your opinion about that practice?

MR. SHEA: Objection; vague.

THE COURT: It is somewhat vague, but can you answer that question?

THE WITNESS: In terms of the welfare of the elephants, I think those conditions represent quite a severe level of confinement in terms of the space available to those animals and the restriction it places on their behavior, so it greatly restricts the type of behaviors that they can engage in, and particularly for the extensive levels of time that they're transported. I would be really concerned about the welfare of those animals, and given the link between stereotypic behavior and confinement, I would expect to see more stereotype in those kind of environments. Everything we know about the development of stereotypy, particularly confinement, particularly preventing

natural behaviors, suggests the welfare of those animals would 1 2 be poorer and that it would in my opinion cause them harm in those conditions. 3 MS. SANERIB: And Ms. Sinnott, I'd like to show Dr. 4 5 Clubb an excerpt of footage. This is from Plaintiffs' Will Call 6 Exhibit 133. It was admitted into evidence on February 9th with 7 Mr. Gedo, and I'm showing, for the record, from 3 minutes and 30 8 seconds to 5 minutes and 30 seconds. 9 MR. SHEA: Your Honor, it's the same objection. listed Will Call 133 on their 72 Hour Notice, but I have here 15 10 minutes 35 seconds to 16 minutes and 36 seconds. 11 12 THE COURT: Counsel? MS. SANERIB: I think Mr. Gedo authenticated the 13 14 entire footage. It's all substantially similar. THE COURT: First of all, the purpose of this process 15 is to let them know that -- let each side know which exhibits 16 17 will be utilized. Yes? 18 MR. SHEA: I'm sorry, your Honor. I do have a list 19 here for 3 minutes and 30 seconds to 5 minutes and 30 seconds. 20 I apologize if that was the time period. 21 MS. SANERIB: That was the time period, yes. 22 23 THE COURT: All right. MR. SHEA: I withdraw the objection. 24

THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed.

(Video played.)

BY MS. SANERIB:

- Q. And Dr. Clubb, what does this footage depict?
- A. I believe it depicts elephants held in the Ringling train cars, and you can see here the close-up of this elephant. If this pans out, you can see it. This one that's in the forefront in the middle of the picture is engaged in stereotypic weaving behavior.
- Q. And does this comport with the condition of transportation that you were just describing to the Court?
- A. Yeah, exactly. You can see that within this environment there's very little room for the elephants to move. Again, this one's engaged in stereotypic behavior. There's very little room for them to move, let alone engage in any natural essential behavior patterns. I can't imagine that they would be able to turn around. The ones that are packed two together, I can't imagine how they would both be able to lie down at the same time even, let alone engage in significant social behaviors or exploration or foraging, all kind of natural behaviors for elephants, so being kept in that kind of environment for the length of time you just described would be likely to cause them harm in my opinion.
- Q. Okay. And has any research been done on transport?
- A. There's been a paper by Williams and Friend who looked at the behavior of elephants in circuses, including the Ringling

elephants from the Blue and Red Unit, specifically looking at their stereotypic behavior within the train cars.

- Q. And I'd like to switch over to that which I believe is Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 156. And that is the study you were just referring to?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And could we flip through to the third page of this study, which I think is the last page? Sorry. Go back one page.

  Sorry. And I think it's on both this third page and the second page. Is that where the data is compiled --
- 11 A. Yes.

- O. -- about what the authors found?
- 13 | A. Yes.
  - Q. And in the discussion I think, if we can look at that first paragraph under Discussion, and it says: In this study transported elephants spent from 0 to 88.5% of observed time weaving as compared to picketed circus elephants, who spent from 0 to 33% of their time per day engaged in weaving.

And was that a -- in your opinion, is that a correct analysis of the data?

- A. I'm having to look through the methodology and the data they present, then, yeah, I think I agree with that.
- Q. And is there any conclusions the authors reach in this study that you disagree with?
- A. Yes. From my interpretation of their study would be that

the elephants were engaged in very high levels of stereotypic behavior and some animals actually started stereotyping in that environment and they had never been seen to stereotype before, which is consistent with the idea that the greater level of confinement, the more stereotypic behavior, indicating the poorer the environment for the animal, and such high levels of the stereotypy would be a real warning sign to me that there could be real significant welfare problems, but surprisingly the authors conclude that there is -- their result show no indication -- show that there's poor welfare of those animals, and part of reasoning behind that is that none of the behaviors are, none of the stereotypic behaviors are what they would regard as trance-like, and I've never really heard that definition used, and you're only concerned about stereotypic behaviors that aren't trance-like that are -- yes, that aren't trance-like, and I've never seen that used by any other researchers on stereotypic behavior in any species, and I'm not quite sure where that comes from. They don't reference why they're so concerned about just what they call trance-like stereotypies.

