## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE .
PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO .
ANIMALS, .

. CA No. 03-2006

Plaintiff,

•

v.

. Washington, D.C.

Tuesday, March 10, 2009

FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

10:13 a.m.

Defendant.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL - MORNING SESSION BEFORE THE HONORABLE EMMET G. SULLIVAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the Plaintiff: KATHERINE A. MEYER, ESQ.

TANYA SANERIB, ESQ.
DELCIANNA WINDERS, ESQ.
HOWARD CRYSTAL, ESQ.

Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal 1601 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20009

202-364-4092

For the Defendant: JOHN SIMPSON, ESQ.

LISA JOINER, ESQ. KARA PETTEWAY, ESQ.

Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202-662-4504

Court Reporter:

JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR
Official Court Reporter
U.S. Courthouse, Room 6820
333 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001
202-354-3187

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript pr

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Remain seated. This Honorable Court is again in session. Please come to order. 3 Civil action number 03-2006, American Society For the 4 5 Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, et al versus Feld 6 Entertainment, Incorporated. 7 Counsel, can you identify yourself for the record? 8 MR. CRYSTAL: Good morning, your Honor. Howard 9 Crystal for the plaintiffs. 10 MS. MEYER: Katherine Meyer for the plaintiffs, your 11 Honor. 12 MS. SANERIB: And good morning. Tanya Sanerib for the 13 plaintiffs. 14 MS. WINDERS: Good morning, your Honor. Delcianna 15 Winders for the plaintiffs. 16 THE COURT: Good morning. 17 MS. SINNOTT: Good morning, your Honor. Michelle 18 Sinnott, tech, for the plaintiffs. 19 MR. SIMPSON: Good morning, your Honor. John Simpson for the defendant. 20 21 MS. PETTEWAY: Good morning, your Honor. 2.2 Petteway for the defendant. 23 MS. JOINER: Good morning, your Honor. Lisa Joiner 24 for the defendant. 25 MS. STRAUSS: Good morning, your Honor. Julie Strauss for the defendant.

2.2

MR. PALISOUL: Derrick Palisoul for the defendant. Good morning.

THE COURT: The exhibit we were discussing at the end of yesterday's session is indeed an exhibit that the plaintiffs had marked as an exhibit that plaintiff wanted to introduce in plaintiffs' case in chief at some point but then changed their mind about utilizing it, correct?

MS. WINDERS: Plaintiffs intended to move in part of that exhibit and decided not to, so in particular, there's one part of a column of that exhibit we did not intend to because it's based on rank hearsay.

THE COURT: I'll allow it. During the rebuttal portion -- there is a witness whose name I can't recall, there is a witness who can provide some evidentiary for that part, Ms. Petsal?

MR. SIMPSON: Mr. Sawolski.

THE COURT: So it's admitted over objection.

MS. WINDERS: Can I take a minute just to make a record on that? I just had a chance last night to go through and find some of the inaccuracies, so I just want to use one as an example.

If we could go to Plaintiffs' Will Call 35 at page 29.

I'm going to use the example of the elephant Nicole. So here

for the elephant Nicole, it states Nicole's date of birth as

1975. In fact, defendants --

2.2

THE COURT: Step back from the microphone.

MS. WINDERS: Sorry.

Defendant's 30(b)(6) witness testified at his deposition that Nicole was born in 1976. Defendant's general counsel testified at his deposition that Nicole was born in 1976. Defendant's internal records state that Nicole was born in 1976, and when you look over at the last column for the materials defendant relied on for this, despite defendant's suggestion yesterday that these are business records, the first cite in every single one of the rows in this chart is a declaration that the defendant prepared for this litigation that has the case caption on top of it that was prepared for defendant's summary judgment motion, and the case law clearly recognizes that this cannot be a business record, so we think this is absolutely based on rank hearsay and cannot be admitted.

THE COURT: What about those, that discrepancy?

Is that the only discrepancy?

MS. WINDERS: No, there are others. Would you like me to point out others?

THE COURT: What's the relevance? So the date of birth is off a year. Why is that relevant?

MS. WINDERS: It's extremely relevant, your Honor. It would be very prejudicial, because pre-Act, which are not and this will probably be litigated on appeal, and the difference

between whether an elephant was born in 1975 or 1976 can make 1 2 all the difference on that issue, and we think defendant --3 THE COURT: Why? Why? MS. WINDERS: Because the Act provides that -- I can 4 5 pull the language out if you give me a minute. 6 The Asian elephant was listed as endangered on June 7 14th, 1976, and there's an explicit statutory presumption that 8 an elephant was not held in captivity on the date necessary for 9 the grandfather clause to apply, which is the date it was 10 listed, that it would not be considered held in captivity, and 11 defendant is representing that these are pre-Act elephants. 12 defendant simply hasn't presented the best evidence to show 13 this. Indeed they're relying on a declaration prepared for 14 litigation. 15 Mr. Simpson, what about that last point? THE COURT: 16 It sounded relevant, isn't it? 17 MR. SIMPSON: Well, the trigger date is June 14th, 1976, and Nicole's medical records indicate she was born on 18 19 January 1, 1976, so the difference between January 1, '76 --20 THE COURT: She was born in '75, though? 21 MR. SIMPSON: Well, there's another document that also 2.2 records it as '75. At the end of the day it's immaterial. 23 THE COURT: What about the witnesses, did they testify 24 she was born in '76? 25 MR. SIMPSON: And they pegged it to the medical record date of January 1. This declaration was by Gary Jacobson.

2.2

THE COURT: It may take a little longer. If you make an evidentiary record I'll vacate what I just said about admitting that document. Lay your evidentiary basis for this chart to come up.

MR. SIMPSON: I will. But I just want to make one more point. This declaration she's highlighted here, that information in the record is in the record also through the 30(b)(6) deposition of Gary Jacobson that they offered in, so that information is already in the in --

THE COURT: To the extent there's information that they've not offered in the record that defendants want to have part of the evidentiary record later, then lay your evidentiary foundation for that additional information. If it's all right there it's all right there.

MR. SIMPSON: I will.

THE COURT: Unless the parties disagree about what's already there.

MR. SIMPSON: She made this argument about Jacobson, but his declaration was simply tracked in his deposition. They went over the same elephants in that deposition.

THE COURT: It's a nonjury proceeding. I don't want to close my eyes to relevant information. To the extent it's there it's there and there's no need to duplicate it and require the defendants to provide an independent basis also. That's

already been introduced. If there's new evidence in this chart that's not heretofore been admitted into the evidentiary record, and defendant believes it's competent evidence to support its admissibility, be my guest and admit it and I'll rely on it.

MR. SIMPSON: All right.

THE COURT: All right.

2.2

MS. WINDERS: I also just wanted to state for the record that with regard to the other exhibits that the plaintiffs had listed that defendant tried to move in yesterday, Plaintiffs' Will Call 86, these are for the most part the same documents.

THE COURT: I've already ruled. I've issued my ruling. To the extent that portions of either record are already in the evidentiary -- already admitted into evidence and there's no need for defendant to do anything else, it's in the evidentiary record, and I'll give it whatever weight, if any, that's appropriate. To the extent that there are portions of that other document that they wish to introduce, they'll have to lay an appropriate evidentiary basis for it.

MS. WINDERS: Just to be clear, that applies to both exhibits at issue?

THE COURT: Absolutely, yes.

MS. WINDERS: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed.

MR. SIMPSON: Defendant calls Lisa Weisberg.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Witness, please raise your right 1 2 hand. 3 Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about to give in this case now before the Court will be the truth, the 4 whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 6 7 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Please be seated. 8 THE COURT: Good morning. THE WITNESS: Good morning. 9 10 LISA WEISBERG, ESQ., WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANT, SWORN 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. SIMPSON: 13 Ο. Good morning. 14 Good morning. Α. 15 Would you state your name for the record, please? 0. 16 Lisa Weisberg. Α. 17 Are you currently employed? Ο. 18 Α. No. 19 Were you at one time employed by the American Society For Q. the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals? 20 21 Yes, I was. Α. 2.2 When did that employment end? 0. 23 June 6th of 2008. Α. 24 Q. How are you currently employed? 25 I'm currently not employed, but I continue to represent the Α.

- ASPCA in this case as special legal consultant.
- Q. All right. When this case was -- well, when you were
- 3 before you left your employment with ASPCA, were you designated
- 4 as the, and when I refer to ASPCA, we understand American
- 5 | Society For Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. You were the ASPCA's designated representative in this
- 8 case, correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. You were also their 30(b)(6) witness; is that right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. You supervised the ASPCA's document production; is that
- 13 right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. You supervised their Answers to Interrogatories, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And you verified those Interrogatories, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And in the evidentiary hearing that was held earlier in
- 20 2008, February 2008, you were ASPCA's corporate representative,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- MR. SIMPSON: Your Honor, I request the ability to
- 24 treat this as an adverse witness pursuant to Rule 611(c).
- 25 THE COURT: I think counsel's entitled to do that.

Are there objections?

MR. CRYSTAL: No objection.

THE COURT: Proceed, counsel.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. It's true, is it not, Ms. Weisberg, that ASPCA opposes animals in the circus?
- A. That's true.
- Q. It's also true that ASPCA believes that there should be no elephants in any circus?
  - A. I think we need to qualify that. The ASPCA's position on animals in circuses is that if it is a traveling circus and if pain and cruelty is inflicted on the animal to train it to perform for human entertainment, we are opposed to that.
  - Q. Now, Ms. Weisberg, you were asked that same question in your deposition in 2004, were you not?
  - A. I believe so.
    - Q. And at the time you gave that deposition you were under oath; is that true?
  - A. That's true.
- Q. And when you appeared at that deposition you appeared as the Rule 30(b)(6) witness for the corporation, isn't that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me show you, if I could, page 29 of your deposition,
- line one. The question was: So is it the ASPCA's position that there should be no elephants in any circus? And the answer was:

Official Court Reporter

Correct.

1

2

5

9

10

11

- A. That's true.
- Q. And that was the position of the ASPCA on the date this deposition was taken in 2005, isn't that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, it's also the ASPCA's position that the bullhook should never be used on an elephant, isn't that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
  - Q. And in fact, ASPCA seeks an order in this case prohibiting all use of the bullhook by Ringling Brothers?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. ASPCA also seeks an order in this case prohibiting Ringling
  Brothers from restraining its elephants in any way, isn't that
  right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. ASPCA seeks an order in this case to take Ringling
  Brothers' elephants out of the circus, isn't that right?
- A. Well, if they're going to continue to use the bullhook and chain them for the lengths of time that they do, yes.
  - Q. You want them out of the circus, correct?
- 21 A. Under those circumstances, yes.
- 22 Q. Do you know what free contact is?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. ASPCA is against free contact, even in zoos, isn't that
- 25 true?

- A. If it inflicts harm on the animal, yes, we are.
- Q. Well, you didn't qualify it like that when we asked you that question in 2005, did you?
- A. Well, I'm not changing my position. I'm just clarifying our position.
  - Q. You stated under oath in 2005 that ASPCA was against free contact, without any qualification, didn't you?
  - A. That remains the same.
- 9 Q. Now, it's true, is it not, that ASPCA's view is that the circus provides no educational value whatsoever, correct?
- 11 A. That's absolutely true.
- Q. And you don't believe that if a child sees a circus, a child will become interested in animals, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.

2

3

6

7

8

15

16

17

- Q. You also don't believe that if a child sees animals in a circus, a child will form a greater respect for animals, isn't that also true?
- 18 A. That's true.
- Q. You don't think that people should attend a circus that has elephants that perform, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, there came a point, did there not, ma'am, when you got involved with a piece of pending legislation in Massachusetts that would ban elephants and other wild animals in the circus?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And that bill would have excluded, however, zoos, isn't that true?
- A. Yes, that's true.
- Q. And the zoos that ASPCA itself supports, correct?
- A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.
- Q. Well, it excluded the zoos that you approved of, correct?
- A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. It's ASPCA's position that captive populations of Asian elephants have no research value unless they're returned to the wild, isn't that true?
- A. There's the issue of the propagation of the species to return them to wild, as well as for conservation purposes.
  - Q. But it's your organization's position that a captive Asian elephant has no useful conservation purpose at all unless it is in fact returned to the wild, correct?
  - A. If it can be returned to the wild. I mean, things are a bit different today. There is social unrest in some countries where elephants are native to, but for the most part that position remains the same.
  - Q. Let me show you, ma'am, your deposition in 2005 at page 147, line 16. The question was: Does ASPCA have a position on the value of Ringling Brothers -- excuse me. Wrong page.
  - 145; line 9. Does the ASPCA have a position regarding the research value of the captive populations of Asian elephants?

Answer: Yes.

And what is that policy?

Only if it's for conservation purposes where the species is going to be re-introduced into the wild.

Question: So that there is only -- I'm going to ask you if this is a correct paraphrasing of what you just said. So it's the ASPCA's position that the only research value of captive populations of Asian elephants is in situations where those captive populations may be re-introduced to the wild?

Answer: Correct.

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. You also are familiar, are you not, with Ringling Brothers'
  Center For Elephant Conservation?
- 15 A. Yes, I am.
- 16 O. You've heard about that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you don't believe that that serves any conservation purpose at all, do you?
  - A. No, I don't.

May I explain why?

THE COURT: Sure, you can.

THE WITNESS: The propagation of those elephants are to produce new babies to be trained for the circus, and that's the reason why. It's not to be re-introduced into the wild.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Is it your understanding that every elephant born at the CEC actually performs in the circus?
- A. Well, certainly that's the intention. Whether or not they are able to perform or not is a different question.
  - Q. Well, you're aware that some of the elephants in the CEC are not performing elephants, correct?
    - A. That may be, but they're certainly not being re-introduced into the wild.
    - Q. So if they're not performing in the circus, they're not going to be re-introduced into the wild, what's supposed to happen to them?
  - A. I don't know. Maybe Ringling Brothers should tell us.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q. Now, when you were deposed in 2005 you were asked a series of questions about the then-current policies of the ASPCA. Do you recall that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And those policies are in written form, isn't that correct?
- 22 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Let me direct your attention, ma'am, to Defendant's Exhibit
- 45. You recognize this document as the policy and position
- 25 statements of ASPCA?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

16

- Q. These are exhibited in your deposition?
- A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And these were then current at the time?
- 5 A. Yes.
  - Q. That was in 2005?
- 7 A. I believe so.
- Q. Now, let me direct your attention, ma'am, to page seven,
  actually page ten of this exhibit, PDF page ten, which is part
  of the zoo policy, and this is item ten. And it says, A zoo's
  mission should be to instill an appreciation and respect for
  animals and their environment. Animals should not be taken from
- 13 the wild except in cases where species preservation is involved.
- 14 Do you see that sentence?
- 15 A. I do, yes.
  - Q. And that use of the word "taken" means what?
- 17 A. Well, I think it means to be retrieved from the wild.
  - Q. It means taking animals out of the wild, doesn't it?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And there's no other reference in this zoo policy, is
- 21 there, to "take" being used as a standard of welfare for captive
- 22 animals, isn't that true?
- 23 A. If you're comparing it to the word "take" in the Endangered
- 24 Species Act, there are two different meanings.
- 25 Q. But this policy doesn't use "take" with respect to zoo

- animals in any sense other than removing animals from the wild, isn't that true?
  - A. Removing animals from the wild where they're endangered or threatened in order to captively breed them to return them to the wild.
  - Q. Well, is there some place else in the zoo policy that refers to "take" as being applicable to captive elephant welfare?
  - A. In the ASPCA's policy?
- 10 Q. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 A. I don't know. I'd have to read all the position statements.
- Q. I'm just talking about the zoo policy. Do you want to look at the whole zoo policy?
- 15 A. Can you repeat your question again?
- Q. There's nothing in this zoo policy in which ASPCA uses the word "take" --
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. -- as a standard for captive Asian elephant welfare, isn't 20 that true?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- Q. Now if I could direct your attention to page eight of this same zoo policy, item three. ASPCA here makes reference to standards sets by the American Zoo and Aquarium Association. So did ASPCA regard the AZA standards as appropriate standards?

A. As the minimum standards.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. As minimum standards. And you're aware, are you not, that AZA standards permit the chaining of elephants for at least twelve hours a day, aren't you?
  - A. Well, yes, I do. However, our policy, when we refer to AZA guidelines here, we're talking generally in nature. In terms of our position on chaining, it should be for very limited periods of time and only for veterinarian purposes.
  - Q. That's not stated in here, is it?
- A. No, it's not, but certainly in other position statements we talk about chaining.
  - Q. Now if I could direct your attention in this same exhibit to the exotic pet policy at page three. And here are sentence appears, No animal taken from the wild should be kept as a pet?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 0. That's a correct statement?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. And here ASPCA uses the verb "take" as removing it from the wild, isn't that true?
  - A. That's true.
  - Q. If I could turn your attention, ma'am, in this same exhibit to page five, the circus policy. And here it is stated that, ASPCA does not believe it is possible to maintain wild and domestic animals on the road for a full circus season without inflicting abuse on the animals. Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, you did.
  - Q. And that's the policy that existed in '05?
  - A. Yes.

2

- 4 Q. That's the policy that exists today?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Is that correct?
- 7 A. Yep.
- Q. And you don't believe that animals can be trained to do tricks unless they're abused, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. But you also say in this policy in the last sentence, While animals continue to be used legally, we will strive to reduce
- 13 stress and cruelty in all cases. Did I read that correctly?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. So ASPCA basically states in this policy that circus animals are in the circus legally, isn't that true?
- 17 A. They may be. However, that doesn't mean that other laws should not be complied with.
- Q. But you don't make any reference in your circus policy to the fact that Asian elephants in a circus are being taken, do you?
- 22 A. In this instance we are.
- Q. In this policy we just read, where does it say that? It doesn't say it, does it?
- 25 A. Taking in the sense that the animals are chained for long

- periods of time and the use of the bullhook. I mean, certainly there is other stresses involved with elephants that are kept in the circus and are traveling on the road, so that's what that refers to, is reducing stress and cruelty.
  - Q. You don't make reference in here to the fact that ASPCA believes that Asian elephants in a circus are being taken illegally under the Endangered Species Act?
- A. Well, we certainly believe it in this case.
- 9 Q. You may believe it in this case, but you didn't put it in your policy, did you?
- 11 A. The policy is very general in nature.
- Q. And this policy was adopted or was in effect at least as of 2005, isn't that true?
- 14 A. That's true.
- Q. And that's what, five years after this lawsuit was filed, isn't that right?
- 17 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. In fact, all of these policies were in effect for five years after this lawsuit was filed, correct?
- A. Well, our policies have been in effect for over 140 years, and they remain constant.
- Q. If I could also refer you to page eighteen of this same exhibit. Here the ASPCA states its position on endangered species and other wildlife. Do you see that?
- 25 A. I do, yes.