THE COURT: We'll take a fifteen-minute.

I'm sorry. Have you finished your answer?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: We'll take a fifteen-minute recess and start back at a few minutes past twelve.

1 You can step down. I'd ask that you not discuss your 2 testimony with anybody. 3 COURTROOM DEPUTY: This Honorable Court now stands in a fifteen-minute recess. 4 5 (Recess taken at about 11:43 a.m.) COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and come to 6 7 order. (Back on the record at about 12:07 p.m.) 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed, counsel. 10 MS. SANERIB: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. 11 12 BY MS. SANERIB: When we took the break, we were looking at Plaintiffs' Will 13 0. Call Exhibit 156. 14 Can you pull that up again? 15 And if we can go to the second page, there's a table 16 at the top there. 17 Can you just highlight there's Minutes of Video column 18 19 there. And Dr. Clubb, can you just read a few of these 20 minutes of video for the Court, just starting at the top? 21 177 minutes, 124, 177, 124, 379, 244, 1,528. 22 Α. All right. And Dr. Clubb, have you reviewed any evidence 23 about the transport of the elephants at issue in this case? 24 25 Yes. I've seen completion of the amount of the time they Α.

spent on the trains that was compiled. I've seen video evidence that you've just shown of the elephants in the train, and also the testimony that's been from various people about how long they spend on the train and the conditions on the train.

MS. SANERIB: Ms. Sinnott, can we go to Plaintiffs' Will Call Exhibit 113, page 51. Can you actually -- I'd like to go to, flip ahead a couple of pages. One more. I think you're going backwards. If you could go forwards. One more. And one more. And can you highlight the third paragraph on this page that says "These same animals"? Thank you.

BY MS. SANERIB:

2.2

- Q. And Dr. Clubb, can you read starting where it says "The evidence shows"?
- A. The evidence shows that the average number of consecutive hours the elephants spent on the train over the course of seven years is as follows: In 2007, an average of 31 hours; in 2000, an average of 26 hours; in 2005, an average of 28 hours; in 2004, an average of 27 hours; in 2003, an average of 27 hours; in 2002, an average of 25 hours; in 2001, an average of 26 hours; and in 2000, an average of 27 hours.

And were those averages per week?

- A. That was per journey.
- Q. And is this your expert report that we're looking at?
- A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. Okay. And Dr. Clubb, if those averages changed by a couple

- of hours, would that change your opinion at all in this case about the transport of the elephants?
  - A. No. I think you're still talking about a very significant length of time being in those trains, so, no, it wouldn't.
  - Q. Dr. Clubb, are you aware of any standards for chaining of elephants?
  - A. There's several zoo standards that specify how long chaining should occur in zoo elephants. One is the AZA, which is the British association. Another is EAZA, which is the European society, and I believe there's the ASD standards, the American association.
  - Q. If you know any of those standards, could you tell the Court what they are?
  - A. The AZA and EAZA, so the European and the UK one, specify that you shouldn't chain elephants for more than three hours every 24, but it should be kept to a minimum whenever possible. I believe the EAZAD specifies that it shouldn't be for more of the majority for the 24-hour period, but they also state that if any new exhibits or any significant changes are made to the enclosures, it should allow for no chaining at all in that outdoor enclosure. I think the EAZAD also specifies that they should be kept they should be allowed to go out to their enclosures and use the natural substrate as much as possible, so it's a bit conflicting, but overall these are trying to move away from chaining.

1.3

1 2

4 5

THE COURT: Suppose there's an average -- suppose the average for transportation is, say, 25 hours and followed by 24 hours of rest. Does that ameliorate this repetitive behavior?

THE WITNESS: I think the amount of time they spent in confinement on the trains is the key point, so I think for any length of time it's not great. Transport and confinement is known to be quite stressful for a whole range of animals and is associated with stereotypic behavior, but for particularly long periods of time, it prevents expression of natural behaviors for a very, very long period of time, so if they had rest spots in between, it probably would help to some extent, depending on what conditions they were kept in during those rest spots, but over such, you know, it was a very considerable length of time to be kept in that kind of environment.

THE COURT: What can be done to ameliorate that repetitive behavior that's observed on, say, the hypothetical 25 hours? If there's 25 hours consistently of transportation, what efforts can be made to address that behavior --

THE WITNESS: I think --

THE COURT: -- in a circus setting?

THE WITNESS: In a circus setting. I think there's always going to be a constraint on what you can provide because obviously the cart can only be as large as it can be to be on a train, if that makes any sense, because you'd ideally want to give them more space and give them opportunities to express

their natural behaviors, and so allowing them to be able to socialize but also to lie down, to feed, to engage in as many specie-specific behaviors as possible, but there's always going to be a constraint on that just because of the practical problems of the space they've got and the fact that they're moving, so I don't know anyone who moves an elephant that can walk freely along the train, for instance, so I think there's always going to be an upper limit as to what you can provide.

THE COURT: So what you're saying is basically not much can be done then?

THE WITNESS: I don't think much can be done within the confines of that specific kind of environment.

# BY MS. SANERIB:

2.2

- Q. And I'm going to move from the traveling elephants to the ones at stationary facilities. I'd like you to assume that the record in this case reflects that the elephants that are not on the road traveling with the circus spend at least twelve to fifteen hours of every 24-hour period chained on concrete. What's your opinion about that?
- A. Well, I think that kind of again you've got a very significant length of time where not only most of the animals are restricted, but the kind of behaviors it can perform are very restricted, and from the work that's been done, specifically on elephants, suggest that confinement leads to the development of stereotypic behaviors and is associated with it,

the performance of stereotypic behaviors, so I think overall indicates that harm is caused to those elephants, and also specifically being chained to restriction of movement on concrete environments, it's very unyielding, is associated with the development of foot and joint disorders in zoos, so there's been an association noted by people who work with elephants and veterinarians that by keeping elephants in those conditions where they can't travel and they can't move very far, but also in that kind of substrate, it could also cause them physical harm as well.

- Q. Okay. And Dr. Clubb, have you recommended any time frames for the chaining of elephants?
- A. I did. I came up with estimates basically thinking about the daily kind of routine of elephants in captivity, and I came up with the figures of six hours out of every 24 hours, and in my opinion, if that's conducted at regular intervals, thinking about overnight chaining, I do think overnight chaining would lead to harm to an elephant, and that's the basis. Obviously there's going to be some arbitrariness about plucking a figure out of the air, but looking at overnighting, I think that would cause harm, and it's certainly been a practice that zoos are definitely moving away from or have moved away from because of the concerns that the impact it has on the elephants welfare, and also in terms of the daily routine, again, a certain arbitrariness, but I recommended about any more than thirty

25

minutes wouldn't be great for the animal, and that veterinary procedures could hopefully being taking place if they had to be I do have concerns about any system that leads to regular chaining of elephants, and but again, you know, based on the kind of daily routine of elephants, in fact, they sometimes do have to do veterinary care, I picked out thirty minutes. And I'd like to talk just a little bit about elephant training. You mentioned that you did some research on the primary manners in which elephants are trained. Can you briefly describe for Judge Sullivan what those methods are? Yeah. There's the main way, the traditional way of training elephants involves what's called free contact training so the elephant's in the same space as the keeper, hence free contact, and the main kind of principles behind that are that the handler maintains a dominance over the elephant, which is mainly administered through the use of punishment as well as negative re-enforcement, which is mainly used through the ankus, the bullhook, and generally within that kind of setting the keeper requires a hundred percent compliance from the elephant. Obviously the keeper being in such close quarters with the elephant carries a certain amount of risk, and hence they require the animal to perform the behavior when they ask for it. And the other system that's increasingly been used is protected contact where there's a barrier between the keeper and the elephant, and in those circumstances the animal isn't required

to have a hundred percent compliance. It can partake in the training or not, according to whether it wants to or not. And generally that doesn't involve the use of an ankus and rather it involves positive re-enforcement, so giving the animals treats and rewarding it for the kind of behaviors that it performs, so those are the two main systems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And did you review evidence of the handling of elephants by Ringling Brothers' employees?
- I viewed testimony, reviewed testimony as well as Α. video footage, including the use of the ankus or bullhook, and I think what I saw was the bullhook is used very frequently, often with quite a lot of force behind it, and according to several testimonies, including a USDA inspector and a humane society inspector, those ankuses are sharp, so I think the way in which they were used was likely to cause significant wounding and breaking of the skin, and that was verified by various testimonies. All perhaps more worryingly is the kind of monitoring in which it was used. It was used so frequently and so often to kind of punish behaviors that you wouldn't necessarily think about things such as touching an elephant next to it or rattling its chain, and often for no apparent reason, that I do see anyway, and kind of an evasive handling has been shown in a whole bunch of other kind of species that kind of infrequent use of either hitting the animal or prodding it continually is a cause of serious welfare concern, and it's

associated with chronic stress as well as even growth can be affected of the animal because as much sustained effect on the animal and that circumstance, I think it really harms the animal psychologically, but also they've got, there's such level, an excessive level of control over the behaviors of the animal I think it significantly impairs their natural behaviors, so from all the evidence, I think it does cause significant harm.

MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, I don't have any further questions at this time.

THE COURT: All right.

Any cross-examination?

MR. SHEA: Yes, your Honor.

# CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. SHEA:

- Q. Now, Dr. Clubb, is it your testimony you were prevented from seeing the animals at issue in this case, the elephants at issue, because you were prevented from going to the inspection of them that were ordered in this case?
- A. I don't really recall what happened. I know that I certainly didn't go to the visit, but I can't remember, I'm not aware of the negotiations that went on behind the scenes in terms of the visits.
- Q. Well, Dr. Clubb, let me show you page 102 of your deposition, starting on line twenty.

Question: Dr. Clubb, if I understand correctly, you

didn't attend the inspections of the FEI elephants done in the this case, am I correct?

Answer: That is correct. It was too difficult for me to come back at that time.

Did I read your testimony correctly?

- A. You did, yeah. I mean, and I did say that. But thinking about it, I can't recall the exact -- the exact nature of the reasons why I didn't go out. It might have been my schedule, but to be honest, I can't remember honestly.
- Q. Dr. Clubb, you did not review the medical records of any of the elephants at issue in this case, did you?
- A. No, I didn't.
- Q. And you cannot point to any evidence where harm was in fact caused to any specific elephant at issue in this case, correct?
- A. Well, my opinion I think having reviewed the evidence that I've seen in terms of the treatment of the elephants and the conditions that they're kept in, it's my opinion that harm has been caused, and in terms of particularly disrupting their basic essential behaviors.
- Q. Dr. Clubb, let me show you page 216 of your deposition starting on line three. Question: What evidence of physical injury do you have for Jewell?

Answer: Well, as I said in answer to other questions,
I haven't broken it down according to each individual elephant,
so as you can see from my report, I've reviewed the use of the

bullhook by Ringling Brothers' employees on elephants in general.

Question: You say in your report generally that those are likely to cause physical harm. That is really the tenor of your report, but you don't show any instances where harm was in fact caused. Can you point me to any evidence that you are citing where harm was in fact caused to Jewell?

Answer: As I said, if you point -- if you point out Jewell, I haven't reviewed the evidence according to the individual elephant and identified those in the report.

Next page, please.

And that is true for the other five elephants at issue and Zina, correct?

Answer: That is correct.

Did I read your testimony correctly?

A. Yes, you did.

1.2

- Q. You've not identified specific injuries for any of the specific elephants in this case, correct?
- A. I didn't review, as I said, I didn't review the evidence and pinpoint each individual elephant. I generally reviewed the treatment of all the elephants that were in the footage, so, no, I haven't picked out specific individuals used in this case.
- Q. And Dr. Clubb, you did not have specific evidence as you sit here today to demonstrate that any one of those elephants is experiencing poor welfare, correct?

A. Well, in my opinion, I think the way that the animals are being treated and the performance is stereotypic behavior suggests that the environment and the treatment of those elephants is such that it has caused them harm.

Q. Dr. Clubb, let me show you page 240 of your deposition, line twenty.

Question: So then you don't have specific evidence as you sit here today to demonstrate that any one of those elephants is experiencing poor welfare, elephant by elephant?

Answer: Not elephant by elephant.

Did I read your testimony correctly?

- A. Yes, you did.
- Q. And we were speaking about, in your deposition there, we were speaking about the elephants at issue in this case and Zina, were we not?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. You cannot identify any specific evidence that any of the elephants is being "taken," correct?
  - A. As I've explained, I think the evidence I was reviewing was the treatment of all the elephants and the conditions that they were kept in, and I think there's sufficient evidence for me to conclude that they were harmed in the way that they were treated, but I haven't broken down my report according to each individual elephant.
  - Q. Dr. Clubb, let me show you page 241 of your deposition,

1 beginning on line four.

Question: What specific evidence do you have to demonstrate that any of those elephants is being taken? And again I'm looking at elephant by elephant.

Answer. As I said, I haven't broken it down elephant by elephant.

Did I read your testimony correctly?

- A. Yes, you did.
- Q. Now, you did not have any evidence that any of the elephants at issue, or Zina, displayed signs of physiological stress, correct?
- A. No, that's right. There's been no psychological studies as I'm aware.
- Q. In fact, your opinions about psychological stress in the FEI elephants that you gave in your report were based on studies done in other species, such as livestock, correct?
- A. Yes. I think it's generally kind of standard practice that you can very validly compare a cross species, and that's the kind of basis for, for instance, studies that test drugs on rats or mice and extrapolate that to the effects it can have on humans. It's a similar kind of principle, and given that there's been extensive work done on particularly livestock in terms of effective handling, I think it's a valid extrapolation to look at the likely of harm caused to those elephants based on how they're handled.