- Q. Is that a correct statement of ASPCA's policy in 2005?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

- Q. Is it a correct statement today?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. There's no reference in here made, is there, to circus elephants being taken, is there?
- 7 A. No.
- Q. There's no reference in here that the Endangered Species

  Act applies to captive animals, isn't that true?
- A. That's true. But I'm not sure what the point is. I mean, our policies stand on their own, and certainly they are a framework under which guides our mission and our work.
- 13 Q. ASPCA here supports the bans on traffic, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
  - O. And the provisions to protect habitat, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.

- 17  $\blacksquare$  Q. But no mention is made of taken captive animals, is there?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Ms. Weisberg, ASPCA has the current policy stated on its website, does it not?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And those policies are effective as to today, 2009?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. ASPCA still doesn't say in the circus policy that Asian elephants in the circus are being taken, isn't that true?

- A. Certainly we do in this lawsuit.
- Q. You don't say it in the policy that the ASPCA has put on its website for the entire world to read, though, do you?
- A. Well, not all Asian elephants may be taken under the ESA, but certainly that still remains our position in terms of the Ringling Brothers operation.
  - Q. Let me show you a document, a print out of the website ASPCA, website, ASPCA.org as of February 7th, 2009 and turn you to page two of that document. Do you recognize this as ASPCA's policy on circus and traveling shows?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

- Q. And here the reference is made to using ASPCA's as opposed to using wild exotic or nonnative animals, isn't that correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. No where in here do you say, do you, that these animals, if they're used in a circus and they're endangered, they're going to be taken under the Endangered Species Act, do you?
- A. Well, we say when they're taken from the wild and captive.

  Broad.
  - Q. There's nothing here about handling with the bullhook and chains being a taking, isn't that true?
- A. That's true. However, there are other ways. There are many ways to inflict stress and cruelty and physical abuse on animals. The bullhook is one of them.
  - Q. Well, don't you think it's important for the public to know

what your position in this lawsuit is when they go to your website and look at your circus and traveling shows policy?

- A. Yes. I think it's very clear.
- Q. Do you have any other lawsuit pending on this subject?
- A. No. The ASPCA is not in the business of suing companies.
  - Q. Your policy also indicates that exotic should not be breeding, exotic should not be allowed unless they're returned to the wild, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

2.2

- Q. So isn't it possible that if you oppose breeding and if
  Asian elephants disappeared in the wild because their habitat is
  destroyed, that your policies are going to lead to the
  extinctment of the species?
- A. No. It is possible that the current situation today in some parts of the world, it may not be possible to re-introduce certain animals back into their native habitat, which is why we do support the breeding, captive breeding of animals to be kept in zoos, assuming that they at least comply with minimum standards of AZA and they're provided a humane existence resembling as much of their native habitat as possible.
- Q. Let me go to the next page of this same website. Here is the ASPCA's position on the endangered species, isn't that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. And this is as of today, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

2.2

- Q. And this still makes no reference to the concept that an Asian elephant can be taken under the Endangered Species Act, isn't that true?
- A. Yes.
- Q. ASPCA doesn't own any Asian elephants, correct?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. It's never bred one?
- A. No.
- 10 Q. It's never cared for one, isn't that true?
- 11 A. To my recollection, yes.
- Q. And at the time this lawsuit was filed in July of 2000,

  ASPCA had no knowledge of any of the facts alleged in the

  complaint about elephant abuse other than what your lawyers told

  you and other than what Tom Rider told you, isn't that true?
  - A. That's probably true.
- 17 Q. Well, it is true, isn't it?
- A. Well, certainly we are aware of some of the abuses that

  occur in training exotic animals to perform on command. I can't

  tell you when we became aware of that, but certainly when it

  comes to Ringling Brothers' operations we most definitely had
  - solid evidence of that occurring at Ringling Brothers.
- Q. Let me refer you to your deposition at page 26, line 4.
- The question was: Have you -- how did the ASPCA learn of the
- abuses alleged in the complaint?

1 From Tom Rider primarily, and our attorneys. 2 Question: Did you learn of them from any other 3 groups? 4 Answer. No. Question: Any other witnesses? 5 6 Answer: No. 7 Did I read that correctly? 8 Α. Yes. And when the ASPCA sued Ringling Brothers in July of 2000, 9 Ο. 10 you knew that you were suing an institution and that were you 11 going out on a limb by doing so, isn't that correct? 12 Going out on a limb how so? 13 Ο. Isn't that how you described it at the time this lawsuit 14 was filed? 15 I don't believe I described it as going out on a limb. 16 Certainly we took the prospect of engaging in this lawsuit very 17 seriously. Again, we are not in the business of suing 18 corporations, especially ones as established as Feld 19 Entertainment. 20 Well, let's look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 94A, page 230, 21 which is in evidence. And this is from, if we could go back to 2.2 the page before just to give a context, this is a September 10, 23 2003 article that was attached to an e-mail from Michael Maree 24 and to Tashi Meadows at Fund.org. This is in the Kansas City

25

Star.

Okay. Pull that out, please.

And here the statement is made, quote: We don't go around suing people, quote, Weisberg said. Quote: This was a very serious decision on our part. They, (Ringling), are an institution. We're going out on a limb here, but we're for the prevention of cruelty to all animals. Close quote. Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

21

2.2

- Q. Did you in fact say that at the time?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Now, ASPCA has complained, have they not, to the United

  States Department of Agriculture about Ringling Brothers' use of

  the bullhook?
- 14 A. Yes, we have.
  - Q. And that has not resulted in any enforcement action by USDA against Ringling Brothers, isn't that correct?
    - A. For the most part, yes.
- 18 Q. There has been no such action, isn't that right?
- A. Well, certainly the inspectors have cited Ringling for various violations.
  - Q. You don't know of an enforcement action that was brought by USDA against Ringling as a result of ASPCA's complaints, do you?
  - A. No, I don't.
- Q. And you've also complained in general to the Fish and
  Wildlife Service about how Ringling's elephants are treated, and

that hasn't resulted in enforcement action either, isn't that 1 2 true? 3 Α. Yes. Now, Ms. Weisberg, let me refer you to --4 Q. MR. SIMPSON: By the way, your Honor, before I forget, 5 I want to offer Defendant's 45, which is those policy and 6 7 position statements. 8 THE COURT: Any objection? 9 MR. CRYSTAL: No objection. 10 THE COURT: Admitted. 11 (Defendant Exhibit No. 45 was admitted into evidence 12 at about 12:45 p.m.) 13 BY MR. SIMPSON: 14 Let me refer you, ma'am, to Exhibit 47, which were marked 15 as Exhibit 11 to your deposition. Do you recognize this as the 16 bylaws of the ASPCA? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Let me refer you, if I could, to page seven, paragraph one, 19 Article VIII, which refers to special agents. Do you see that, 20 ma'am? 21 Yes. Α. 2.2 And this is the statutory authority, is it not, for the Ο. 23 ASPCA's humane law enforcement officers?

And this is a law that exists in -- let me back up.

24

25

Α.

Ο.

Yes.

You're a licensed attorney, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

- Q. And you're licensed in New York?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And you're a member in good standing in New York, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you worked for ASPCA, you had occasion to apply
- 8 this law, isn't that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you're familiar with this law, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And this law is basically a law that was passed to enable
- 13 ASPCA to investigate claims of animal cruelty, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And these agents are designated as peace officers under New
- 16 York state law, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that's the same essentially as a New York City police-
- 19 man, correct, same basic stature?
- 20 A. Well, we're restricted to enforcing laws relating to
- 21 animals.
- 22 Q. Right. But in that area of animal cruelty, your humane law
- 23 enforcement officers are basically cops, aren't they?
- 24 A. They're specialized police officers, correct.
- Q. Like a state trooper, same stature, they're peace officers

- 1 under New York law, isn't that correct?
  - A. Correct, yes.
    - Q. And this law was passed in 1866, wasn't it?
- 4 A. Yes.

- Q. And this law set up ASPCA and has been your charter ever since, isn't that right?
- 7 A. Correct, yes.
- Q. Now let me go to paragraph or page eight, paragraph four of
- 9 this same article. This sets out the actions and so forth that
- 10 the special agents can take, isn't that correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And they can may lawful arrests, is that true?
- 13 **|** A. Yes.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. They can seek and obtain warrants, isn't that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. They can execute on the warrants, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And they can refer a criminal complaint, right?
- 21 A. Correct, yes.
- 22 Q. Under all of which they can do under New York state law
- 23 regarding animal cruelty, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Your humane law enforcement officers carry firearms, do

- 1 they not?
- 2 A. They do.
  - Q. And they're provided a training course that ASPCA sponsors,
- 4 correct?

- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. They're also provided with continuing education under New
- 7 York law, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, yes.
- 9 Q. Now, the law that these HLEs, if we can call them that for
- 10 short for --
- 11 A. Sure.
- 12 Q. -- for abbreviation purposes, the law that these HLEs
- enforce, is Article 26 of the New York State Agricultural and
- 14 Markets law, correct?
- 15 A. For the most part. They also enforce other laws, like the
- 16 New York Health Code and the New York City Carriage Horse laws.
- 17 Q. But with respect to animal cruelty, isn't that Article 26
- 18 that I just referenced?
- 19 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And that's law that you're familiar with, are you not?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 \ Q. And you've had occasion to apply it in the course of your
- 23 employment with ASPCA, correct?
- 24 A. Well, certainly to advise the agents of how to apply the
- law, yes.

- Q. But you're familiar with that statute, correct?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

25

- Q. Let me refer you to Section 30 or 35 of that law, which is the definitional section, and I want to refer your attention, ma'am, to Section 2 where it defines torture and cruelty as including every act, omission, or neglect, whereby unjustifiable physical pain, suffering or death is caused or permitted. Do
- 9 A. Yes.

you see that definition?

- Q. Wouldn't it be ASPCA's position that the use of a bullhook on an Asian elephant would satisfy the definition of torture or cruelty?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Wouldn't it be ASPCA's position that the chaining in the Asian elephant in the manner in which you think Ringling Brothers does it would satisfy this definition of torture and cruelty?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me refer you, ma'am, to section 35 of this same law.

  The first sentence states, A person who overdrives, overloads,

  tortures or cruelly beats or unjustifiably injures, maims,

  mutilates, or kills any animal can be subject to various

  sanctions. Correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
  - Q. And isn't it ASPCA's view that the use of the guide

satisfies that prohibition or violates that statute?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

- Q. And isn't it your position that the use of chains violates that statute?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Let me refer you to Section 369 of this same law. It this is entitled Interference With Officers. It's true, is it not, under this section that it's a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment for not more than a year or a fine of not more than a thousand dollars to interfere with an HLE in the execution of his or her duties?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me refer you to Section 371. This states the Powers of Peace Officers, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. And this is the statutory basis for the humane law enforcement officers' powers, isn't that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. And this basically tracks the same functions that are set forth in your bylaws, true?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, this is what your bylaws are drawn from; is that right?
- 24 A. That's right. That's right.
- Q. And let me refer you to Section 372. And this is the

- provision that states what a humane law enforcement officer has to do to get a warrant, correct?
  - A. That's right.

7

8

9

10

12

- Q. He's got to go in and -- he or she's got to go in to a
  magistrate judge and show probable cause to get that warrant,
  correct?
  - A. That's right.
    - Q. Once they have that warrant, then they can descend on someone suspected of animal cruelty and inspect, isn't that correct?
- 11 A. Yes, that is.
  - Q. And seize those animals, correct?
- 13 A. If necessary, yes.
- Q. If necessary, right. They don't need to call in advance and say they're coming, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
  - Q. They just show up and kick the door in, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Now --
- 20 THE COURT: Not always, do they? They show up.
- 21 MR. SIMPSON: They show up.
- 22 THE COURT: And announce their presence.
- Go right ahead. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to
- 24 interrupt.
- 25 BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q. Now, Ms. Weisberg, ASPCA -- you can take that down, Derrick.

ASPCA humane law enforcement officers are authorized by these laws that we just went over and your own bylaws to inspect the Ringling Brothers circus, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And they can do that any time the show comes to New York, isn't that right?
- A. Under the restrictions of the law, correct.
- Q. And they come in both the Red Unit and the Blue Unit, you're aware, come to New York City every year, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

- Q. Or every other year. In other words -- let me say it again. Every year there's going to be a circus, a Ringling Brothers circus in New York at Madison Square Garden, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 17 Q. It's either Blue one year or Red the next, right?
  - A. I believe so. I don't know for sure.
- 20 A. I believe so.
- Q. ASPCA law enforcement officers have jurisdiction over
  Ringling Brothers when it's at Madison Square Garden, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 \ Q. And the Nassau Coliseum, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Now, in order to do an inspection, the HLE would need to do one of two things: get consent from the company to come in and inspect the animals, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Or get a warrant?
- 6 A. Correct.

5

7

- Q. Those are the two ways to do it, right?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And to get a search warrant, they'd have to go through that
  10 same provision, or to get a warrant, they'd have to go through
  11 that same provision that we just covered, correct?
- 12 A. Yes. They would need probable cause.
- Q. All right. Now, it's true, is it not, that the ASPCA's humane law enforcement officers inspected Ringling Brothers circus on more than one occasion?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, they've inspected them on many occasions, isn't that right?
- 19 A. Yes, they have.
- Q. And these inspections have included the Asian elephants, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And they've had the opportunity, they, your HLE, have had the opportunity to observe the Asian elephants on the animal walks, correct?

1 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. They've had the occasion to observe the animals as they're kept in the various venues, such as Madison Square Garden and the Nassau Coliseum, correct?
- 5 A. Yes, but on a prearranged basis.
  - Q. They've had an opportunity to see those elephants on chains, correct?
    - A. Yes, they have.
  - Q. They've had an opportunity to see those elephants handled with a bullhook, correct?
- 11 A. No, not necessarily. They're only allowed in on the
  12 prearranged basis and that is not when the training occurs so
  13 they don't see the actual use of the bullhook.
  - Q. Well, some of your HLEs have been on animal walks, have they not?
    - A. They have observed from a distance and it's dark out when they -- it's nighttime when they go through the tunnel, so it's difficult to see certainly between the darkness and the distance any use of bullhooks.
  - Q. They've actually gone on the walks, have they not, as escorts?
- 22 A. Not as escorts, no.
- 23 Q. You don't know that?
- 24 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 25 Q. All right. And some of these inspections have been

conducted, have they not, while this lawsuit was pending?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And as a result of all of these inspections, your HELs have never found Ringling Brothers in violation of any New York anticruelty law, isn't that correct?
- A. They have not observed the kind of mistreatment that we're talking about in this lawsuit, and again, the reason for that is because they are prearranged inspections that need to be the logistics need to be worked out between our agents and Ringling, and Ringling always has an employee or an official from Ringling to accompany our agents on the inspection. And we are also, the agents are kept at a fair distance from actually inspecting each individual elephant so they're not going to see the actual use of the bullhook, nor are they necessarily going to see any of the wounds or lesions or open sores that are caused by the bullhook.
- Q. All right. But the question was: They have not found any violation of New York law with respect to Ringling Brothers, have they?
- A. No.
  - Q. All right. Now, you say that the only way to do this is to set up an appointment with the company and come in in a leisurely pace and do an inspection from a distance, correct?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. But you could go -- these people could go to a magistrate

- judge and get a warrant and show up and do whatever they want, isn't that right?
  - A. No. But they can't get the warrant because they don't have an opportunity to actually witness the use of the bullhook to establish probable cause.
  - Q. Well, ASPCA has conducted discovery in this case, has it not?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

- Q. And they've gotten how many thousands of records from Ringling Brothers?
- 11 A. Several thousand pages of records. I believe that's true, that's correct.
  - Q. And you've read the depositions in this case, have you not?
    - A. Yes, but that's not the basis for -- for establishing probable cause to get a search warrant to enter in, you know. It's a different kind of standard, that the district attorneys
- 17 need the evidence at hand.
- Q. So the evidence that you've amassed in this case doesn't constitute probable cause to get a warrant under the New York anti cruelty law?
- 21 A. Well, I don't know. Maybe that's something that we should, 22 you know, we should pursue.
  - Q. You've never tried, though, have you?
- A. No, we haven't.
- 25 Q. So the statement that you can't do these inspections isn't

correct, is it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

- A. We inspect the animals to at least make sure that they're provided with food and water, sanitary conditions, and proper shelter.
- Q. But you also have access to people like Tom Rider who can tell you where to look, right? Isn't he one of your coplaintiffs in this case?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you have access to Carol Buckley, correct, she's one of the experts in this case?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Both of these people could tell your people where to go look, correct?
- A. That is true. We still never get close enough to actually observe and witness the injuries inflicted on them.
  - Q. Well, is it your testimony that no animal control officer under state law has ever been allowed to go up and touch these elephants and look behind their ears and see if they've got wounds and abrasions before?
  - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. That's your testimony, it's never happened?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Were you here when Annette Williams testified?
- 24 A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. Now, if I could refer you to Defendant's Exhibit 7, which

is already in evidence from yesterday, which is a cover memorandum to you dated May 20, 2004, attaching certain inspection reports. Do you see that, ma'am?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. So these humane law enforcement officers would conduct inspections of the circus and then write reports; is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And when discovery in this case took place, the defendant asked for those reports, isn't that right?
- 11 A. Yes, and we turned over all the reports that we had.
- Q. All right. And when this response was done in May of 2004, the reports for 2000 -- or excuse me -- for 1997 had been
- 14 destroyed, isn't that correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And there were no such records for 1998, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, the reason they were destroyed is because ASPCA has a six-year document retention policy, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that policy was not suspended until March of 2004, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And that's contrary to what you testified to in your -- in the evidentiary hearing that was held in this case, isn't that

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

- A. I don't know. You'd have to jog my memory on that.
- Q. Don't you remember testifying in that hearing that ASPCA started saving all documents relevant to this case in 2000?

MR. CRYSTAL: Your Honor, I'm going to object. He should show the testimony.

THE COURT: She'd like an opportunity to see it. If you have it, counsel, you can show it.

You don't recall?

THE WITNESS: No.

## BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q. Let me refer you to the evidentiary hearing transcript of February 2008, page 54. And just so that we're clear on the predicate here, we had a hearing in this case in February of 2008, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. You testified in that hearing as a witness for ASPCA, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. And I asked you the questions at the time, right?
- 21 A. Yes, you did.
- 22 Q. And were you under oath, correct?
- A. Yes, yes.
- 24 Q. It was presided over by Judge Facciola, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. All right. And the question at line 20 was: The complaint, the record in case, will show that the original complaint in 001641 was filed in July of 2000. The answer: Correct.

Question: When in relation to that date did ASPCA start saving documents relevant to this --

That would have been in our D.C. office.

Question: Let me finish the question. When in relation to the date that I just stated did ASPCA start saving documents relevant to this case?

Answer: It would have been when we were first notified about the prospective filing of the case and whether or not we wanted to join as a co-plaintiff.

Question: Sometime prior to that date?

Answer: Absolutely.

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Except for the humane law enforcement reports, right?
- A. For those two years, correct.
- 20 Q. You didn't start saving those until March of '04, correct?
  - A. I believe so.
- 22 Q. Because they weren't relevant to this case?
- 23 A. Not necessarily.
- Q. Your own people's inspection of the Asian elephants in this
- 25 lawsuit are not relevant to this case?