- Q. Dr. Clubb, so you're saying that you're applying studies in livestock to elephants, did I understand that correctly?
  - A. Um-hmm, yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Are there any studies that do that, that compare welfare in livestock handling to welfare in elephant handling where that welfare is -- or where the handling is similar?
- A. Well, there's aspects of the handling as I picked out in my report, so, for instance, the studies have looked at the effect of hitting cows or pigs repetitively, and looked at that, and based on -- and looked at the effect it has on their welfare, including physiological measures. There are studies that have looked at the effect of frequent, unpredictable events that are versive on a whole range of species, so there's characteristics, shall we say, of the way in which the elephants are handled that the other studies can be applied to.
- 16 Q. Well, those studies don't include elephants, do they?
- 17 A. No.
  - Q. Now, you don't have any evidence specific to the elephants at issue or Zina about wounding or injury from chaining, correct?
  - A. No, I don't think so. As I said, I didn't review it elephant by elephant.
  - Q. And you have no evidence specific to the elephants at issue or Zina that supports your opinions that use of the guide, tethering, or even weaning has caused them mental injury,

1 | correct?

2

- A. You said the use of the guide -- sorry -- did you say?
- $3 \parallel Q$ . The ankus.
  - A. As I said, I haven't reviewed it elephant by elephant.
- 5 \ Q. Now, Dr. Clubb, you just questioned me about the term
- guide." You've heard that term before used as a synonym for
- 7 the ankus, haven't you?
- A. Yes. Sorry. I didn't hear you properly. That's why I asked.
- 10 Q. You don't know when any of the elephants at issue or Zina,
- if any, began exhibiting stereotypic behavior, correct?
- 12 A. That's right.
- Q. And you don't know under what circumstances any of the
- 14 | elephants at issue or Zina, if any, began exhibiting stereotypic
- 15 behavior, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And you don't know whether such stereotypic behavior, if
- any, has become more or less pronounced over time, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And you have no evidence that any of the elephants at issue
- 21 or Zina have been injured by what you call power behaviors in
- 22 your report, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And you have no evidence that the elephants at issue or
- 25 Zina have problems with breeding, feeding, or sheltering,

1 correct?

MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This is outside the scope of my direct.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. SHEA: Your Honor, counsel asked her questions and elicited answers about injury, which is a term used in the definition of taking, of wounding, I heard that which is used --

THE COURT: I'll allow it. I'll allow the question.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Could you repeat the question?

BY MR. SHEA:

- Q. You have no evidence that elephants at issue or Zina have problems with breeding, feeding, or sheltering, correct?
- A. Correct. As I said, I haven't reviewed it elephant by elephant, but I think in terms of the restriction of their natural behaviors, it's clear to me from the way in which to the degree to which they're confined in their movements as well as the treatment that they face at the hands of the handlers does cause disruption to their essential behaviors, and that was the basis of my argument basically, but, as I said, I haven't broken it down by individual elephant.
- Q. So you have then no evidence that Zina, or I'm sorry, Jewell, is suffering problems with breeding, feeding, or sheltering, correct?
- A. Yes. As I said, I haven't done it by individual elephant.
  - Q. So the same answer would be true for the other elephants at

issue; is that right?

- A. That's right. I've reviewed the evidence according to all the elephants.
- Q. Dr. Clubb, what natural behaviors are being suppressed did you say while elephants are on chains?
- A. I think it restricts most of their natural behaviors, so even quite basic movements such as being able to easily lie down, turn around, move to a place that's a bit more comfortable in terms of temperature, foraging, socializing, all those behaviors I think are either completely prevented or extremely restricted.
  - Q. Is it your testimony that the elephants can't eat while on chains?
- A. I'm talking about foraging behavior rather than just the act of eating.
- Q. So they can eat, can't they?
- A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And they can sleep, can't they?
- A. Well, they can sleep standing up. I think from the
  evidence I've reviewed there's difficulty in terms of the amount
  of time or the possibility of lying down, especially two
  elephants chained next to each other, and particularly on the
  trains.
  - Q. Is it your testimony that the elephants can't lie down on the train cars?

- A. I just think from the evidence that has been done in the Friend report, for instance, only one or two elephants the whole time actually lay down, and some testimony that I've read suggests that it's difficult for them to lie down, especially two elephants at the same time if they're chained next to each other, so I'm not saying it's impossible, but I think it's difficult for them.
  - Q. And your basis for that opinion is what again?
- A. Someone's testimony in terms of the difficulty with them lying side by side, two adjacent elephants, and the Friend report, the Williams and Friend report, find that only two individuals across the four circuses actually were recorded lying down, even in very long journeys, which is very natural, and you would expect them to spend much more time lying down. I also think the, I think it's the Schmid study found that letting animals off chains and using paddocks find an increase in lying behavior, which suggests they're not able to lie down as much as they would like to when they're chained up.
  - Q. You mentioned the Schmid study. Is that S-c-h-m-i-d; is that right?
  - A. Yes, that's right.
- O. And that was in 1995?
- A. Yes, that's right.
- 24 Q. And it was in a traveling circus in Europe, correct?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Are you saying that that traveling circus' facilities, methods, and practices were the same as FEI's?