- 1 A. Oh, they are, yes.
- Q. But you didn't save that, did you? You didn't start saving that in 2000, did you?
- 4 A. I guess not.
- Q. And had you saved them in 2000, then under your document destruction policy we would have HLE reports going back to 1994,
- 7 correct?

- 8 A. Correct.
  - Q. And they're gone, they've been destroyed, isn't that right?
- 10 A. They've been discarded, yes.
- 11 Q. Well, they're not available anymore?
- 12 A. They're not available. Correct.
- Q. Now, if I could refer you to page two of this same exhibit,
- 15 | that?
- 16 | A. I do.
- Q. And this was a routine inspection, right, routine circus
- 18 inspection?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And it was being conducted with respect to the Nassau
- 21 Coliseum, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. It says Barnum & Bailey help the stead turnpike?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. That's in Nassau Coliseum, isn't it?

- A. Yes, I believe it is.
- Q. "Routine circus inspection" means that the agent would check to see if the animals were properly fed and watered,
- 4 correct?

2

3

- 5 A. Yes.
  - Q. Were generally in good health, right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Were not visibly ill?
- 9 A. That's true.
- 10 Q. Were not visibly injured?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And were kept in sanitary conditions?
- 13 A. That's true.
- 14 Q. All right. And if I could turn your attention to page
- 15 four. This officer signs this record NVO, which means no
- 16 violations observed. Correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 0. If we could turn to the next one.
- Oh, by the way, this one that we just went over was
- 20 the Ringling Brothers' Blue Unit, isn't that right?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- Q. Let's go to the next one, which is at Defendant's Exhibit 7
- at page five. This was an inspection also at the Nassau
- 24 Coliseum March 23, 1999; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 0. And if we could go to page seven, this agent states:
- 2 Participated in the escort of the aforementioned animal parade.
- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that that humane officer
- 6 did not participate in the aforementioned animal parade?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And the animal parade would have been walking the animals
- 9 into Madison Square Garden, isn't that correct?
- 10 A. I'm not sure how far they accompanied them.
- 11 \ Q. Well, it says in the preceding sentence: They were en
- 12 route to Long Island City where the animals were to be paraded
- on foot to Madison Square Garden, right?
- 14 A. Yes, yes.
  - Q. They do that every year, correct?
- 16 A. I don't know if they do that every year.
- 17 Q. Well, they walk these animals on the streets of New York
- 18 every year?

- 19 A. Oh, Ringling, yes.
- 20 Q. And they're in full public view?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And this HLE was actually on this animal walk, wasn't he?
- 23 A. It appears that way.
- 24 Q. So if they were using the bullhook to guide these
- 25 elephants, he would have seen it, correct?

- A. Not necessarily.
- Q. Well, if he was paying attention he would have, correct?

THE COURT: That's probably argumentative.

MR. SIMPSON: All right.

## BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q. He did observe on this same report that the elephants, once they got to Madison Square Garden, were put on padded chains.
- Do you see that down at the bottom?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. So he had an opportunity to observe how they were tethered,
- 11 isn't that true?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And this humane law enforcement officer closed this case
- 14 NVO at this time, did he not?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. Which means no violations observed, correct?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. If you look at the next one, page eight, this is an
- inspection at Madison Square Garden, isn't that correct, 34 9th
- 20 Street?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. This was a situation, if we can go to the next page,
- actually page ten, where again, no violations were observed,
- 24 isn't that right?
- 25 A. I believe so.

- Q. And this individual actually observed the elephants, correct?
- A. Well, certainly the food was observed. There was food observed there. He observed the elephants, but again, it's not clear if he actually inspected each individual elephant.
- Q. He also observed them as secure, correct?
- A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. So it's important, is it not, under New York law that when these elephants are in Madison Square Garden downtown in a metropolitan urban area that they be secured, correct?
- A. Well, certainly there should be some security, but whether or not they have to be chained all the time is a different question.
- Q. So do you know whether your humane law enforcement officers are looking to see if the animals are secured in terms of compliance with the law?
- A. They're looking to see if the animals are properly treated, but whether or not there's any determination of, you know, safety for the public is not really their responsibility. The responsibility goes towards the animals only.
- Q. Well, if the HLE walked into Madison Square Garden and the elephants were loose, would Ringling Brothers get a ticket for that?
- A. I don't know. Maybe not.
  - Q. Let's go to the one at page eleven. This was another

- 1 routine inspection, correct, at Madison Square Garden?
  - A. I believe so.
- 3 Q. And this occurred six days after the one we just saw, isn't
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. Yes.

- Q. If we go to page thirteen, this agent also observed all of
- 7 the animals, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So he saw fourteen elephants there, right?
- 10 A. I'm not sure -- yes, correct.
- 11 Q. He wrote down fourteen elephants?
- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- 13 Q. And, The animals were very secure, bright and alert,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Again, he closed this out with no violation reported, isn't
- 17 that right?
- 18 A. No violations observed, correct.
- 19 Q. No violations observed, excuse me.
- Now, this document, if we go to the next page, has
- 21 something called -- go to the top, please -- a circus inspection
- 22 report, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And this wasn't in previous documents, correct?
- 25 A. I believe not.

- Q. So this is a document actually formulated for purposes of a circus inspection, correct?
  - A. I don't know that. Yes. It may be. It says Circus

    Inspection Report, so that might be the case.
  - Q. All right. This is a document that your humane law enforcement division developed, correct?
    - A. I believe so.
      - Q. It's not a Ringling Brothers' document, right?
- 9 | A. No.

4

5

6

7

- Q. And here we had specific items that the person is supposed to check, isn't that true?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. He was actually asked to find out and say yes or no, Any mistreatment or cruelty to animals? and he answered "no,"
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- Q. He was also asked the question, Any animals injured? And he said "no," correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Also item nine asked, though, look at the condition and health of the animals, and he rated that as good, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And that's the highest rating on this form, isn't it?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And item ten, sick or injured animals, there weren't any,

1 were there?

2

3

4

- A. None that were observed, no.
- Q. And item twelve, Are the animals securely confined? and he checked that "yes," correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And that means they have to be securely confined because it's a safety issue in downtown New York to have Asian elephants wandering loose, isn't that true?
- 9 A. That's not necessarily true, no.
- Q. But whatever it was, he checked that they were securely confined, correct?
- 12 A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Isn't it most likely given the time period here that the securely confined was that the Asian elephants were on chains?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. If we go to page 21. And this is a Circus Inspection
  Report of April 2nd, 2001. Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. This is Madison Square Garden again?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And this one, if we go down, has the same sort of information as the one we just saw, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. This one, however, he finds at the bottom with respect to are there any sick or injured animals, he checks off "yes"?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

- Q. Right. But this person, if we could go back to page seventeen of this same exhibit, found no violations observed, correct?
  - A. That's correct. However, it's not clear the nature of the sick animals and the cause of that and whether or not that was due to neglect or was an intentional act.
  - Q. Well, according to this report on this same page, this agent was escorted throughout the entire area and found everything to be in order, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. He also observed that there was a veterinarian on staff, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. So is it possible that he observed sick and injured animals but concluded they were being taken care of by a veterinarian?
- 17 | A. Yes.
  - Q. Isn't that likely what he did?
- 19 A. That's probably likely what he did.
- Q. If we could go to the last report in this series, page 22.
- 21 This is a March 21st, '02 inspection report at Madison Square
- Garden, and it states it's of the Blue edition. Do you see
- 23 that?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. And that's the Blue Unit of Ringling Brothers circus, isn't

- 1 that true?
  - A. I believe so.
- 3 Q. And if we look at page 23 under Additional Information For
- 4 Agent, this one actually says "check elephants." Do you see
- 5 that?

- 6 A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you know how that got there?
- 8 A. How what got there?
- 9 **□** Q. That notation.
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- 11 Q. Is it fair to say that someone asked this agent
- 12 specifically to check the elephants?
- 13 **|** A. Yes.
- 14 0. Am I correct?
- MR. CRYSTAL: Your Honor, she already said she doesn't
- 16 know. I'll object.
- 17 THE COURT: I'll let her answer stand. It's there.
- 18 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 19 Q. Did you make that communication?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 Q. If we look at page 26, and at the time at the top, What
- 22 time did you arrive? He was there about an hour, isn't that
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. 10:40 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.?

A. That's correct.

1

2

- Q. And if we look at -- he filled out this form. Again, I don't want to go over it, it's the same type of information on
- 4 the other form, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. And there was no mistreatment or cruelty, and all the other items were checked with the highest marks, isn't that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And in specifically, if we go to page 24 of this document,
- 10 he looked at the elephants and found nothing wrong with them,
- 11 isn't that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct. From his observation.
- Q. Circus area, all animals are secure and all bright and clear. No injuries found on any elephants. Right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. He also noted, did he not, that a Dr. Lindsay, a veterinarian, was present with the show and available, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 20 A. That is true.
- 21 Q. Now, this one, this report is dated March 21st, 2002.
- There is no HLE inspection report on Ringling Brothers that
- comes after that, isn't that right?
- 24 A. I believe so.
- 25 Q. This is the last one, correct?

- A. I believe so.
  - Q. But the inspections continued, did they not?
    - A. After 2002?
- 4 Q. Yes.

2

3

5

8

- A. They did, but I'm not sure from which years.
- Q. Well, they continued, did they not, all the way up to 2006,
- 7 isn't that right?
  - A. Yes, that's my understanding.
- 9 Q. And at no point during that four-year period of time, from
  10 2002 to 2006, did the HLEs find a violation of New York law with
  11 respect to Ringling Brothers Circus, correct?
  - A. Not from their observation, correct.
- 13 Q. And they didn't write any such reports either?
- A. I can't speak to that. I was not a supervisor of that
  department ever, but that seems to be the case since we did a
  diligent search but we did not come up with any reports.
- Q. All right. Well, you were required, were you not, to produce all of these documents by Judge Sullivan's order?
- 19 A. Absolutely.
- 20 Q. August 23rd, 2007?
- 21 A. Absolutely.
- 22 Q. And so you went and looked?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- O. The last one you had was March 21, 2002, correct?
- A. Yes.

right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. All right. Now, Ms. Weisberg, there have been times, have there not, when you yourself, when you were employed by ASPCA, actually requested that an HLE go do an inspection, isn't that
  - A. I don't know if I ever requested that they do an inspection, but certainly we get calls from the public with either complaints or concerns, and often times the department will authorize and send the agents to check the situation out.
  - Q. Let me refer you to page 112 of your deposition, ma'am, at line 15. The question was: Do you know of any examples when other individuals at ASPCA have asked that there be an inspection?

Answer: I have.

Question: In what cases?

Answer: Pet shops, hoarders, individuals, problems with carriage horses in the city.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. It's true, is it not, that you personally observed in 2005 a Ringling Brothers' elephant handler hook an elephant on the streets of New York?
- A. I did.
  - Q. And you did not make any issue about that, did you?

- A. An issue with the HLE about that?
- Q. Yes. You didn't go to the HLE and say do something about this hooking incident, did you?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. You didn't request an inspection, did you?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Let me refer you, ma'am, to Defendant's Exhibit 31, which is in evidence as of yesterday, which is a letter dated May 5, 1996, to Julie Strauss from Kathi Travers. Have you seen this document before?
- 11 A. I have.
  - O. Do you know who Kathi Travers is?
- 13 **|** A. Yes.
- 14 ○. Who was she at the time this letter was written?
  - A. I'm not sure what her exact title was. Originally she was the director of the animal part at JFK airport which we operated for the airport for a number of years. Subsequently we did not renew our contract and so Ms. Travers, she acted in the role of animal transport and exotic animal, our resource person for those issues.
  - Q. If we can go to, just zoom that letter in.

This is sent on American Society For the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals letterhead, which appears to be the western regional office. Do you understand that to be the case?

A. Yes, that's true.

- Q. And did she work in that office?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that she didn't work in that office?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

21

2.2

Q. She states in the first paragraph: I must applaud Ringling for the magnificent job you were doing at the new elephant breeding facility. I'm very impressed by the professional and extremely humane conditions I found in my recent visit. I was also very much impressed by your dedicated staff who obviously eat, drink and sleep elephants.

Did I read that correctly?

- 13 A. You did.
- 14 □ O. Were you aware of this visit that she made at the CEC?
- 15 A. No, I wasn't, nor were a lot of the senior staff members at the ASPCA. She was not authorized.
  - Q. She was off the reservation here?
- 18 A. I'm sorry?
- Q. She was off the reservation here when she made this trip to the CEC?
  - A. She was not authorized to make it, and certainly was not authorized to issue that letter.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe she -- do you have any reason to doubt that she's accurately reflected what she in fact observed?

- A. You know, I don't even know if she wrote that letter.
- Q. Well, assuming she did, do you have any reason to doubt that this is an accurate reflection of what she observed?
  - A. It's hard to say. I mean, she's not -- she has no training in -- no formal training in exotic animals or veterinarian care or behavior, so whatever her observation was I think needs to be taken into account given her lack of expertise.
  - Q. Well, there was also, wasn't there, an inspection of the CEC by the ASPCA in or about this same time, 1996?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Wasn't this inspection referred to in a television program called 20/20 on May 10th, 1996?
- 13 A. I don't know.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Would it refresh your recollection to see part of that video?
- 16 A. It may or may not.
  - Q. Let me --
- THE COURT: I'm sorry. Who signed that letter?

  THE WITNESS: Well, it appears that Kathi Travers

  signed the letter. I've never seen her signature, though, so I

  can't authenticate that.
- 22 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- Q. You don't have any reason to --
- 24 A. I'm sorry?
- 25 Q. You don't have any reason to doubt that, do you?

I mean, you're asking me to verify a 1 I don't know. 2 document that I did not see issued at the time and knew nothing 3 about at the time. THE COURT: Was this produced by your organization 4 5 during discovery, though? THE WITNESS: I don't remember how it was produced. 6 7 MR. CRYSTAL: Your Honor, for the record --8 MR. SIMPSON: It's got a Feld Bates number. It's produced by the defendant. 9 10 THE COURT: All right. MR. SIMPSON: But it's in evidence. 11 12 BY MR. SIMPSON: 13 Let me show you parts of the clip. 14 MR. CRYSTAL: Before you do --THE COURT: I haven't seen it before. I don't know 15 16 that's in evidence. I haven't seen it before. 17 MR. CRYSTAL: With regard to the clip, I'd just like to object. The clip isn't on their 72-hour --18 19 MR. SIMPSON: It's to refresh her recollection. 20 THE COURT: Anything can be used to refresh her 21 recollection. I'll allow it. 2.2 That last exhibit, I'm sorry, I don't recall seeing 23 that. Again, was that introduced? 24 MR. SIMPSON: It was introduced yesterday. 25 THE COURT: Oh, it was one of the exhibits you read

1 off?

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

17

20

MR. SIMPSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Oh, that's why I had not seen it.

(Video played.)

## BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q. Does that refresh your recollection about an inspection of the CEC by the ASPCA?
- A. It doesn't. I don't know how that could have been done since our peace officers' powers are limited to New York state.
  - Q. Well, maybe they were invited in. Is that possible?
- 11 A. It's possible.
- Q. And would that be something that would normally be coordinated through your office?
- 14 | A. No.
- Q. Ms. Weisberg, it's true, is it not, that in the period from 2001 to 2003 the ASPCA provided \$25,544.50 to Tom Rider?
  - A. I believe that's the correct amount.
- Q. That's the number you gave in an answer to Interrogatories in 2007, isn't that true?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. And these payments to Tom Rider, to or for Tom Rider, began in May 2001, isn't that right?
- 23 A. I believe so.
- Q. And isn't it a fact that on May 7th, 2001 was the date that
  Tom Rider left his position or whatever you want to call it with

the entity calls PAWS?

1

2

3

4

5

6

16

17

18

21

2.2

23

24

- A. I don't know the exact date, but if that's the date that he left, then that's the date that he left.
- Q. And PAWS, the Performing Animal Welfare Society at the time, shortly before that date, was a plaintiff in this case, isn't that correct?
- 7 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And at the time Mr. Rider left PAWS he didn't have a job, isn't that right?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- Q. And PAWS was one of the co-plaintiffs in this case who up until that point in time was providing Mr. Rider with financial support, isn't that right?
- 14 A. I don't know that for a fact, but I assume that was the case.
  - Q. And at the time he left PAWS there needed to be a source of financial support for Mr. Rider, correct?
    - A. I don't know if he needed financial support or not.
- Q. Well, you're not aware of any job that he went and got, correct?
  - A. No. I was not aware.
  - Q. And he didn't have any way of supporting himself other than the money that he was going to receive from the other plaintiffs in this case, isn't that correct?
    - A. I don't know that for a fact.

- Q. Well, in fact, isn't it true that the ASPCA stepped in at that point, in May 2001, and started to pay Tom Rider?
  - A. We did not pay him. We reimbursed him for his expenses.
  - Q. You started to make money available for him, correct?
  - A. For his expenses.
- Q. And in order to do that you, Lisa Weisberg, had to get approval from the head of ASPCA, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.

4

5

9

10

12

- Q. You did it by writing an e-mail to a fellow named Larry

  Hauk, isn't that right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
  - O. Mr. Hauk at the time was the president of ASPCA, right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Let me show you Defendant's Exhibit 46, which is in evidence and was offered yesterday.
- 16 THE COURT: All right.
- 17 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- Q. Do you recognize this, ma'am, as the e-mail exchange you had with Larry Hauk about the subject of Tom Rider?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. You make reference in here to Mr. Rider being an elephant trainer. That's not accurate, is it?
- 23 A. That is correct. That was my mistake.
- 24 \ Q. He was just a barn man, correct?
- 25 A. Correct, yes.

- Q. And it states in here that, among other things, Mr. Rider was leaving PAWS so he wouldn't be taken off the suit, correct?
- A. That's what I said. I can certainly clarify what was meant by that. That e-mail was sent shortly after we learned that PAWS had entered into a settlement agreement with Ringling Brothers, and part of the settlement agreement was that Tom could no longer be a co-plaintiff in the lawsuit and he could
- Q. Okay. But at the time the settlement agreement happened, he was getting financial support from PAWS, correct?

not speak out against the circus.