2.4

- A. In terms of the practice of picketing and chaining elephants side by side, I haven't seen anything to suggest that difference significantly between these circuses in this study and the Feld elephants.
- Q. Do you know the extent to which they're different or not?
- A. I haven't seen evidence that they're different, but I couldn't tell you exactly the distance that they were chained side by side, but there's a lot of other evidence that I've just listed in terms of the other studies that are being done that suggest that it is difficult for them.
- Q. Do you have any evidence as to how often FEI elephants lie down in train cars?
- A. The study that was done by William and Friend looked at Ringling elephants on the trains, and, as I said, only two individuals lay down at all for the journey. I can't recall the exact time they spent lying down. That would be in the study, so, yeah, from that.
- Q. And that's the only evidence you have?
- A. I think for the train cars, yeah, but also just the footage that we saw earlier with the two elephants in the train car, physically, just looking at it, it would be quite difficult for them to both lie down at the same time because of their restriction and the scale.

- Q. Do you know what elephants were photographed in that film clip you saw and testified to earlier today about train cars?
  - A. I don't recall. Sorry.
  - Q. Do you know what year that film clip was shot?
- 5 A. I don't recall. Sorry.

4

8

13

14

15

16

17

2.1

- 6 Q. Do you even know whose elephants those were?
- 7 A. They were Feld elephants as far as I'm aware.
  - Q. How do you know that?
- 9 A. I remember reviewing the evidence. I can't recall exactly
  10 what piece of paper I looked at.
- Q. So you saw a piece of paper that told you that those were Feld elephants in that film clip?
  - A. I don't recall the exact -- the exact nature of the document, but I was under the impression that they were Feld elephants.
  - Q. Nothing in the film clip told you that they were Feld elephants, did it?
- 18 A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. Now, you've never seen a train car, a Feld train car, for yourself, have you, an FEI train car?
  - A. No. I didn't attend the inspections.
- Q. Now, is it your testimony that the FEI elephants cannot touch others while they're on chains?
- A. No. I think they can touch others, but they're very restricted in the elephants that they can touch and they're very

restricted in the types of socializing behavior that they can do because they're so restricted in their movements.

- Q. Now, Dr. Clubb, for the elephants at issue, you could have worked up behavior or psychological factors to determine their welfare, couldn't you?
- A. Well, as far as I'm aware, there's no -- there's been no access to the elephants to conduct such kind of psychological measures. And in terms of the video evidence I've seen have noted the presence of stereotypic behavior.
- Q. But you could have requested to work those up, couldn't you?
- A. I was under the impression that it wouldn't be possible to get access to things like blood samples and things like that in order to get more detailed psychological measures from them.
- Q. Dr. Clubb, nonetheless, you've not done such a workup on the elephants, have you?
- A. No, I haven't.

MS. SANERIB: Your Honor, I do want to object to this line of questioning because again Feld Entertainment opposed our taking any blood samples of these animals during physical inspections.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. SHEA: Your Honor, I'll have to turn to counsel.

I wasn't part of the inspection.

MR. SIMPSON: The way this worked, your Honor, if they

wanted to do an inspection and we said what do you want to do 1 and they didn't have an answer. They said what should we do? 2 3 We're the defendants in this case. So they were supposed to propose a protocol. We went back and forth. They did that. 4 5 Judge Facciola ordered us to carry it out and we did, so if 6 something slipped through the cracks because they wanted to take 7 a blood test or a hair sample or whatever, they didn't ask for 8 it. THE COURT: Did you? Did you ask for it? 9

MS. SANERIB: Yes, we did, your Honor. Our original Rule 34 inspection request to defendant asked to take samples from the elephants.

THE COURT: And what happened?

MS. SANERIB: He would not allow us to take samples.

THE COURT: Did you bring that to Judge Facciola's attention or my attention? Had you brought it to my attention, you would have gotten the blood tests, the hair samples, and probably any other scientific evidence that you needed.

MS. SANERIB: They had arguments that I think Magistrate Facciola thought were compelling as to why we shouldn't be taking blood from these animals.

THE COURT: Did Judge Facciola deny the request to take blood?

MS. SANERIB: I would have to go back and review the transcript.