- A. I don't know whether he was getting financial support or if he was just working there to care for the elephants that they had.
- Q. And then he had to leave PAWS, correct, in light of their settlement?
  - A. He had to leave PAWS because of the settlement agreement.
  - Q. And therefore, there needed to be a way to support him after he left PAWS, correct?
  - A. To go around the country and do his media touring, correct.
- Q. And when you say "taken off the suit," that's this case that we're here in trial today, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 23 \ Q. And those are your words in this e-mail, isn't that right?
- 24 A. Correct.
  - Q. You state in here that he can't be employed because he's

got to follow the circus, correct?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

17

18

- Q. You were seeking approval from Mr. Hauk to provide money for Mr. Rider in lieu of that employment, correct?
- A. To provide money to enable him to go around the country, correct.
  - Q. He wasn't going to have a job, right?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. So you were providing money in lieu of a job, correct?
- 10 A. Well, we were providing money so he could go meet with the media to do interviews.
- 12 Q. Well, you expected him to do something for the money?
- 13 A. Oh, yes.
- 14 Q. It wasn't a gift?
  - A. It was a grant.
- 16 Q. A grant. Where does it say in here about it being a grant?
  - MR. CRYSTAL: Objection, your Honor. This is argumentative.
- 20 THE COURT: Just a minute.
- 21 It's overruled.
- THE WITNESS: That's the way that we perceived it. He wasn't a paid employee at the ASPCA.
- 24 BY MR. SIMPSON:
  - Q. And the plan here was for ASPCA and the other two

- plaintiffs, which at the time were the Animal Welfare Institute and the Fund For Animals, each at this point May of 2001 will chip in a thousand dollars, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. So he was going to get \$3,000 to start with?
- 6 A. That's correct.
  - Q. For the first two months, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.

5

7

12

2.2

- 9 Q. And almost nine years later that number has grown to nearly \$200,000, isn't that right?
- 11 A. I don't know that.
  - Q. You haven't heard that number?
- 13 **I** A. No.
- Q. It's true, though, that although the theory here was he was supposed to follow the circus, that he really didn't do that, isn't that right?
- 17 A. To my knowledge that's what he did.
- Q. Well, isn't it true that Mr. Rider does his media work from one location for the most part in Florida by talking to people

media efforts between 2002 till May of 2003. Certainly our

- 20 on a cell phone?
- 21 A. I do not know that. I mean, we coordinated Mr. Rider's
- media department was working hand-in-hand with him and he was
- following the circus.
- 25 Q. So you may not know what exactly he did after 2003?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

9

10

13

16

18

19

20

- Q. Now, when money was provided to or for Mr. Rider by ASPCA, initially it was through the law firm of Meyer, Glitzenstein &
- 4 Crystal, isn't that correct?
- A. Yes. Initially we gave I believe a thousand dollars to the law firm.
- Q. And the way this worked is the law firm would provide the money to Mr. Rider; is that right?
  - A. They would send it vis-a-vis Western Union because he was on the road all the time.
- Q. And then that money would be put on your legal bill as an expense, correct?
  - A. I don't believe -- yes. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Well, let me show you Defendant's Exhibit 61, which was offered yesterday, your Honor, and is in evidence.
  - THE COURT: All right.
- 17 BY MR. SIMPSON:
  - Q. And refer you to page one, which has got heavy redactions in it, which is how it was produced, but isn't it in fact that this is an invoice from Meyer & Glitzenstein to ASPCA for this case dated June 14th, 2001?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And if we go to page two of this document, there's a reference -- well, I guess let's go to the bottom page. There's a reference to additional charges, shared expense. Do you see

that?

1

2

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Yes.
- Q. And "shared expense" means these are expenses shared by the three organizational plaintiffs, correct?
- 5 A. I believe so.
  - Q. And if you go to page two. One of those shared expenses was cash via Western Union plus finance charge and fees from Western Union, \$684.82, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And that was ASPCA's share of money that was provided by
  Western Union to Tom Rider, isn't that true?
- 12 A. I don't recall. It's hard to tell just from what's shown in this document.
  - Q. All right. Well, let's look at page four. This is another invoice, dated July 16th, 2001, from the same law firm. This one makes reference to finance charge and bank fees from \$500 Western Union wire transfer to Tom Rider on 5/3/01. Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. So this is another shared expense, correct?
- 21 A. It appears so.
- 22 Q. And this one actually is for Tom Rider, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And your share, ASPCA's share of this was \$184.82, correct?
- 25 A. It appears that way.

- Q. And if we look at page eight, this is an invoice dated September 27th, 2001, entitled Special Expense, and these special expenses were expenses that were not being shared, correct?
  - A. Well, certainly those were the charges to the ASPCA. I don't know if they were shared or not by the other groups in terms of also being charged the same amounts.
- Q. Well, let's look at -- produce that back. Look at the top of this document. We've got reference to "shared expense"?
- A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. There's a wire transfer fee to Tom Rider. Those were shared with the other plaintiffs, correct?
- 13 A. It appears that way.
- Q. And then the special expense was only paid by ASPCA, isn't that right?
- 16 A. It appears that way.
- Q. Well, isn't that how you described it in your Answers to Interrogatories?
- 19 A. I may have. I don't recall.
- Q. Let's look at Defendant's Exhibit 18, page 68. All right.

  Let's move on. I thought I had it. I don't.
- The other way that the money was provided to Mr. Rider
  was money was paid from ASPCA to an entity called Wildlife
  Advocacy Project, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. The Wildlife Advocacy Project is a corporate entity established by Kathy Meyer and Eric Glitzenstein, right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. They in turn paid the money to Tom Rider, correct?
- A. I don't know how the disbursement was made.
- Q. Well, in December of 2001 ASPCA made a \$6,000 grant to the WAP, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. Also known as Wildlife Advocacy Project, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And ASPCA made that payment knowing that the money was going to be paid to Tom Rider, correct?
  - A. We made the grant to the WAP for use for educational purposes. At the time there was an employee by the name of Darcy Kent who was working very closely with Tom in also scheduling his media tours, so I don't know if a percentage of that grant was used to pay her in that capacity or how much of it was used towards Tom's expenses.
  - Q. Well, let's go back to that same interrogatories, defendant's 18/68. Now, you answered Interrogatories in response to Judge Sullivan's August 23rd, 2007 order, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And in this answer when -- you can look at the end if you want, but isn't this one of the answers that was provided at that time?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And this answer was written and verified by you, isn't that true?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. It states in here in December 2001 the ASPCA provided a \$6,000 grant to the Wildlife Advocacy Project for that organization's advocacy work on behalf of elephants in captivity, and understood that these funds would be used for Mr. Rider's media and public education advocacy. Have I read that correctly?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. There wasn't anybody else out there on the road talking about elephants other than Tom Rider, isn't that right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, in addition to the payments to the law firm and the payments to WAP, the ASPCA also made payments directly to Tom Rider, isn't that true?
  - A. After the payment to the Wildlife Advocacy Project we then made payments to Meyer Glitzenstein to reimburse Tom before we then took that in-house.
  - Q. Right. Well, you made, for example, you gave him certain things that cost money, correct? You gave him a laptop computer, right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. You gave him a cell phone?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. You paid the cell phone bills, correct?
- A. Yes, we did.

2

3

- 4 Q. And you paid for his Internet access, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And you gave him \$5,000 in traveler's checks?
- 7 A. Yes, one time we did, yes.
  - Q. And later you took back \$1,200 of it?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. So he ended up with \$3,800 in travelers checks, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And these phone bills were paid by ASPCA on Tom Rider's
- behalf and logged into your general ledger, isn't that true?
- 14 A. That is true.
- Q. And they were logged into your general ledger without any
- 16 attribution to Tom Rider, isn't that right?
- 17 A. What do you mean by that?
- 18 Q. It doesn't say whose phone it is?
- 19 A. Well, we had given him the phone.
- 20 Q. You gave him the phone, but when you paid the bill and
- 21 reflected the payment on the books of your organization, there's
- 22 no reference made to Tom Rider, isn't that true?
- 23 A. Well, certainly on the books, but in terms of the check
- 24 requests or any approval, I would always have to earmark the
- 25 designation of what it was being use for.

- Q. Well, is the answer to the question they were not reflected on the books in a way that made reference to Tom Rider?
  - A. That may be true.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me show you Defendant's Exhibit 209, which
- is in evidence, page one through eighteen. Do you recognize
- 6 these as redacted copies of ASPCA's general ledger detail
- 7 report?

- 8 A. Yes.
  - Q. And if you'll go to the next page.
- 10 MR. CRYSTAL: Your Honor, I'd again like to object
- 11 that this is not --
- 12 THE COURT: Impeachment purposes, counsel. I'll allow
- 13 it.
- 14 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 15 ○. You see reference was made here to at the bottom AT&T
- 16 Wireless?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that's Tom Rider's phone bill, isn't it?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. That's why it was produced to us, right?
- 21 A. Yes, of course.
- 22 Q. There's no reference in there being made to this being Tom
- 23 Rider's phone, isn't that correct?
- 24 A. No, not specifically there.
- 25 Q. Now, some of these charges that were paid on Mr. Rider's

- behalf were actually put on your own credit card, isn't that right?
  - A. The corporate credit card, yes.
  - Q. The corporate credit card issued to Lisa Weisberg?
  - A. Correct.

4

5

- Q. Again, those corporate credit card charges don't make any reference to Tom Rider, isn't that true?
- A. Maybe not specifically, but certainly we could -- we could track them to Tom, wherever Tom was at the time to his following the circus and doing his media tour.
- Q. Well, let me go to page nineteen of that same exhibit, 209.

  Do you recognize this as a copy of your redacted version of your

  American Express account bill?
- 14 | A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. This is that ASPCA credit card you made reference to?
- 16 A. Yes.

- 18 A. Yes.
- 20 A. No, there is not.
- Q. Now, the payments that were made by ASPCA through to the
- 22 | law firm in 2001, they were reflected on a 1099 that Meyer,
- 23 Glitzenstein & Crystal gave to Tom Rider; is that correct?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. Have you ever seen that document?

A. I don't believe so.

1

2

3

6

7

- Q. Were you here when Mr. Rider testified about it?
- A. I may or may not have been.
- 4 Q. Now, the money that ASPCA gave to the WAP in December of
- 5 2001, was paid to Mr. Rider in 2002, isn't that correct?
  - A. That's my understanding.
  - Q. Now, do you know whether the WAP sent Mr. Rider a 1099 for that year that included that money?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. As far as you know, there were payments also made, were
- 11 there not, by ASPCA through the law firm and directly to Mr.
- 12 Rider that occurred in 2002 and 2003, correct?
- 13 A. Well, certainly we made payments either directly or on the
- 15 ○ O. So both of those years, 2002 and 2003, correct?
- 16 A. Up until May of 2003.
- Q. And the ASPCA did not send Mr. Rider a 1099 for 2002, isn't
- 18 that true?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. And you don't know whether or not they sent him one for
- 21 2003, correct?
- 22 A. I know that would have been from our finance department.
- Q. But you looked for those kinds of documents in response to
- 24 the Court's orders?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

- Q. And nothing like that turned up, isn't that true?
- A. No. That's correct.
- Q. Had something like that existed, you would have produced
- 4 it, correct?

2

3

- 5 A. Absolutely.
- Q. You don't know whether Meyer, Glitzenstein & Crystal sent
- 7 him a 1099 for 2002 or 2003, correct?
- 8 A. No. I don't know.
- 9 Q. So are you aware of any way in which ASPCA for 2002 and
- 10 2003 reported the money that was provided to Tom Rider to the
- 11 federal government?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. And you're aware, are you not, that Tom Rider never filed
- 15 A. I don't know the dates in particular.
- 16 0. You didn't hear that?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Now, when ASPCA provided this money to Mr. Rider, he wasn't
- 19 required to account for it, was he?
  - A. Yes, he was.
- 21 Q. He sent receipts back accounting for what he had spent it
- 22 on?

- 23 A. Yes. Many times he did.
- 24 Q. You produced all those in discovery?
- 25 A. We produced whatever we had to produce.

Q. But you didn't produce those receipts in discovery, did you?

- A. I believe that we produced whatever was required.
- Q. All right. Well, you testified in February of 2008 that you had produced to the defendant any document that concerned payments to Tom Rider, isn't that right?
- A. Payments, yes, and that was documented.

2.2

- Q. Regardless of whether, you know, your lawyers view the order was different or not, you, ASPCA, every document that concerned payments to Tom Rider, isn't that right?
- A. We produced whatever was responsive and we turned those over to Meyer, Glitzenstein, and whether they produced all those documents, it was, you know, up to their discretion in terms of whether it was responsive or not.
- Q. All right. Well, you also in that same hearing put an exhibit in, did you not, after all the documents that were produced in this case to the defendant?

MR. CRYSTAL: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this questioning. We had an evidentiary hearing. Judge Facciola issued a ruling that plaintiffs provided all the responsive documents, so I'm not sure what the relevance of this is, of discussing the relevance at this point. It might have been there.

MR. SIMPSON: Well, Ms. Weisberg, I think, Judge, it's relevant because I don't think there are any such receipts.

Official Court Reporter

That's our view. They never were produced in this case.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q. Let me show you what was Tab B at the evidentiary hearing in February of 2008. We can just scroll through this briefly.

MR. CRYSTAL: Again, your Honor, for the record, we would object that this was not on their 72-hour list.

THE COURT: All right. Overruled.

MR. SIMPSON: Keep going. Keep going.

#### BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q. Did you generally recognize this, ma'am, as the documents that were produced at that hearing as evidence of your compliance with the Court's order?
- **|** A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And would you be surprised to know that there are no receipts in here from Tom Rider? You can look through the whole thing if you'd like.
- A. No. If that's the case, then that's true.
- Q. And are you aware of any report that Tom Rider ever wrote accounting for how he had spent the money and sent that report to ASPCA?
- A. No. We didn't require him to do that kind of report.
- Q. Now, when the defendant took discovery in this case about payments to Tom Rider, it asked you, ASPCA, a question to identify each resource that you had expended in advocating better treatment for animals, isn't that right?

1 A. Yes.

2

- Q. That was our Interrogatory No. 21. Do you recall that?
- A. I recall doing the breakdown, yes.
- 4 Q. And later, in '07, after the Court's order, that's where
- you put all the information about Tom Rider's payments, isn't
- 6 that right?
- 7 A. I don't recall.
- 8 Q. Well, let's look at the 2007, answer to Interrogatory No.
- 9 21. It's DX 18, page 68. If we can just go back to the top
- 10 where the question is, the preceding page, this was your
- 11 supplemental answer to Interrogatory No. 21, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 \ Q. And this is where you provided all the information that
- 14 ASPCA had on the payments that had been made to or for Tom
- 15 Rider, correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- Q. And just go to the next page. We have the details, many of
- which we've been over with today, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. In reference to documents and so forth and so on?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Right. None of this information was provided in 2004, was
- 23 it?
- 24 A. I believe it was.
- 25 Q. All right. Well, let's look at the answer to this same

question in June of 2004, which is at the same exhibit, page 29 and 33.

MR. CRYSTAL: Again, I'm going to object. This was the exact same line of questioning at the evidentiary hearing and we already have a ruling from Judge Facciola, and we believe this is irrelevant.

THE COURT: It's overruled.

### BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q. Here we have that same Interrogatory No. 21. Now, there are answers provided in here?
- 11 A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. We went through various categories of expenses and so forth, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. But no where in this answer, ma'am, do you make any reference to monies being paid to Tom Rider, isn't that true?

  Feel free to read it.
  - A. I am. I have to see the entire breakout that was in 1997.
  - Q. All right.

Keep going. Let's go down. Keep going.

- A. So we wouldn't have made any payments.
- Q. All right. Let's go to 2001. Next page. 2002. Next page.
- 24 A. Okay. Well --
  - Q. Stop. There is a payment listed to Meyer, Glitzenstein

regarding Ringling lawsuit, right?

- A. Right, right, and that probably would have been included in the payment, I believe it was a thousand dollars, that went towards his expenses.
- Q. So the money earmarked for Rider was buried in the nine thousand number here for the law firm, correct?

MR. CRYSTAL: Objection, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say buried.

THE COURT: Just a minute. Rephrase that question.

## BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q. It was included in it, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

20

21

2.2

- Q. You didn't break that out separately, correct?
- 14 A. No, we didn't.
- Q. And if you go to 2002, you have another payment. This probably didn't have anything to do with Tom Rider, right, to the law firm here, humane law enforcement investigations?
- A. Well, the humane law enforcement investigations was the \$250.
  - Q. Right.
  - A. That was the time spent on an hourly basis for the inspection.
- Q. But in any case, there's no statement in 2002 of any payment to Tom Rider, right?
  - A. Well, certainly the Meyer, Glitzenstein invoice would have

- had the breakdown, so that was just reflecting the entire amount of money that was given to Meyer, Glitzenstein.
  - Q. Well, we didn't get that invoice, did we, at the time, the invoice from Meyer, Glitzenstein, correct?
  - A. I don't recall. But certainly everything was forwarded to you subsequently.
  - Q. All right. Just to round this out, can we go to the next page, 2003? Again, there's a reference to Meyer, Glitzenstein Ringling lawsuit but no reference to Tom Rider, correct?
- A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Is there any way that the defense counsel could have determined by reading this answer that you had actually paid money to Tom Rider?
- MR. CRYSTAL: Objection; calls for speculation, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 Why don't you rephrase that?
- 18 BY MR. SIMPSON:
  - Q. Well, there's certainly no reference in here, correct?
- A. Again, it would have been a breakout in the Meyer,
  Glitzenstein invoice.
- Q. You also were asked a question in this same line,
  Defendant's Interrogatory No. 22, if you go to the next page,
  that asked you to identified each expenditure from '97 to the
  present of financial and other resources made while pursuing

- alternative sources of information, etcetera. Do you see that?
- Yes. Α.

2

5

- 3 In here you make reference to the grant that was given by Ο. ASPCA to Wildlife Advocacy Project, correct? 4
  - Α. Correct.
- 6 Ο. And that number was later corrected to six thousand; is 7
- 8 Α. That's correct, yes.
- 9 Ο. But you don't say in here anything about that money going to Tom Rider, do you? 10
- 11 Α. No, we don't.

that correct?

- 12 In fact, there's no where in these answers, in these 13 answers in 2004 that ASPCA made a mention of giving money to Tom 14 Rider, isn't that right?
- 15 Correct. Α.
- Now, all of these payments that were in the answer to this 16 Ο. 17 interrogatory that was finally answered in 2007 all occurred 18 between 2001 and 2003, correct?
- 19 I believe so.
- 20 So by the time you answered the Interrogatories the first Ο. 21 time, all of that had happened, had already occurred, correct?
- 2.2 Α. Correct.

- 23 Q. And ASPCA quit paying this man after 2003, correct?
- 24 Α. That is correct.
  - 0. And all of the documents that were generated by that

- process, the invoices the law firm sent you, your American

  Express bills, your general ledger entries, all existed prior to

  2004, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. Yet none of that was produced in 2004, isn't that true?
- A. We certainly revealed all the money that we spent. Whether it was produced in that format or a different format is another question.
  - Q. Well, if we go to Defendant's Exhibit 2309, page one, if you look at the bottom of the Bates range for this begins at A012222, so 1,200-and-some-odd documents, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.

5

9

10

11

- Q. And this was your -- this is a general ledger detail report, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. If we look at Defendant's Exhibit 61, these were the invoices from the law firm, also in the 1200 range, correct?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Well, look at the bottom right-hand corner.
  - A. Yes, that's true.
- 21 Q. A1203, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. All the documents you produced, you being ASPCA, in 2004,
- were in the Bates range from 01 to 934, isn't that correct?
- 25 A. It appears that way.

- Q. Are you sure?
  - A. I'm not sure.
  - Q. It's not on this document so --
- A. I don't know. I don't know.

produced. Do you see that?

- 5 Q. Well, let me refer you to Defendant's Exhibit 189 at page
- 33, which is your 2004 response to our document production
  requests where you describe at the bottom ASPCA documents being
- 9 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And it states the ASPCA is producing documents labeled A1 through 934. Do you see that?
- 12 **|** A. Yes.
  - Q. So that was the extent of your production in 2004, correct?
- 14 A. I believe so.
  - MR. CRYSTAL: Your Honor, I'm going to object on the grounds that we haven't discussed the document requests which we're talking about documents being responsive to. We're talking the abstract about the production of documents. It's not clear what document requests we're discussing.
  - THE COURT: She can answer the question. She's an attorney. She can answer the question.
- 22 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- Q. Whatever the request was, ma'am, the document you produced on that day had those Bates labels, correct?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. So they stopped at 934, correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And so the documents, the invoices and the general ledgers
- 4 that we just looked at, came in the 1,200 series, so they came
- 5 after this?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. Isn't it true that it came in 2007 in response to Judge
- 8 Sullivan's order?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, Tom Rider was asked in this same written discovery
- 11 whether he was being paid money by animal activists. Do you
- 12 remember that question?
- 13 **|** A. Yes.
- 14  $\blacksquare$  Q. And he answered that he received no such compensation,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. It wasn't compensation.
- 17 Q. But his answer was "I've received no such compensation",
- 18 right?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
- 20 0. You don't recall that?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 0. You weren't here when he testified to that?
- 23 A. I may not have been.
- Q. So between his answers and your answers, there was no
- 25 statement at all that ASPCA had paid him more than \$24,000,

1 isn't that true? 2 MR. CRYSTAL: Objection; argumentative, your Honor. THE COURT: She can't recall about his answer so just 3 limit it to her answers. 4 BY MR. SIMPSON: 5 Is it true that ASPCA has given money to Carol Buckley's 6 0. 7 elephant sanctuary? 8 Α. I don't know that for a fact. Let me refer you to page 103 of your deposition, line 2. 9 0. Since 1996, has ASPCA worked on any projects 10 Question: 11 regarding Ringling Brothers we have not already discussed? 12 Answer: No. 13 How about the one --14 Go back to the top. 15 How about projects regarding elephants generally? 16 Go back in. I can't read that. 17 How about projects -- let me start over again. 18 Since 1996, has the ASPCA worked on any projects 19 regarding Ringling Brothers that we have not already discussed? 20 Answer: No. 21 Question: How about projects regarding Feld 2.2 Entertainment that we've not already discussed? 23 Answer: No. 24 How about projects regarding elephants generally? 25 Answer: Lawsuits?

1 Question: No. This is any projects. 2 Answer: Any projects. We may have given some grants 3 to some elephant sanctuaries to maintain animals at the 4 sanctuary. Ouestion: And what sanctuaries would those be? 5 6 It might have been the elephant sanctuary in 7 Tennessee or other general animal sanctuaries that may have 8 elephants there. What are these other general animal 9 Ouestion: 10 sanctuaries that have elephants there? 11 The Fund For Animals, Black Beauty Ranch Answer: 12 maybe. We've given grants to Jungle Sanctuary in Mindy's 13 memory. They may or may not have elephants there. 14 Does that refresh your memory? 15 Yes, it does. 16 Does that refresh your recollection whether ASPCA has made Ο. 17 donations to the sanctuary? 18 The one in Tennessee? Α. 19 Ο. Yes. Not necessarily. He has discretionary funds which he will 20 Α. 21 provide grants from sanctuaries from time to time. Whether or 2.2 not he actually gave any money to the elephant sanctuary in 23 Tennessee I do not know.

But is it a fact that ASPCA gave money to the Black Beauty

24

25

Ranch?

- A. I believe the president had given some money to them at one point.
  - Q. And that's operated by the Fund For Animals, correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
  - Q. A co-plaintiff in this case, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.

2

3

5

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Since merged with the Humane Society of the United States, correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- Q. And the Black Beauty Ranch has an elephant living in isolation, correct?
- 12 A. I don't know that for a fact.
- 13 Q. Do you know whether it has an elephant at all?
- 14 | A. I don't.
- Q. Now, is it true, ma'am, that ASPCA will attempt to -- well, is it true that ASPCA raises money by sending letters to individual households throughout the United States?
- 18 A. Yes. That's part of our direct mail program.
- 19 Q. You send those letters?
  - THE COURT: Let's take a break for lunch now. We're trying to make up time and I'm being a little more indulgent, hopefully not to the detriment of the court reporter, but I do have a sealed matter at one o'clock and everyone is going to have to leave. I want to make sure that starts promptly so we can resume this trial in promptly at 2:30.

1 MR. SIMPSON: I'll be done in two minutes.

THE COURT: I don't want to curtail you.

MR. SIMPSON: No. Well, maybe five minutes.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

## BY MR. SIMPSON:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Is it true that these letters are sent to millions of people every year?
- A. I don't know the exact number.
- Q. Is it true that a donation to ASPCA of \$20.00 will feed an abandoned dog for three weeks?
- A. If that's what it says --
- 12 Q. Have you seen that before?
- 13 A. No, I have not.
  - THE COURT: Let her finish the last answer.
- 15 THE WITNESS: If that's what it says, then I have to assume that's true.
- 17 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 18 Q. Well, have you had occasion to review these solicitations?
- 19 A. No. No, that was not part of my duties at the ASPCA.
- 20 0. So you've never seen those documents?
- 21 A. No, not specifically.
- Q. Do you know whether when ASPCA solicits money from individual households in the United States for helping dogs and
- cats and spaying and neutering and so forth, that it mentions
- 25 the fact that it wants to get elephants out of the Ringling

Brothers circus? 1 2 I don't think it does specifically, but I'm not aware of 3 whether or not it does or doesn't. All right. 4 Q. MR. SIMPSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions 5 6 of this witness at this time. 7 I want to offer, make sure I've offered Defendant's 8 Exhibit 18, which are the Interrogatory answers, and I want all 9 answers, original and supplemental to numbers 2, 21, and 22, Defendant's Exhibit --10 11 THE COURT: Any objection? 12 MR. CRYSTAL: No objection to that. 13 THE COURT: Admitted. (Defendant's Exhibit No. 18 was admitted into 14 15 evidence at about 12:55 p.m.) 16 MR. SIMPSON: 45. 17 THE COURT: What are those numbers again? Exhibit 18. 18 MR. SIMPSON: 18, Answers to Interrogatory No. 21 and 19 22, original and all supplemental answers. THE COURT: All right. 20 21 MR. SIMPSON: And Defendant's Exhibit 45. I may have 2.2 already offered, and Defendant's Exhibit 47. 23 45 and 47. THE COURT: 24 I'm not sure about 45. It may have come in already. 25 COURTROOM DEPUTY: 45 was not.

THE COURT: Admitted. COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay. THE COURT: And 47. COURTROOM DEPUTY: That one's in, Judge. THE COURT: We're going to break for lunch. I have to tell you, you're an attorney, I have to tell you you can't discuss your testimony. THE WITNESS: Right. THE COURT: You can enjoy your lunch, though. The next matter is sealed. Ms. Shaner is here. Ιf you're not associated with the next case you'll have to leave the courtroom. Thank you. (A luncheon recess was taken at about 12:56 p.m.) 

1	INDEX							
2								
3	WITNESSES:							
4	LISA WEISBERG							
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Simpson 9							
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20		EXHIBITS						
21	Defendant's Exhibit							
22	No.	Identification	Marked	Admitted				
23	45			28				
24	18			91				
25								

CERTIFICATE I, JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN, Official Court Reporter, certify that the foregoing pages are a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. JACQUELNE M. SULLIVAN 

_	07.04		04.00.00.0	75.05.70.5
\$	67:21 - <b>15</b> [1] - 56:12	<b>2007</b> [7] - 55:20, 61:19, 70:21, 76:14,	91:23, 92:3	75:25, 76:5
	<b>16</b> [1] - 14:21	79:8, 83:17, 86:7	5	<b>absolutely</b> [2] - 5:16,
<b>\$1,200</b> [1] - 72:8	<b>1601</b> [1] - 1:17	<b>2008</b> [7] - 9:23, 10:20,	J	abstract [1] - 85:18
<b>\$184.82</b> [1] - 68:24	<b>16th</b> [1] - 68:15	42:13, 42:15, 77:4,		abuse [3] - 19:25,
<b>\$20.00</b> [1] - 90:9	<b>18</b> [7] - 69:20, 79:9,	78:4	<b>5</b> [1] - 57:8	23:23, 25:14
<b>\$200,000</b> [1] - 66:10	91:8, 91:14, 91:17,	<b>2009</b> [3] - 1:6, 22:22,	<b>5/3/01</b> [1] - 68:17	abused [1] - 20:9
<b>\$24,000</b> [1] - 86:25	91:18, 93:24	23:8	<b>54</b> [1] - 42:13	abuses [2] - 25:18,
<b>\$25,544.50</b> [1] - 61:16	<b>18/68</b> [1] - 70:20	<b>202-354-3187</b> [1] - 2:4		25:25
<b>\$250</b> [1] - 81:19	<b>1866</b> [1] - 30:3	202-364-4092 [1] -	6	access [3] - 40:5,
<b>\$3,000</b> [1] - 66:5	<b>189</b> [1] - 85:5	1:18		40:9, 72:4
<b>\$3,800</b> [1] - 72:10	<b>1975</b> [2] - 5:1, 6:1	<b>202-662-4504</b> [1] -		accompanied [1] -
<b>\$5,000</b> [1] - 72:6	<b>1976</b> [7] - 5:5, 5:7, 5:8,	1:22	<b>61</b> [2] - 67:14, 84:16	46:10
<b>\$500</b> [1] - 68:16	6:1, 6:7, 6:18, 6:19	<b>209</b> [2] - 73:4, 74:11	<b>611(c)</b> [1] - 10:24	accompany [1] -
<b>\$6,000</b> [2] - 70:6, 71:6	<b>1994</b> [1] - 44:6	<b>21</b> [8] - 51:16, 56:1,	<b>68</b> [2] - 69:20, 79:9	38:11
<b>\$684.82</b> [1] - 68:8	<b>1996</b> [5] - 57:9, 59:9,	79:2, 79:9, 79:11,	<b>6820</b> [1] - 2:3	according [1] - 52:8
	59:12, 87:10, 87:18	80:9, 91:9, 91:18	<b>6th</b> [1] - 9:23	account [3] - 59:7,
•	<b>1997</b> [2] - 41:13, 80:18	<b>21st</b> [2] - 52:21, 54:21	7	74:13, 76:19
	<b>1998</b> [2] - 41:16, 44:14	<b>22</b> [4] - 52:20, 82:23,	7	accounting [2] -
	<b>1999</b> [1] - 45:24	91:9, 91:19		76:21, 78:19
<b>'02</b> [1] - 52:21		<b>23</b> [2] - 45:24, 53:3	<b>7</b> <sub>[2]</sub> - 40:25, 45:22	accurate [2] - 59:3,
<b>'04</b> [1] - 43:20	2	<b>230</b> [1] - 26:20	<b>700</b> [1] - 1:17	63:22
<b>'05</b> [1] - 20:2		<b>2309</b> [1] - 84:9	<b>72-hour</b> [2] - 60:18,	accurately [1] - 58:24
<b>'07</b> [1] - 79:4	2 to 22.5 97.0 01.0	<b>23rd</b> [2] - 55:20, 70:21	78:6	act [2] - 32:6, 52:7
<b>'75</b> [2] - 6:20, 6:22	<b>2</b> [3] - 32:5, 87:9, 91:9 <b>20</b> [3] - 41:2, 43:1,	<b>24</b> [1] - 54:9	7th [2] - 23:8, 61:24	<b>Act</b> [8] - 5:24, 6:4, 6:11, 17:24, 21:7,
<b>'76</b> [2] - 6:19, 6:24	44:14	<b>26</b> [4] - 25:23, 31:13, 31:17, 53:21		22:9, 23:17, 25:3
<b>'97</b> [1] - 82:24	<b>20/20</b> [1] - 59:12	27th [1] - 69:2	8	acted [1] - 57:18
0	<b>2000</b> [7] - 25:12, 26:9,	<b>28</b> [1] - 93:23		action [5] - 3:4, 27:15,
0	41:13, 42:4, 43:3,	<b>29</b> [3] - 4:23, 11:23,		27:18, 27:21, 28:1
	44:3, 44:5	80:1	<b>801</b> [1] - 1:21	actions [1] - 30:9
001641 [1] - 43:3	<b>20001</b> [1] - 2:4	<b>2:30</b> [1] - 89:25	<b>86</b> [1] - 8:10	activists [1] - 86:11
<b>01</b> [1] - 84:24	<b>20004</b> [1] - 1:22	2nd [1] - 51:17		actual [2] - 37:13,
<b>03-2006</b> [2] - 1:4, 3:4	<b>20009</b> [1] - 1:18		9	38:13
	<b>2001</b> [15] - 51:17,	3		addition [1] - 71:15
1	61:16, 61:22, 61:24,		<b>9</b> <sub>[2]</sub> - 14:23, 93:5	Additional [1] - 53:3
	63:2, 66:2, 67:21,		<b>91</b> [1] - 93:24	additional [2] - 7:14,
	68:15, 69:2, 70:6,	<b>30</b> [1] - 32:3	<b>934</b> [3] - 84:24, 85:11,	67:25
<b>1</b> [3] - 6:19, 7:1	71:5, 74:22, 75:5,	<b>30(b)(6</b> [4] - 5:4, 7:9,	86:1	admissibility [1] - 8:4
<b>1,200</b> [1] - 86:4	80:22, 83:18	10:10, 11:21	<b>94A</b> [1] - 26:20	admit [1] - 8:4
1,200-and-some-odd	<b>2002</b> [14] - 54:21, 55:3,	<b>31</b> [1] - 57:7	<b>9th</b> [1] - 47:19	Admitted [4] - 28:10,
[1] - 84:11	55:10, 56:1, 66:22,	<b>33</b> [2] - 80:2, 85:6		91:13, 92:1, 93:22
<b>10</b> [2] - 1:6, 26:22	75:5, 75:12, 75:15,	<b>333</b> [1] - 2:3	Α	admitted [6] - 4:18,
<b>103</b> [1] - 87:9	75:17, 76:7, 76:9,	<b>34</b> [1] - 47:19		5:16, 8:2, 8:14,
<b>1099</b> [4] - 74:22, 75:7,	80:22, 81:15, 81:23	<b>35</b> [3] - 4:23, 32:3,		28:11, 91:14
75:17, 76:7	<b>2003</b> [13] - 26:23,	32:19	<b>a.m</b> [3] - 1:7, 53:25	admitting [1] - 7:4
10:13 [1] - 1:7	61:16, 66:22, 66:25, 75:12, 75:15, 75:16,	<b>369</b> [1] - 33:6	A012222 [1] - 84:11	adopted [1] - 21:12
10:40 [1] - 53:25	75:21, 76:7, 76:10,	<b>371</b> [1] - 33:13	<b>A1</b> [1] - 85:10	advance [1] - 34:14
<b>10th</b> [1] - 59:12	82:8, 83:18, 83:23	<b>372</b> [1] - 33:25	<b>A1203</b> [1] - 84:21	adverse [1] - 10:24
<b>11</b> [1] - 28:15 <b>112</b> [1] - 56:11	<b>2004</b> [12] - 11:15, 41:2,	A	<b>abandoned</b> [1] - 90:10	advise [1] - 31:24
<b>11:30</b> [1] - 53:25	41:12, 41:21, 79:22,	4	abbreviation [1] -	advocacy [2] - 71:7,
<b>1200</b> [1] - 84:17	80:1, 83:13, 84:3,		31:12	71:9 <b>Advocacy</b> [6] - 69:24,
<b>12:45</b> [1] - 28:12	84:5, 84:23, 85:6,	<b>4</b> [1] - 25:23	ability [1] - 10:23	70:1, 70:9, 71:6,
<b>12:55</b> [1] - 91:15	85:13	<b>45</b> [9] - 16:24, 28:6,	<b>able</b> [1] - 16:5	71:18, 83:4
<b>12:56</b> [1] - 92:13	<b>2005</b> [9] - 12:4, 13:3,	28:11, 91:16, 91:21,	above-entitled [1] -	advocating [1] - 78:24
<b>140</b> [1] - 21:20	13:6, 14:20, 16:17,	91:23, 91:24, 91:25,	94:4	aforementioned [2] -
<b>145</b> [1] - 14:23	17:6, 21:13, 22:1,	93:23	abrasions [1] - 40:19	46:2, 46:6
<b>147</b> [1] - 14:21	56:21	<b>46</b> [1] - 63:14	Absolutely [6] - 8:22,	Agent [1] - 53:4
	<b>2006</b> [2] - 55:6, 55:10	47 00.44 04.00	43:15, 55:19, 55:21,	_
<b>14th</b> [3] - 6:7, 6:17,	2000 [2] - 33.0, 33.10	<b>47</b> [4] - 28:14, 91:22,		agent [5] - 45:2, 46:1,

72:13, 74:1

49:6, 52:9, 53:11 agents [8] - 28:19, 29:15, 30:10, 31:24, 38:9, 38:11, 38:12, 56:10 agreement [4] - 64:5, 64:6, 64:9, 64:16 Agricultural [1] -31:13 Agriculture [1] - 27:12 ahead [2] - 34:23, 90:4 aided [1] - 2:7 airport [2] - 57:16, 57:17 al [1] - 3:5 alert [1] - 49:13 alleged [2] - 25:13, 25:25 allow [3] - 4:13, 60:21, 73:12 allowed [3] - 24:7, 37:11, 40:17 **almost** [1] - 66:9 alternative [1] - 83:1 amassed [1] - 39:18 **AMERICAN** [1] - 1:3 American [7] - 3:4, 9:19, 10:4, 18:24, 57:22, 74:13, 84:1 amount [2] - 61:17, 82:1 amounts [1] - 69:7 animal [22] - 11:12, 13:1, 19:14, 29:13, 29:22, 30:23, 31:17, 32:22, 34:9, 36:24, 37:14, 40:16, 46:2, 46:6, 46:8, 46:22, 57:16, 57:19, 86:11, 88:7, 88:9 **Animal** [2] - 62:4, 66:1 Animals [8] - 3:5, 9:20, 10:5, 17:12, 57:23, 66:2, 88:11, 89:3 **ANIMALS** [1] - 1:4 animals [53] - 11:6, 11:11, 13:13, 13:15, 13:16, 13:24, 17:12, 17:18, 17:22, 18:1, 18:3, 19:24, 19:25, 20:8, 20:12, 20:16, 20:25, 22:9, 22:17, 23:13, 23:15, 23:24, 24:16, 24:17, 25:19, 27:6, 29:21, 34:12, 36:3, 37:2, 40:2, 45:3, 46:8, 46:12, 46:17, 48:15, 48:17, 48:20, 49:7, 49:13,

50:14, 50:17, 50:21, Article [3] - 28:19, 50:25, 51:3, 51:25, 52:6, 52:15, 54:13, 59:5, 78:25, 88:3 Annette [1] - 40:23 announce [1] - 34:22 Answer [16] - 15:1, 15:10, 26:1, 26:4, 26:6, 43:11, 43:15, 56:15, 56:17, 87:12, 87:20, 87:23, 87:25, 88:2, 88:6, 88:11 answer [18] - 11:25, 43:3, 53:17, 61:18, 70:23, 71:2, 73:1, 79:8, 79:11, 79:25, 80:15, 82:12, 83:16, 85:20, 85:21, 86:17, 87:3, 90:14 answered [5] - 50:14, 70:20, 83:17, 83:20, 86:14 Answers [3] - 10:15, 69:17, 91:18 answers [10] - 70:24, 80:10, 83:12, 83:13, 86:24, 87:4, 91:8, 91:9. 91:19 anti [2] - 38:4, 39:20 appeal [1] - 5:25 appearance [1] -30:14 APPEARANCES [1] appeared [2] - 11:20 applaud [1] - 58:6 applicable [1] - 18:7 applies [2] - 8:20, 22:9 apply [4] - 6:9, 29:7, 31:22, 31:24 appointment [1] -38:22 appreciation [1] -17:11 appropriate [3] - 8:17, 8:19, 18:25 approval [3] - 63:7, 65:3, 72:24 approved [1] - 14:6 **April** [2] - 51:17, 76:14 **Aquarium** [1] - 18:24 area [4] - 29:22, 48:10, 52:9, 54:13 argument [1] - 7:19 argumentative [3] -47:3, 65:18, 87:2 assume [2] - 62:14, arrests [1] - 30:12

Asian [20] - 6:6, 14:8, 14:13, 14:24, 15:8, 18:19, 20:20, 21:6, 22:24, 23:4, 24:11, 25:3, 25:6, 32:11, 32:15, 36:20, 36:24, 43:24, 51:7, 51:14 **ASPCA** [99] - 10:1, 10:3, 10:4, 11:5, 11:8, 12:3, 12:9, 12:12, 12:16, 12:24, 13:6, 14:4, 14:21, 14:23, 16:18, 16:25, 18:16, 18:23, 18:25, 19:18, 19:23, 20:15, 21:5, 21:23, 22:13, 22:19, 22:24, 23:2, 23:8, 24:5, 25:6, 25:13, 25:24, 26:9, 27:11, 28:16, 29:7, 29:13, 30:5, 31:3, 31:23, 35:1, 35:3, 35:21, 39:6, 41:18, 42:3, 42:17, 43:5, 43:9, 56:4, 56:13, 58:16, 59:9, 61:7, 61:16, 63:1, 63:7, 63:12, 65:23, 65:25, 67:2, 67:20, 69:5, 69:14, 69:23, 70:6, 70:11, 71:5, 71:16, 72:12, 74:15, 74:21, 75:4, 75:11, 75:17, 76:9, 76:18, 77:9, 78:20, 78:23, 79:14, 83:4, 83:13, 83:23, 84:23, 85:7, 85:10, 86:25, 87:6, 87:10, 87:18, 88:16, 88:24, 89:15, 89:16, 90:9, 90:19, 90:22 ASPCA's [23] - 10:7, 10:12, 10:20, 11:10, 11:24, 12:6, 13:9, 14:8, 15:7, 18:9, 22:1, 23:9, 23:12, 24:22, 27:22, 28:23, 32:10, 32:14, 32:25, 36:13, 68:10, 68:24, 73:6 **ASPCA.org** [1] - 23:8 associated [1] - 92:11 Association [1] -18:24

31:13, 31:17

AT&T[1] - 73:15 attached [1] - 26:23 attaching [1] - 41:2 attempt [1] - 89:15 attend [1] - 13:19 attention [8] - 16:23, 17:8, 18:22, 19:12, 19:21, 32:4, 45:14, 47:2 attorney [3] - 29:1, 85:21, 92:6 attorneys [2] - 26:1, 39:16 attribution [1] - 72:16 August [2] - 55:20, 70:21 authenticate [1] -59:21 authority [1] - 28:22 authorize [1] - 56:10 authorized [4] - 35:3, 58:16, 58:21, 58:22 available [4] - 44:11, 44:12, 54:17, 63:4 Avenue [3] - 1:17, 1:21, 2:3 aware [13] - 16:6, 19:2, 25:18, 25:20, 35:11, 58:14, 59:10, 62:19, 62:21, 76:9, 76:13, 78:18, 91:2 AZA [4] - 18:25, 19:3, 19:5, 24:19

# В

babies [1] - 15:24 Bailey [1] - 44:23 ban [1] - 13:24 bank [1] - 68:16 bans [1] - 22:13 barn [1] - 63:24 Barnum [1] - 44:23 based [2] - 4:12, 5:16 basic [1] - 29:19 **basis** [8] - 7:4, 7:25, 8:19, 33:16, 37:5, 37:12, 39:14, 81:21 Bates [4] - 60:8, 84:10, 84:24, 85:24 beats [1] - 32:21 Beauty [3] - 88:11, 88:24, 89:10 became [1] - 25:20 become [1] - 13:13 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:11 began [1] - 61:21 **begins** [1] - 84:10 behalf [3] - 71:7,

behavior [1] - 59:6 behind [1] - 40:18 believes [3] - 8:3, 11:8, 21:6 **BENCH** [1] - 1:11 best [1] - 6:12 better [1] - 78:25 between [7] - 6:1, 6:19, 37:18, 38:9, 66:22, 83:18, 86:24 **bill** [5] - 14:1, 67:11, 72:20, 73:18, 74:13 bills [3] - 72:2, 72:12, 84:2 **birth** [2] - 4:25, 5:22 **bit** [1] - 14:17 Black [3] - 88:11, 88:24, 89:10 Blue [5] - 35:10, 35:17, 45:20, 52:22, 52:25 books [3] - 72:21, 72:23, 73:2 born [8] - 5:5, 5:6, 5:7, 6:1, 6:18, 6:20, 6:24, 16:2 bottom [7] - 47:8, 51:24, 67:24, 73:15, 84:10, 84:19, 85:7 break [3] - 81:13, 89:20, 92:5 breakdown [2] - 79:3, 82:1 breakout [2] - 80:18, 82:20 bred [1] - 25:8 breed [1] - 18:4 breeding [5] - 24:7, 24:10, 24:17, 58:8 briefly [1] - 78:4 bright [2] - 49:13, 54:13 Broad [1] - 23:19 Brothers [24] - 12:10, 12:13, 14:22, 16:13, 23:6, 25:22, 26:9, 27:16, 32:16, 35:5, 35:15, 35:22, 36:14, 38:4, 38:18, 39:10, 48:22, 52:25, 54:22, 55:11, 64:6, 87:11, 87:19, 91:1 Brothers' [7] - 12:17, 15:13, 25:21, 27:12, 45:20, 50:8, 56:22 brought [1] - 27:21 **Buckley** [1] - 40:9

Buckley's [1] - 87:6

bullhook [14] - 12:6,

assuming [2] - 24:18,

90:16

59:2

arrive [1] - 53:22

article [2] - 26:23,

30:9

12:10, 12:18, 21:1, 23:20, 23:24, 27:13, 32:10, 37:10, 37:13, 38:14, 38:16, 39:4, 46:24 **bullhooks** [1] - 37:19 buried [2] - 81:5, 81:8 business [4] - 5:10, 5:15, 24:5, 26:17 **BY** [25] - 9:12, 11:4, 16:1, 16:16, 28:13, 34:25, 42:11, 47:5, 53:18, 59:22, 60:12, 61:5, 63:17, 65:24, 67:17, 73:14, 78:2, 78:9, 80:8, 81:10, 82:18, 85:22, 87:5, 90:5, 90:17 bylaws [4] - 28:16, 33:20, 33:22, 35:4

## C

CA [1] - 1:4 cannot [2] - 5:15, 5:16 capacity [1] - 70:17 caption [1] - 5:13 captive [12] - 14:8, 14:13, 14:24, 15:8, 15:9, 17:21, 18:7, 18:19, 22:9, 22:17, 23:18, 24:17 captively [1] - 18:4 captivity [3] - 6:8, 6:10, 71:8 card [6] - 74:1, 74:3, 74:4, 74:6, 74:15, 75:14 care [3] - 52:16, 59:5, 64:12 cared [1] - 25:10 Carol [2] - 40:9, 87:6 Carriage [1] - 31:16 carriage [1] - 56:18 carry [1] - 30:25 case [45] - 4:7, 5:13, 5:14, 9:4, 10:1, 10:2, 10:8, 12:9, 12:12, 12:16, 21:8, 21:9, 35:19, 39:6, 39:13, 39:18, 40:7, 40:10, 41:9, 41:25, 42:4, 42:14, 43:2, 43:10, 43:12, 43:22, 43:25, 47:13, 50:4, 54:19, 55:15, 57:24, 62:5, 62:11, 62:15, 62:24, 64:20, 67:21, 77:17, 78:1, 78:17, 78:22,

81:23, 89:5, 92:11 cases [3] - 17:13, 20:13, 56:16 cash [1] - 68:7 categories [1] - 80:12 cats [1] - 90:24 caused [2] - 32:7, 38:15 CEC [6] - 16:3, 16:6, 58:14, 58:20, 59:9, cell [3] - 66:20, 71:25, 72:2 Center [1] - 15:14 certain [4] - 24:16, 41:2, 71:21, 74:17 certainly [25] - 16:4, 16:8, 19:10, 21:1, 21:8, 22:11, 23:5, 25:18, 25:20, 27:19, 31:24, 37:18, 48:3, 48:11, 56:8, 58:21, 64:3, 69:5, 72:23, 74:8, 75:13, 81:25, 82:5, 82:19, 84:6 Certainly [3] - 23:1, 26:16, 66:22 CERTIFICATE [1] -94:1 **certify** [1] - 94:3 chain [1] - 12:19 chained [2] - 20:25, 48:12 **chaining** [4] - 19:3, 19:7, 19:11, 32:14 **chains** [5] - 23:21, 33:3, 37:7, 47:7, 51:14 **chance** [1] - 4:20 changed [1] - 4:7 changing [1] - 13:4 charge [2] - 68:7, 68:16 charged [1] - 69:7 charges [5] - 67:25, 69:5, 73:25, 74:6, 74:17 chart [3] - 5:11, 7:5, 8:1 charter [1] - 30:5 check [6] - 45:3, 50:11, 53:4, 53:12, 56:10, 72:23 checked [3] - 51:4,

51:10, 54:7

72:6, 72:10

child [4] - 13:12,

13:13, 13:15, 13:16

chief [1] - 4:7

checks [3] - 51:25,

chip [1] - 66:3 circumstances [1] -12:21 Circus [4] - 50:3, 51:16, 54:13, 55:11 circus [44] - 11:6, 11:9, 11:11, 11:25, 12:17, 12:20, 13:10, 13:12, 13:16, 13:19, 13:24, 15:24, 16:3, 16:10, 19:22, 19:24, 20:15, 20:16, 20:19, 20:20, 21:3, 21:6, 22:5, 22:24, 22:25, 23:10, 23:16, 24:2, 35:5, 35:14, 35:15, 36:15, 41:6, 44:17, 45:2, 49:21, 50:2, 52:25, 64:8, 65:1, 66:15, 66:24, 74:10, circuses [1] - 11:11 cite [1] - 5:11 cited [1] - 27:19 city [1] - 56:18 City [5] - 26:24, 29:18, 31:16, 35:11, 46:12 **Civil** [1] - 3:4 **claims** [1] - 29:13 **clarify** [1] - 64:3 clarifying [1] - 13:4 clause [1] - 6:9 clear [7] - 8:20, 24:3, 42:13, 48:5, 52:5, 54:14, 85:19 **clearly** [1] - 5:14 clip [3] - 60:13, 60:17, 60:18 **Close** [1] - 27:6 close [2] - 7:23, 40:14 closed [3] - 47:13, 49:16, 54:19 closely [1] - 70:15 **co** [5] - 40:6, 43:13, 62:11, 64:7, 89:5 co-plaintiff [3] - 43:13, 64:7, 89:5 co-plaintiffs [1] -62:11 Code [1] - 31:16 coliseum [1] - 35:19 Coliseum [5] - 35:24, 37:4, 44:21, 44:25, 45:24 **COLUMBIA** [1] - 1:1 column [2] - 4:11, 5:8 coming [1] - 34:15 command [1] - 25:19 communication [1] -

companies [1] - 24:5 company [2] - 36:2, 38:22 comparing [1] - 17:23 compensation [3] -86:14, 86:16, 86:17 competent [1] - 8:3 complained [2] -27:11, 27:24 complaint [5] - 25:14, 25:25, 30:20, 43:2, 43:3 complaints [2] -27:22.56:9 compliance [2] -48:16, 78:12 complied [1] - 20:18 **comply** [1] - 24:18 computer [2] - 2:7, 71:23 computer-aided [1] -2:7 concept [1] - 25:2 concerned [2] - 77:5, 77:10 concerns [1] - 56:9 concluded [1] - 52:16 condition [1] - 50:20 conditions [3] - 40:3, 45:12, 58:9 conduct [1] - 41:5 conducted [3] - 38:1, 39:6, 44:20 confined [4] - 51:3, 51:6, 51:11, 51:14 **Connecticut** [1] - 1:17 consent [1] - 36:2 Conservation [1] -15:14 conservation [4] -14:12, 14:14, 15:3, 15:18 considered [1] - 6:10 constant [1] - 21:21 constitute [1] - 39:19 Constitution [1] - 2:3 consultant [1] - 10:1 contact [3] - 12:22, 12:24, 13:7 context [1] - 26:22 continue [3] - 9:25, 12:18, 20:12 continued [2] - 55:2, **continuing** [1] - 31:6 contract [1] - 57:18 contrary [1] - 41:24 control [1] - 40:16 coordinated [2] -

61:13, 66:21

copies [1] - 73:6 cops [1] - 29:23 **copy** [1] - 74:12 corner [1] - 84:19 corporate [5] - 10:20, 70:1, 74:3, 74:4, 74:6 corporation [1] -11:21 corporations [1] -26:18 correct [268] - 4:8, 10:5, 10:8, 10:9, 10:11, 10:14, 10:15, 10:17, 10:21, 10:22, 12:4, 12:5, 12:7, 12:15, 12:20, 13:10, 13:13, 13:20, 14:4, 14:6, 14:15, 15:6, 16:7, 16:21, 19:15, 19:16, 19:17, 20:6, 20:9. 21:19. 22:1. 22:3, 22:13, 22:15, 23:13, 24:8, 24:9, 24:23, 24:25, 25:6, 26:11, 27:16, 29:1, 29:5, 29:10, 29:13, 29:16, 29:19, 29:24, 30:1, 30:10, 30:14, 30:18, 30:23, 31:4, 31:7, 31:14, 31:19, 31:23, 32:1, 33:14, 33:17, 33:18, 34:2, 34:6, 34:10, 34:12, 34:15, 35:5, 35:9, 35:11, 35:15, 35:19, 35:22, 35:24, 36:3, 36:11, 36:21, 36:25, 37:4, 37:7, 37:10, 38:5, 38:23, 39:12, 40:1, 40:9, 40:13, 40:22, 41:7, 41:14, 41:15, 41:16, 41:17, 41:19, 41:22, 42:1, 42:15, 42:18, 42:22, 42:24, 43:19, 43:20, 44:7, 45:4, 45:24, 46:9, 46:15, 46:25, 47:2, 47:16, 47:17, 47:19, 48:2, 48:6, 48:10, 49:1, 49:7, 49:10, 49:14, 49:18, 49:22, 49:24, 50:2, 50:6, 50:15, 50:16, 50:18, 50:21, 50:24, 51:4, 51:11, 51:22, 52:1, 52:4, 52:5, 52:10, 52:13, 52:14, 53:14, 54:1, 54:4, 54:5, 54:7, 54:8,

53:19

54:11, 54:12, 54:15, 54:17, 54:18, 54:25, 55:11, 55:12, 56:1, 56:20, 61:17, 62:6, 62:7, 62:17, 62:20, 62:24, 63:4, 63:7, 63:8, 63:11, 63:13, 63:23, 63:24, 64:2, 64:10, 64:14, 64:18, 64:19, 64:21, 65:1, 65:2, 65:4, 65:6, 65:8, 65:9, 66:3, 66:4, 66:6, 66:7, 67:1, 67:4, 67:12, 67:13, 68:4, 68:8, 68:9, 68:20, 68:22, 68:24, 69:4, 69:12, 69:24, 69:25, 70:3, 70:4, 70:7, 70:8, 70:9, 70:12, 70:21, 70:22, 71:14, 71:22, 71:24, 72:1, 72:2, 72:4, 72:5, 72:9, 72:10, 72:11, 73:23, 74:17, 74:23, 75:5, 75:12, 75:15, 75:21, 76:2, 76:4, 76:7, 76:14, 79:11, 79:15, 79:16, 79:18, 79:21, 80:13, 81:6, 81:11, 81:13, 82:4, 82:9, 82:10, 82:19, 83:4, 83:7, 83:8, 83:18, 83:21, 83:23, 83:24, 84:3, 84:4, 84:11, 84:14, 84:15, 84:17, 84:21, 84:22, 84:24, 85:13, 85:24, 86:1, 86:6, 86:15, 89:3, 89:4, 89:5, 89:8, 89:9, 89:11, 94:3 Correct [60] - 12:1, 13:14, 13:21, 15:10, 18:18, 20:10, 22:14, 25:7, 29:4, 29:14, 30:2, 30:7, 30:11, 30:21, 32:23, 32:24, 33:2, 33:5, 34:16, 34:18, 35:6, 36:4, 36:6, 38:24, 41:8, 41:20, 41:23, 42:16, 43:4, 44:8, 44:12, 45:7, 45:11, 45:16, 45:17, 49:23, 50:12, 50:19, 50:22, 51:5, 51:23, 52:11, 55:24, 55:25, 63:25, 64:22, 64:24, 66:8, 67:22, 68:23, 74:5, 79:12, 79:19, 80:14, 81:12, 83:5, 83:15, 83:22,