Official Court Reporter

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1 THE COURT: I note that no one brought it to my attention. If he did rule that way, no one appealed it. 2 3 MS. SANERIB: That's correct. THE COURT: I'll allow the question. Go ahead. 4 5 BY MR. SHEA: 6 Dr. Clubb, you've done no studies on the treatment of FEI 7 elephants, correct? I'm sorry. Could you repeat that? 8 9 0. Yes. You've done no direct studies, empirical studies, on 10 the treatment of the FEI elephants, correct? 11 Α. That's correct. And you've done no direct empirical studies on the welfare 12 Q. of the FEI elephants, correct? 13 That's correct. 14 Α. O. And you have no evidence that FEI currently -- well, strike 15 16 that. Dr. Clubb, in the Clubb & Mason report you called 17 urgently for empirical research on the welfare of captive 18 elephants, didn't you? 19 We put a list of recommendations for the research because 20 it was clear that looking across the board it would be useful to 21 have more work in that area. 22 MR. SHEA: Could you please, Mr. Palisoul, bring up 23 Exhibit 3 to Dr. Clubb's deposition at page 252? 2.4 25 BY MR. SHEA:

- Q. Now, Dr. Clubb, this text box at the bottom of the page -well, first off, this is a page from your report, correct, the
  Clubb-Mason report?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. And in this text box here that is on the screen, this was part of the report; is that right?
  - A. That's right.
- Q. The first recommendation for empirical research was the following: The factors responsible for poor welfare of zoo elephants should be empirically investigated as a matter of urgency. Did I read that correctly?
- A. That's right, yeah.
  - Q. And at the time of your deposition that investigation had not been done, correct?
  - A. Well, this was across the board really because we find we've reviewed so many different aspects and so many different types of evidence of poor welfare that we were calling for research to be done across the board so we're not just talking about one or two aspects, so across the board there hasn't been one study that's looked to everything, no.
  - Q. Dr. Clubb, without empirical research on elephant welfare, it would be impossible to tell whether captive institutions keeping captive elephants are getting it all right, isn't that correct?
  - A. Well, I think, yeah, in terms of measuring the welfare of

- elephants in one particular facility to say yes, they have good welfare, yeah, I would agree.
  - Q. Dr. Clubb, in the Clubb & Mason report, you called for a number of issues to be assessed by empirical research, isn't that right?
  - A. We did have various presentations, yes.

MR. SHEA: Mr. Palisoul, if you could show page 250 of that exhibit.

BY MR. SHEA:

- Q. And Dr. Clubb, this is page from the Clubb & Mason report; is that right?
- A. That's right.

research questions in Box 9.

- Q. And the box at the bottom of the page, I believe it is box eight, that was in the report, wasn't it?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Now let's zoom back out to look at the text above, and I'd like to ask Dr. Clubb if you still agree with this statement beginning about five lines up from the text box: There is clearly an urgent need for detailed statistically sophisticated multi-variate study of the factors affecting elephant welfare. We suggest that a thorough research program which we estimate would take just three person years to stress hormones and stereotypy were not looked at could answer a lot of key questions (See Box 8). We also list some other unanswered

First off, did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, you did.

2.2

- Q. Secondly, do you still agree with that statement?
- A. Well, I think just to put it in context, this was -- the idea behind this was to look at all the welfare issues that we'd highlighted and look at all those questions within one study, so I think there has been one attempt to kind of one study that's tried to look at various different aspects but none to date that look at everything together that we recommend here.
- Q. Dr. Clubb, let me show you Box 8. And does Box 8 describe the research project that you were calling for urgently in this report?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And looking in the middle of the text of Box 8, you say that the aim of such a project would be to identify specific aspects of husbandry that correlate with measures of elephant welfare. Was that one of the aims that you had for this project?
  - A. Yes. It was to look at a whole range of different measures from the captive environment as well as a whole range of welfare problems that we'd seen and match those two up basically.
  - Q. And one of those was which handling system is best for elephant health; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- O. And that research hasn't been done, has it?

- 1 A. I don't think there's been an overall study of it, no.
- Q. And the next, another one was what enclosure size is acceptable to ensure adequate welfare? That was another suggestion, was it not?
- $5 \parallel A$ . Yes, it was.

10

18

- Q. And that hasn't been done either, has it?
- A. There's been a study that's looked to correlates of welfare and enclosure size that suggests that bigger enclosures are better, so to some extent that has been conducted.
  - Q. Was that conducted since your deposition?
- 11 A. Yes, it was, yeah.
- 12 Q. So it was just reported between what, September and now?
- 13 A. It was.
- 14 Q. September '08 and now?
- 15 A. Yeah. It's been released since then, yes.
- Q. And is that the Bristol study that you mentioned to me in your deposition?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, as I understand this box, what you were expressing
  here was conducting a study with a number of what you call
  independent variables labeled A through D, and looking to see
  what happens or what the effect was on what you called dependent
  variables A through F, correct?
- 24 A. Yes, that's right.
  - Q. So for instance, to read this box correctly, one would

understand that a study, you were requesting or calling urgently for a study to be conducted on the physical aspects of enclosure design, e.g., size, complexity, flooring substrates, to see what effect that would have on reproductive success, morbidity, mortality, the incidence of poor maternal care and infanticide behavior, problems, aggression and stereotypies, or noninvasive physiological stress indicators; is that right?