85:25, 86:2 corrected [1] - 83:6 correctly [9] - 15:11, 19:25, 20:13, 26:7, 27:7, 43:16, 56:19, 58:12, 71:10 cost [1] - 71:22 counsel [5] - 5:6, 11:3, 42:8, 73:12, 82:11 Counsel [1] - 3:7 counsel's [1] - 10:25 countries [1] - 14:17 country [2] - 64:19, 65:5 course [3] - 31:3, 31:22, 73:21 COURT [62] - 1:1, 3:16, 4:4, 4:13, 4:18, 5:2, 5:17, 5:21, 6:3, 6:15, 6:20, 6:23, 7:2, 7:11, 7:17, 7:22, 8:6, 8:12, 8:22, 8:24, 9:8, 10:25, 11:3, 15:22, 16:14, 28:8, 28:10, 34:20, 34:22, 42:7, 47:3, 53:17, 59:18, 60:4, 60:10, 60:15, 60:20, 60:25, 61:3, 63:16, 65:20, 67:16, 73:12, 78:7, 80:7, 81:9, 82:16, 85:20, 87:3, 89:20, 90:2, 90:4, 90:14, 91:11, 91:13, 91:17, 91:20, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:5, 92:9 court [1] - 89:22 Court [5] - 2:2, 2:2, 3:3, 9:4, 94:2 Court's [3] - 75:24, 78:12, 79:4 Courthouse [1] - 2:3 courtroom [1] - 92:12 COURTROOM [6] -3:2, 9:1, 9:7, 91:25, 92:2, 92:4 cover [1] - 41:1 covered [1] - 36:11 credit [6] - 74:1, 74:3, 74:4, 74:6, 74:15, criminal [1] - 30:20 cruelly [1] - 32:21 cruelty [17] - 11:12, 20:13, 21:4, 23:23, 27:6, 29:13, 29:22, 30:23, 31:17, 32:5, 32:12, 32:17, 34:9,

38:5, 39:20, 50:14,

54:6 Cruelty [4] - 3:5, 9:20, 10:5, 57:23 **CRUELTY** [1] - 1:3 CRYSTAL [19] - 1:16, 3:8, 11:2, 28:9, 42:5, 53:15, 60:7, 60:14, 60:17, 65:17, 73:10, 77:18, 78:5, 80:3, 81:7, 82:14, 85:15, 87:2, 91:12 Crystal [5] - 1:16, 3:9, 67:4, 74:23, 76:6 current [4] - 16:18, 17:4, 22:19, 24:14 curtail [1] - 90:2

## D

**D.C** [5] - 1:6, 1:18, 1:22, 2:4, 43:7 Darcy [1] - 70:15 dark [1] - 37:16 darkness [1] - 37:18 date [15] - 4:25, 5:21, 6:8, 6:9, 6:17, 7:1, 12:3, 43:5, 43:9, 43:14, 61:24, 62:2, 62:3, 62:5 92:4 dated [7] - 41:2, 44:14, 54:21, 57:8, 67:21, 68:15, 69:1 dates [1] - 76:15 days [1] - 49:3 death [1] - 32:7 **December** [3] - 70:6, 71:5, 75:4 decided [1] - 4:10 decision [1] - 27:4 declaration [5] - 5:12, 6:13, 7:1, 7:7, 7:20 dedicated [1] - 58:10 defendant [18] - 3:20, 3:22, 3:24, 4:1, 4:2, 5:9, 5:12, 6:2, 6:11, 6:12, 8:3, 8:9, 8:15, 41:9, 60:9, 77:5, 77:17, 78:22 **DEFENDANT** [1] -9:10 **Defendant** [4] - 1:8, 1:19, 8:25, 28:11 Defendant's [22] - 5:4, 5:5, 5:7, 16:23, 28:6, 40:25, 45:22, 57:7, 63:14, 67:14, 69:20, 73:4, 82:23, 84:9, 84:16, 85:5, 91:7, Direct [1] - 93:5 91:10, 91:14, 91:21, direct [5] - 16:23,

91:22, 93:21 defendant's [3] - 5:9, 5:14, 70:20 defendants [3] - 5:1, 7:12, 7:25 defense [1] - 82:11 defines [1] - 32:5 definitely [1] - 25:21 definition [3] - 32:8, 32:11, 32:16 definitional [1] - 32:4 **DELCIANNA** [1] - 1:15 **Delcianna** [1] - 3:14 department [4] -55:15, 56:9, 66:23, 75:22 Department [1] -27:12 deposed [1] - 16:17 deposition [16] - 5:5, 5:6, 7:9, 7:20, 7:21, 11:15, 11:17, 11:20, 11:23, 12:4, 14:20, 17:2, 25:23, 28:15, 56:11.87:9 depositions [1] -39:13 **DEPUTY** [6] - 3:2, 9:1, 9:7, 91:25, 92:2, Derrick [2] - 4:2, 35:2 descend [1] - 34:8 describe [1] - 85:7 **described** [3] - 26:13, 26:15, 69:17 designated [3] - 10:3, 10:7, 29:15 designation [1] -72:25 despite [1] - 5:9 destroyed [4] - 24:12, 41:14, 41:18, 44:9 destruction [1] - 44:6 detail [2] - 73:6, 84:13 details [1] - 79:17 determination [1] -48:18 determined [1] - 82:12 detriment [1] - 89:22 developed [1] - 50:6 difference [3] - 5:25, 6:2, 6:19 different [7] - 14:17, 16:5, 17:24, 39:16, 48:12, 77:9, 84:7 difficult [1] - 37:18 diligent [1] - 55:16 **DIRECT** [1] - 9:11

17:8, 18:22, 19:12, 89:18 directly [3] - 71:16, 75:11, 75:13 director [1] - 57:16 disagree [1] - 7:17 disappeared [1] -24:11 disbursement [1] -70:5 discarded [1] - 44:10 discovery [7] - 39:6, 41:9, 60:5, 76:24, 77:1, 78:22, 86:10 discrepancy [2] -5:17, 5:18 discretion [1] - 77:13 discretionary [1] -88:20 discuss [1] - 92:7 discussed [4] - 85:16, 87:11, 87:19, 87:22 discussing [3] - 4:4, 77:22, 85:19 distance [4] - 37:16, 37:18, 38:12, 38:23 **DISTRICT** [3] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:12 district [1] - 39:16 **division** [1] - 50:6 document [27] - 6:21, 7:4, 8:18, 10:12, 16:24, 23:7, 23:9, 41:19, 44:5, 49:20, 50:1, 50:5, 50:8, 54:9, 57:10, 60:2, 67:23, 68:13, 69:9, 74:25, 77:5, 77:9, 85:3, 85:6, 85:16, 85:19, 85:23 documented [1] - 77:7 documents [21] -8:11, 42:4, 43:6, 43:10, 49:24, 55:18, 75:23, 77:13, 77:16, 77:21, 78:10, 79:20, 83:25, 84:11, 84:23, 85:7, 85:10, 85:17, 85:18, 86:3, 90:20 dog [1] - 90:10 dogs [1] - 90:23 dollars [4] - 33:10, 66:3, 67:5, 81:3 domestic [1] - 19:24 **donation** [1] - 90:9 donations [1] - 88:17 done [3] - 41:12, 61:8, 90:1 door [1] - 34:17 doubt [3] - 58:24,

59:2, 59:25 down [5] - 35:1, 47:8, 49:11, 51:21, 80:20 downtown [2] - 48:9, 51:7 Dr [1] - 54:16 drawn [1] - 33:22 drink [1] - 58:11 due [1] - 52:7 duplicate [1] - 7:24 during [3] - 55:9, 60:5, 75:14 **During** [1] - 4:13 duties [2] - 33:11, 90:19 **DX** [1] - 79:9

#### Е

e-mail [5] - 26:23, 63:9, 63:18, 64:4, 64:23 earmark [1] - 72:24 earmarked [1] - 81:5 ears [1] - 40:18 eat [1] - 58:11 edition [1] - 52:22 education [2] - 31:6, 71:9 educational [2] -13:10, 70:13 effect [3] - 21:12, 21:18, 21:20 **effective** [1] - 22:22 efforts [1] - 66:22 eight [4] - 18:22, 30:8, 47:18, 69:1 eighteen [2] - 21:22, 73:5 either [6] - 8:13, 28:1, 35:17, 55:13, 56:9, 75:13 Elephant [1] - 15:14 elephant [26] - 4:24, 4:25, 6:1, 6:6, 6:8, 12:7, 14:14, 16:2, 18:7, 18:19, 25:3, 25:14, 32:11, 32:15, 38:13, 48:5, 56:22, 58:7, 63:21, 87:7, 88:3, 88:6, 88:22, 89:10, 89:13 elephants [55] - 6:11, 7:21, 11:9, 11:25, 12:13, 12:17, 13:20, 13:24, 14:9, 14:18, 14:25, 15:8, 15:23, 16:6, 16:7, 19:3, 20:20, 21:2, 21:6,

22:6, 22:25, 23:4, entries [1] - 84:2 24:11, 25:6, 27:25, 36:20, 36:24, 37:6, 37:9, 40:18, 43:24, 46:25, 47:6, 48:1, 48:4, 48:9, 48:22, 49:9, 49:11, 51:7, 51:14. 53:4. 53:12. 54:10, 54:14, 58:11, 64:12, 71:7, 71:13, 87:15, 87:24, 88:8, 88:10, 88:13, 90:25 eleven [1] - 48:25 **EMMET** [1] - 1:11 **employed** [6] - 9:17, 9:19, 9:24, 9:25, 56:4, 64:25 employee [3] - 38:10, 65:23, 70:14 employment [4] -9:22, 10:3, 31:23, 65:4 en [1] - 46:11 enable [2] - 29:12, 65:5 end [4] - 4:4, 6:22, 9:22, 70:23 Endangered [5] -17:23, 21:7, 22:8, 23:17, 25:3 endangered [5] - 6:6, 18:3, 21:23, 23:16, 24:22 ended [1] - 72:10 enforce [2] - 31:13, 31:15 enforcement [18] -27:15, 27:21, 28:1, 28:23, 29:23, 30:25, 33:17, 34:1, 35:3, 35:21, 36:14, 41:5, 43:18, 47:13, 48:14, 50:6, 81:17, 81:18 enforcing [1] - 29:20 engaging [1] - 26:16 **enjoy** [1] - 92:9 enter [1] - 39:15 entered [1] - 64:5 Entertainment [3] -3:6, 26:19, 87:22 ENTERTAINMENT [1] - 1:7 entertainment [1] -11:13 entire [4] - 23:3, 52:9,

80:18, 82:1

70:1

entitled [4] - 10:25,

33:7, 69:2, 94:4

entity [3] - 62:1, 69:23,

environment [1] -17:12 Eric [1] - 70:2 **ESA** [1] - 23:4 escort [1] - 46:2 escorted [1] - 52:9 escorts [2] - 37:21, 37:22 **especially** [1] - 26:18 **ESQ** [8] - 1:14, 1:15, 1:15, 1:16, 1:19, 1:20, 1:20, 9:10 essentially [1] - 29:18 **establish** [1] - 39:5 established [2] -26:18, 70:2 establishing [1] -39:14 et [1] - 3:5 etcetera [1] - 83:1 evidence [18] - 6:12, 8:1, 8:3, 8:14, 25:22, 26:21, 28:11, 39:17, 39:18, 41:1, 57:8, 60:11, 60:16, 63:15, 67:15, 73:5, 78:11, 91:15 evidentiary [15] - 4:15, 7:3, 7:4, 7:13, 8:2, 8:14, 8:16, 8:19, 10:19, 41:25, 42:12, 77:19, 78:3, 80:4 exact [4] - 57:15, 62:2, 80:4, 90:8 exactly [1] - 66:25 Examination [1] - 93:5 **EXAMINATION** [1] -9:11 example [3] - 4:22, 4:24, 71:21 **examples** [1] - 56:12 Except [1] - 43:18 except [1] - 17:13 **exchange** [1] - 63:18 excluded [2] - 14:1, 14:6 excuse [3] - 14:22, 41:13, 49:19 execute [1] - 30:18 **execution** [1] - 33:10 Exhibit [22] - 16:23, 26:20, 28:11, 28:14, 28:15, 40:25, 45:22, 57:7, 63:14, 67:14, 69:20, 73:4, 84:9, 84:16, 85:5, 91:8, 91:10, 91:14, 91:17, 91:21, 91:22, 93:21

4:6, 4:10, 4:11, 17:9, 19:12, 19:21, 21:23, 44:13, 52:3, 60:22, 74:11, 77:16, 80:1 exhibited [1] - 17:2 exhibits [3] - 8:8, 8:21, 60:25 existed [3] - 20:2, 76:3, 84:2 **existence** [1] - 24:19 exists [2] - 20:4, 28:25 exotic [7] - 19:13, 23:13, 24:6, 24:7, 25:19, 57:19, 59:5 expected [1] - 65:12 expended [1] - 78:24 expenditure [1] -82:24 expense [6] - 67:12, 67:25, 68:3, 68:20, 69:9, 69:14 **Expense** [1] - 69:2 expenses [9] - 63:3, 63:5, 68:3, 68:6, 69:3, 70:18, 80:12, 81:4 expertise [1] - 59:7 experts [1] - 40:10 explain [1] - 15:21 explicit [1] - 6:7 Express [2] - 74:13, 84:2 extent [5] - 7:11, 7:23, 8:13, 8:17, 85:13 extinctment [1] -24:13 extremely [2] - 5:23, 58:9 eyes [1] - 7:23 F

Facciola [3] - 42:24, 77:19, 80:5 facility [1] - 58:8 fact [20] - 5:1, 12:9, 14:15, 20:20, 21:5, 21:18, 27:9, 33:22, 36:17, 58:24, 61:24, 62:14. 62:25. 63:1. 67:19, 83:12, 87:8, 88:24, 89:12, 90:25 facts [1] - 25:13 fair [2] - 38:12, 53:11 familiar [4] - 15:13, 29:10, 31:20, 32:1 far [2] - 46:10, 75:10 February [6] - 10:20, 23:8, 42:13, 42:14,

77:4, 78:4 fed [1] - 45:3 federal [1] - 76:11 fee [1] - 69:11 feed [1] - 90:9 fees [2] - 68:7, 68:16 Feld [4] - 3:5, 26:18, 60:8, 87:21 FELD [1] - 1:7 fellow [1] - 63:9 filed [6] - 21:15, 21:19, 25:12, 26:14, 43:3, 76:13 filing [1] - 43:12 **filled** [1] - 54:2 finally [1] - 83:17 finance [3] - 68:7, 68:16, 75:22 financial [6] - 62:12, 62:17, 62:18, 64:10, 64:11, 82:25 fine [1] - 33:9 finish [2] - 43:8, 90:14 firearms [1] - 30:25 firm [11] - 67:3, 67:6, 67:7, 68:15, 71:15, 74:22, 75:11, 81:6, 81:17, 84:1, 84:17 first [6] - 5:10, 32:20, 43:11, 58:6, 66:7, 83:20 Fish [1] - 27:24 five [5] - 19:22, 21:15, 21:18, 45:23, 90:3 Florida [1] - 66:19 follow [2] - 65:1, 66:15 following [2] - 66:24, 74:9 **food** [3] - 40:3, 48:3 **foot** [1] - 46:13 **FOR** [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 9:10 foregoing [1] - 94:3 forget [1] - 28:5 form [5] - 13:16, 16:21, 50:23, 54:2, 54:4 formal [1] - 59:5 format [2] - 84:7 formulated [1] - 50:1 forth [5] - 30:9, 33:20, 79:20, 80:13, 90:24 forwarded [1] - 82:5 foundation [1] - 7:14 four [4] - 30:8, 45:15, 55:9, 68:14 four-year [1] - 55:9 fourteen [2] - 49:9, 49:11 framework [1] - 22:12

**exhibit** [15] - 4:4, 4:5,

free [4] - 12:22, 12:24, 13:6, 80:17
Fulbright [1] - 1:21
full [2] - 19:24, 46:20
functions [1] - 33:19
Fund [3] - 66:2, 88:11, 89:3
Fund.org [1] - 26:24

## G

Garden [12] - 35:15,

funds [2] - 71:8, 88:20

35:22, 37:3, 46:9, 46:13, 47:7, 47:19, 48:9, 48:21, 49:1, 51:19, 52:22 Gary [2] - 7:1, 7:9 general [11] - 5:5, 21:11, 27:24, 72:13, 72:15, 73:6, 84:2, 84:13, 86:3, 88:7, 88:9 generally [5] - 19:6, 45:6, 78:10, 87:15, 87:24 generated [1] - 83:25 gift [1] - 65:14 given [9] - 51:13, 59:7, 72:19, 82:2, 83:3, 87:6, 88:2, 88:12, 89:1 Glitzenstein [14] -1:16, 67:3, 67:20, 70:2, 71:19, 74:23, 76:6, 77:12, 80:25, 81:25, 82:2, 82:4, 82:8, 82:21 God [1] - 9:5 government [1] -76:11 grandfather [1] - 6:9 grant [8] - 65:15, 65:16, 70:6, 70:13, 70:17, 71:6, 83:3 grants [3] - 88:2, 88:12, 88:21 greater [1] - 13:16 grounds [1] - 85:16 groups [2] - 26:3, 69:6 grown [1] - 66:9 guess [2] - 44:4, 67:24 guest [1] - 8:4 guide [2] - 32:25, 46:24 **guidelines** [1] - 19:6 guides [1] - 22:12

# Н

42:14, 42:17, 77:15,

77:19, 78:3, 78:11,

hearsay [2] - 4:12,

heavy [1] - 67:18

10:19, 41:25

HELs [1] - 38:3

54:7

held [4] - 6:8, 6:10,

help [2] - 9:5, 44:23

helping [1] - 90:23

heretofore [1] - 8:2

highest [2] - 50:23,

highlighted [1] - 7:7

**HLE** [10] - 33:10, 36:1,

36:23, 44:6, 46:22,

48:21, 54:22, 56:5,

HLEs [4] - 31:9, 31:12,

hoarders [1] - 56:17

3:14, 3:17, 3:19,

3:21, 3:23, 3:25,

5:23, 10:23, 28:5,

42:5, 53:15, 60:7,

77:18, 78:5, 81:7,

82:15, 85:15, 87:2,

HONORABLE [1] -

Honorable [1] - 3:2

91:5

1:11

65:17, 67:15, 73:10,

Honor [24] - 3:8, 3:11,

57:1, 57:2

37:14, 55:10

himself [1] - 62:22

80:4

5:16

hooking [1] - 57:3 hopefully [1] - 89:22 habitat [4] - 22:15, Horse [1] - 31:16 24:11, 24:16, 24:20 horses [1] - 56:18 hand [5] - 9:2, 39:17, hotel [1] - 74:17 66:23, 84:19 hour [1] - 53:22 hand-in-hand [1] hourly [1] - 81:21 hours [1] - 19:4 handled [1] - 37:9 house [1] - 71:20 handler [1] - 56:22 households [2] handling [1] - 23:20 89:17, 90:23 hard [2] - 59:4, 68:12 HOWARD [1] - 1:16 harm [1] - 13:1 Howard [1] - 3:8 Hauk [4] - 63:10, human [1] - 11:13 63:12, 63:19, 65:3 Humane [1] - 89:7 head [1] - 63:7 humane [17] - 24:19, Health [1] - 31:16 28:23, 29:22, 30:25, health [2] - 45:6, 33:16, 34:1, 35:3, 50:21 36:14, 41:5, 43:18, hear [1] - 76:16 46:5, 47:13, 48:14, heard [2] - 15:16, 50:5, 58:9, 81:17, 81:18 66:12 hearing [11] - 10:19, 41:25, 42:3, 42:12, ı

hook [1] - 56:22

Identification [1] -93:22 identified [1] - 82:24  $\textbf{identify} \ [2] \textbf{ - } 3\text{:}7,$ 78:24 ill [1] - 45:8 illegally [1] - 21:7 immaterial [1] - 6:22 Impeachment [1] -73:12 important [2] - 23:25, 48:8 impressed [2] - 58:8, 58:10 imprisonment [1] -33:9 in-house [1] - 71:20 inaccuracies [1] -4:21 **INC** [1] - 1:7 incident [1] - 57:3 included [4] - 36:20, 75:8, 81:2, 81:11 including [1] - 32:6 Incorporated [1] - 3:6 Indeed [1] - 6:13 indeed [1] - 4:5 independent [1] - 7:25 indicate [1] - 6:18 indicates [1] - 24:6 individual [5] - 38:13, 48:1, 48:5, 89:17,

individuals [2] -56:13, 56:17 indulgent [1] - 89:21 inflict [1] - 23:23 inflicted [2] - 11:12, 40:15 **inflicting** [1] - 19:25 inflicts [1] - 13:1 Information [1] - 53:3 **information** [11] - 7:8, 7:10, 7:11, 7:14, 7:23, 51:22, 54:3, 79:5, 79:13, 79:22, 83:1 injured [5] - 45:10, 50:17, 50:25, 51:25, 52:15 injures [1] - 32:21 injuries [2] - 40:15, 54:14 inspect [4] - 34:9, 35:5, 36:3, 40:2 inspected [3] - 36:14, 36:17, 48:5 inspecting [1] - 38:12 Inspection [2] - 50:4, 51:16 inspection [24] - 36:1, 38:11, 38:23, 41:3, 43:24, 44:14, 44:17, 44:18, 45:2, 45:23, 47:19, 49:1, 49:21, 50:2, 52:21, 54:22, 56:5, 56:8, 56:14, 57:5, 59:8, 59:11, 61:6, 81:22 inspections [7] -36:20, 37:25, 38:3, 38:8, 39:25, 41:6, 55:2 inspectors [1] - 27:19 instance [1] - 20:22 instill [1] - 17:11 Institute [1] - 66:1 institution [2] - 26:10, 27:5 intend [1] - 4:11 intended [1] - 4:9 intention [1] - 16:4

intentional [1] - 52:7

interested [1] - 13:13

Interference [1] - 33:7

interfere [1] - 33:10

internal [1] - 5:7

Internet [1] - 72:4

Interrogatories [6] -

interrogatories [1] -

70:19

10:15, 10:17, 61:18,

69:18, 70:20, 83:20

Interrogatory [7] -79:2, 79:8, 79:11, 80:9, 82:23, 91:8, 91:18 interrogatory [1] -83:17 interrupt [1] - 34:24 interviews [1] - 65:11 introduce [3] - 4:6, 8:18, 24:15 introduced [8] - 8:1, 15:4, 15:9, 15:25, 16:8, 16:11, 60:23, 60:24 investigate [1] - 29:13 investigations [2] -81:17, 81:18 invited [1] - 61:10 invoice [7] - 67:20, 68:15, 69:1, 81:25, 82:3, 82:4, 82:21 invoices [3] - 84:1, 84:17, 86:3 involved [3] - 13:23, 17:13, 21:2 irrelevant [1] - 80:6 Island [1] - 46:12 isolation [1] - 89:11 issue [8] - 6:2, 8:21, 14:11, 30:14, 51:7, 56:25, 57:1, 58:22 issued [4] - 8:12, 60:2, 74:4, 77:20 **issues** [1] - 57:20 item [5] - 17:10, 18:23, 50:20, 50:25, 51:3 items [2] - 50:10, 54:7 itself [1] - 14:4

J Jacobson [3] - 7:1, 7:9, 7:19 JACQUELINE [2] -2:2, 94:2 JACQUELNE [1] -94:5 January [3] - 6:19, 7:1 Jaworski [1] - 1:21 JFK [1] - 57:16 job [5] - 58:7, 62:8, 62:19, 65:7, 65:9 jog [1] - 42:2 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [1] - 3:19 ioin [1] - 43:13 Joiner [1] - 3:23 **JOINER** [2] - 1:20,

3:23

90:23

judge [2] - 34:5, 39:1
Judge [8] - 42:24,
55:18, 70:21, 77:19,
77:24, 80:5, 86:7,
92:4
JUDGE [1] - 1:12
judgment [1] - 5:14
Julie [2] - 3:25, 57:9
July [4] - 25:12, 26:9,
43:3, 68:15
June [5] - 6:6, 6:17,
9:23, 67:21, 80:1
Jungle [1] - 88:12
jurisdiction [1] - 35:21

### K

Kansas [1] - 26:24 KARA [1] - 1:20 Kara [1] - 3:21 **KATHERINE** [1] - 1:14 **Katherine** [1] - 3:10 Kathi [3] - 57:9, 57:12, 59:19 Kathy [1] - 70:2 Keep [4] - 78:8, 80:20 Kent [1] - 70:15 kept [6] - 19:14, 21:2, 24:17, 37:3, 38:12, 45:12 kick [1] - 34:17 kills [1] - 32:22 kind [3] - 38:6, 39:16, 78:21 kinds [1] - 75:23 **knowing** [1] - 70:11 knowledge [2] -25:13. 66:17 known [1] - 70:9

## L

labeled [1] - 85:10 labels [1] - 85:24 lack [1] - 59:7 language [1] - 6:5 laptop [1] - 71:22 Larry [2] - 63:9, 63:19 last [9] - 4:20, 5:8, 6:15, 20:11, 52:20, 54:25, 56:1, 60:22, 90:14 law [54] - 5:14, 28:23, 28:25, 29:8, 29:10, 29:12, 29:16, 29:22, 30:1, 30:3, 30:5, 30:22, 30:25, 31:7, 31:9, 31:12, 31:14, 31:20, 31:25, 32:3, 32:19, 33:6, 33:16, 34:1, 35:3, 35:9, 35:21, 36:14, 38:5, 38:18, 39:20, 40:17, 41:5, 43:18, 47:13, 48:8, 48:14, 48:16, 50:5, 55:10, 67:3, 67:6, 67:7, 68:15, 71:15, 74:22, 75:11, 81:6, 81:17, 81:18, 84:1, 84:17 lawful [1] - 30:12 laws [5] - 20:17, 29:20, 31:15, 31:16,

35:4 lawsuit [14] - 21:15, 21:19, 23:1, 24:1, 24:4, 25:12, 26:13, 26:16, 38:1, 38:7, 43:25, 64:7, 81:1, 82:9

Lawsuits [1] - 87:25 lawyers [2] - 25:14, 77:8 Lay [1] - 7:4 lay [2] - 7:13, 8:19 lead [1] - 24:12 learn [2] - 25:24, 26:2 learned [1] - 64:4

least [4] - 19:3, 21:12, 24:18, 40:2 leave [4] - 64:14, 64:16, 89:24, 92:11 leaving [1] - 64:2 ledger [5] - 72:13, 72:15, 73:6, 84:2, 84:13

ledgers [1] - 86:3 left [7] - 10:3, 61:25, 62:3, 62:8, 62:16, 64:18

legal [2] - 10:1, 67:11 legally [2] - 20:12, 20:16

legislation [1] - 13:23 leisurely [1] - 38:23 lengths [1] - 12:19 lesions [1] - 38:15 letter [7] - 57:8, 57:14, 57:21, 58:22, 59:1, 59:18, 59:20 letterhead [1] - 57:23 letters [3] - 89:16,

89:19, 90:6 licensed [2] - 29:1, 29:3 lieu [2] - 65:4 65:9

lieu [2] - 65:4, 65:9 light [1] - 64:14 likely [3] - 51:13,

52:18, 52:19 limb [4] - 26:11, 26:12, 26:15, 27:5 limit [1] - 87:4 limited [2] - 19:7, 61:9 **Lindsay** [1] - 54:16 line [9] - 11:24, 14:21, 14:23, 25:23, 43:1, 56:12, 80:4, 82:22, 87:9 LISA [3] - 1:20, 9:10, 93:4 **Lisa** [5] - 3:23, 8:25, 9:16, 63:6, 74:4 list [1] - 78:6 listed [5] - 6:6, 6:10, 8:9, 74:17, 80:25 litigated [1] - 5:25

6:14 living [1] - 89:10 LLP [1] - 1:21 location [1] - 66:19 logged [2] - 72:13,

72:15

litigation [2] - 5:12,

logistics [1] - 38:9 look [23] - 5:8, 18:13, 24:2, 26:20, 40:6, 40:13, 40:18, 47:18, 50:20, 53:3, 53:21, 54:2, 68:14, 69:1, 69:8, 69:20, 70:23, 78:15, 79:8, 79:25,

Look [1] - 69:8 looked [4] - 54:10, 55:22, 75:23, 86:4 looking [2] - 48:15, 48:17

84:10, 84:16, 84:19

loose [2] - 48:22, 51:8 lunch [3] - 89:20, 92:5, 92:9

luncheon [1] - 92:13

#### М

ma'am [17] - 13:22, 14:20, 16:23, 17:8, 19:21, 28:14, 28:20, 32:5, 32:19, 41:3, 56:11, 57:7, 63:18, 78:10, 80:15, 85:23, 89:15 machine [1] - 2:7 Madison [12] - 35:15, 35:22, 37:3, 46:9, 46:13, 47:7, 47:19, 48:9, 48:21, 49:1, 51:19, 52:21 magistrate [2] - 34:5, 38:25 magnificent [1] - 58:7 mail [6] - 26:23, 63:9, 63:18, 64:4, 64:23, 89:18 maims [1] - 32:21 maintain [2] - 19:23, 88:3 man [3] - 29:19, 63:24, 83:23 manner [1] - 32:15 March [8] - 1:6, 41:21, 43:20, 44:14, 45:24, 52:21, 54:21, 56:1 Maree [1] - 26:23 marked [2] - 4:6, 28:14 Marked [1] - 93:22 Markets [1] - 31:14 marks [1] - 54:7 Massachusetts [1] -13:23 materials [1] - 5:9 matter [3] - 89:23, 92:10. 94:4 Meadows [1] - 26:24 mean [10] - 14:5, 14:16, 20:17, 21:1, 22:10, 34:23, 59:4, 60:1, 66:21, 72:17 meanings [1] - 17:24 means [8] - 17:16, 17:17, 17:18, 45:2, 45:15, 47:16, 51:6, 68:3 meant [1] - 64:3 media [8] - 64:19, 65:11, 66:18, 66:22, 66:23, 70:16, 71:9,

medical [2] - 6:18, 6:25 meet [1] - 65:10 member [1] - 29:5 members [1] - 58:15 memorandum [1] -41:2 memory [3] - 42:2,

74:10

88:13, 88:14 mention [2] - 22:17, 83:13 mentions [1] - 90:24 merged [1] - 89:7 metropolitan [1] -48:10

MEYER [2] - 1:14, 3:10 Meyer [15] - 1:16

**Meyer** [15] - 1:16, 3:10, 67:3, 67:20,

70:2, 71:19, 74:22, 76:6, 77:12, 80:25, 81:25, 82:2, 82:4, 82:8, 82:20 Michael [1] - 26:23 Michelle [1] - 3:17 microphone [1] - 5:2 might [3] - 50:4, 77:22, 88:6 millions [1] - 90:6 mind [1] - 4:8 Mindy's [1] - 88:12 minimum [3] - 19:1, 19:2. 24:18 minute [4] - 4:19, 6:5, 65:20, 81:9 minutes [2] - 90:1, 90:3 misdemeanor [1] -

33:8 mission [2] - 17:11, 22:12 mistake [1] - 63:23 mistreatment [3] -38:6, 50:14, 54:6 money [34] - 62:23, 63:4, 65:3, 65:5,

65:9, 65:10, 65:12, 67:2, 67:8, 67:11, 68:10, 69:22, 69:23, 70:4, 70:11, 71:22, 75:4, 75:8, 76:10, 76:18, 78:19, 81:5, 82:2, 82:13, 83:9, 83:13, 84:6, 86:11, 87:6, 88:22, 88:24, 89:1, 89:16, 90:22 monies [1] - 80:16

morning [14] - 3:8, 3:12, 3:14, 3:16, 3:17, 3:19, 3:21, 3:23, 3:25, 4:3, 9:8, 9:9, 9:13, 9:14

months [1] - 66:7

**MORNING** [1] - 1:11 **most** [7] - 8:10, 14:18, 25:21, 27:17, 31:15, 51:13, 66:19

**motion** [1] - 5:14 **move** [3] - 4:9, 8:9, 69:21

MR [71] - 3:8, 3:19, 4:2, 4:17, 6:17, 6:21, 6:25, 7:6, 7:16, 7:19, 8:5, 8:25, 9:12, 10:23, 11:2, 11:4, 16:1, 16:16, 28:5,

28:9, 28:13, 34:21, 34:25, 42:5, 42:11, 47:4, 47:5, 53:15,

53:18, 59:22, 60:7, 60:8, 60:11, 60:12, 60:14, 60:17, 60:19, 60:24, 61:2, 61:5, 63:17, 65:17, 65:24, 67:17, 73:10, 73:14, 77:18, 77:24, 78:2, 78:5. 78:8. 78:9. 80:3, 80:8, 81:7, 81:10, 82:14, 82:18, 85:15, 85:22, 87:2, 87:5, 90:1, 90:3, 90:5, 90:17, 91:5, 91:12, 91:16, 91:18, 91:21 MS [16] - 3:10, 3:12, 3:14, 3:17, 3:21, 3:23, 3:25, 4:9, 4:19, 5:3, 5:19, 5:23, 6:4, 8:7, 8:20, 8:23 must [1] - 58:6

# Ν

mutilates [1] - 32:22

**N.W** [2] - 1:17, 1:21 name [3] - 4:14, 9:15, 70:14 named [1] - 63:9 Nassau [6] - 35:19, 35:24, 37:4, 44:20, 44:25, 45:23 native [3] - 14:18, 24:16, 24:20 nature [3] - 19:6, 21:11, 52:5 nearly [1] - 66:9 necessarily [6] -37:11, 38:14, 43:23, 47:1, 51:9, 88:20 necessary [3] - 6:8, 34:13, 34:14 need [9] - 7:24, 8:15, 11:10, 34:14, 36:1, 36:12, 38:8, 38:9, 39:17 needed [3] - 62:16, 62:18, 64:17 needs [1] - 59:6 neglect [2] - 32:6, 52:7 **neutering** [1] - 90:24 never [11] - 12:7, 25:8, 25:10, 38:4, 39:23, 40:14, 40:21, 59:20, 76:13, 78:1, 90:20 New [22] - 29:3, 29:5, 29:15, 29:18, 30:1, 30:22, 31:6, 31:13,

31:16, 35:7, 35:11, 35:15, 38:4, 38:18, 39:19, 46:17, 48:8, 51:7, 55:10, 56:23, 61:9 new [3] - 8:1, 15:24, 58:7 Next [2] - 80:22 next [13] - 24:21, 35:17, 45:18, 45:22, 47:18, 47:22, 49:20, 73:9, 79:17, 82:7, 82:23, 92:10, 92:11 Nicole [5] - 4:24, 4:25, 5:5, 5:6, 5:7 Nicole's [2] - 4:25, 6:18 night [1] - 4:20 nighttime [1] - 37:17 nine [3] - 50:20, 66:9, 81:5 nineteen [1] - 74:11 **none** [1] - 84:5 None [2] - 51:2, 79:22 nonjury [1] - 7:22 nonnative [1] - 23:13 normally [1] - 61:12 notation [1] - 53:9 noted [1] - 54:16 nothing [6] - 9:5, 18:16, 23:20, 54:10, 60:2, 76:1 **notified** [1] - 43:12 number [9] - 3:4, 57:17, 60:8, 61:18, 66:9, 66:12, 81:6, 83:6, 90:8 numbers [2] - 91:9, 91:17 NVO [2] - 45:15, 47:14 **NW** [1] - 2:3

# 0

o'clock [1] - 89:23 oath [3] - 11:18, 13:6, 42:22 object [8] - 42:5, 53:16, 60:18, 73:10, 77:18, 78:6, 80:3, 85:15 objection [6] - 4:18, 11:2, 28:8, 28:9, 91:11, 91:12 Objection [4] - 65:17, 81:7, 82:14, 87:2 objections [1] - 11:1 observation [3] -54:12, 55:12, 59:6 observe [5] - 36:24, 37:2, 40:15, 47:6, 47:10 observed [20] - 37:16, 38:6, 45:16, 47:16, 47:23, 48:1, 48:3, 48:4, 48:6, 49:6, 49:18, 49:19, 51:2, 52:3, 52:12, 52:15, 56:21, 58:25, 59:3 **obtain** [1] - 30:16 **obviously** [1] - 58:10 occasion [5] - 29:7, 31:22, 36:15, 37:2, 90:18 occasions [1] - 36:17 occur [1] - 25:19 occurred [4] - 49:3, 75:12, 83:17, 83:21 occurring [1] - 25:22 occurs [1] - 37:12 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:11 offer [2] - 28:6, 91:7 offered [6] - 7:9, 7:12, 63:15, 67:15, 91:7, 91:22 office [5] - 43:7, 57:24, 58:1, 58:4, 61:13 officer [5] - 34:1, 40:16, 45:15, 46:5, 47:13 officers [11] - 28:23, 29:15, 29:23, 29:24, 29:25, 30:25, 35:3. 35:21, 36:14, 41:5, 48:14 Officers [2] - 33:7, 33:14 officers' [2] - 33:17, 61:9 official [1] - 38:10 Official [2] - 2:2, 94:2 often [1] - 56:9 omission [1] - 32:6 once [1] - 47:6 Once [1] - 34:8 One [1] - 68:6 one [43] - 4:10, 4:21, 5:11, 7:6, 9:19, 11:24, 23:24, 25:8, 25:10, 28:18, 35:17, 36:2, 36:15, 40:6,

72:7, 73:5, 75:20, 84:9, 87:13, 88:18, 89:1, 89:23 one's [1] - 92:4 ones [1] - 26:18 open [1] - 38:15 operated [2] - 57:16, 89:3 operation [1] - 23:6 operations [1] - 25:21 opportunity [7] -36:23, 36:24, 37:6, 37:9, 39:4, 42:7, 47:10 **oppose** [1] - 24:10 opposed [2] - 11:13, 23:12 opposes [1] - 11:5 order [14] - 3:3, 12:9, 12:12, 12:16, 18:4, 36:1, 52:10, 55:18, 63:6, 70:21, 77:9, 78:12, 79:4, 86:8 orders [1] - 75:24 organization [2] -60:4, 72:21 organization's [2] -14:13, 71:7 organizational [1] -68:4 original [3] - 43:2, 91:9, 91:19 **Originally** [1] - 57:15 overdrives [1] - 32:20 overloads [1] - 32:20 overruled [2] - 65:21, 80:7 Overruled [1] - 78:7 own [5] - 22:11, 25:6, 35:4, 43:24, 74:1 Ρ

**p.m** [3] - 28:12, 91:15, 92:13 pace [1] - 38:23