- A. Yes. It was basically the effect of all those factors at the top, the effect of those on all the factors on the bottom, and taking each other into account.
- Q. I see. So then the study would also include looking at aspects of husbandry in management such as diet, handling regime, and time spent indoors and the effect of those variables on the factors A through F, right?
- A. Yes. All those factors together, yeah.
- Q. And to date, those studies have not been done, correct?
- A. No. As I said, there's been some aspects looked at, but there's been no overall study to look at all those measures.
- Q. When you say some aspects have been looked at, is that the Bristol study you've referred to?
- A. Mainly, yeah.

1.8

- Q. And when was the Bristol study released?
- 23 A. It came out either the end of last month, I think it was last month, yeah, in January.
  - Q. I see. That was funded by the RSPCA, wasn't it?

- A. Part of it was, and then there was also the Zoo
  Association, BEAZA, the UK government, and another welfare
  organization, IFO.
  - Q. Did you review drafts of that study before it was released?
  - A. I did see some of it, yeah.
- Q. Now, I note on Box 8, or I'm sorry, the text above Box 8, you had said you also listed some other unanswered research questions in Box 9, and Box 9 appears on the next page of your report, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And Box 9, one of those unanswered additional possible research questions was about training; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And that was: How do elephants respond to their handlers in different types of training regimes, e.g., do they show any behavioral signs that they perceive them as matriarch? Did I read that correctly?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. That research hasn't been done, has it?
- A. No. I don't think there's -- no. There's been nothing that's looked specifically at that.
  - Q. And then another of the additional research questions that you flag here is down near the bottom under Behavioral Problems, and it begins over about mid-page, and it says: And where does weaving come from? Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
  - Q. So you were calling at that time for research on where weaving developed from, right?
  - A. Yeah. We thought it would be useful to get more research done on that because, as I kind of reviewed earlier, the evidence that we've got so far in the studies that are being done suggested restriction of movement or at least restriction of the natural behaviors, but as with any study, more research would be even more valuable.
- Q. But that research hasn't been done, has it?
- 11 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, Dr. Clubb, you testified earlier today that you read quite a bit of literature in preparing the Clubb & Mason report, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And I think you agreed with counsel that there were twentysome or more pages of references in this report; is that right?
- 18 A. Yeah, I think so.
- Q. You're not aware of any studies that identify risk factors for arthritis in elephants, are you?
  - A. There's been various correlations made by researchers in the field and veterinarians and those who work with elephants, and risk factors includes being kept on hard or yielding substrates like concrete, as well as restriction of movement, and that we reviewed that and the reports.

- Q. Well, you didn't have that information at the time of your deposition, did you?
  - A. Well, I certainly make the point that there's risk factors.

    Those risk factors were identified or have been identified.
    - Q. Let me show you page 224 of your deposition: Okay. So let me be more specific before we do this. Did you name any other risk factors than those you just named?
  - A. I don't recall actually.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

- Q. Okay. Do you know whether age has been shown to be a risk factor for arthritis in elephants?
- A. I'm not aware of any in elephants. I know in other species and humans, for instance, is a risk factor.
- Q. And also to quote the Clubb & Mason report, this was a review of -- the Clubb & Mason report was a review of stud book data. Was that the type of data reviewed?
  - A. That was part of the data we reviewed, yes.
  - O. What is a stud book?
- A. It records the births, deaths, and source of animals, location of animals.
- Q. And have you reviewed stud books in North America for North
  American elephants?
- 22 A. No, I haven't. It was European zoos that we reviewed.

THE COURT: All right. At some point we have to break for lunch, counsel. Now is probably as good a time as any.

We'll start back at 2:15. Enjoy your lunch.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. 1 2 THE COURT: Please do not discuss any aspect of your 3 testimony with anyone. THE WITNESS: 4 Okay. 5 THE COURT: I'm not trying to curtail your 6 examination. How much more time do you need for cross? 7 MR. SHEA: I've always underestimated, your Honor. I would say about an hour. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. We'll start back at 2:15. Thank you. You can step down. Enjoy your lunch. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 COURTROOM DEPUTY: This Honorable Court now stands in 12 recess until 2:15. 13 (A luncheon recess was taken at about 12:59 p.m.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESSES:		
4	ROS EDWARDS CLUBB, Ph.D		
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Sanerib Cross-examination by Mr. Shea	5 71	
6	CIOSS CAMMINACION By III. Blica	, 1	
7			
8			
9			i
10			'
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19		d.	!
20			
21			
22 23			
23			
24			
25			

## CERTIFICATE

I, JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN, Official Court Reporter, certify that the foregoing pages are a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN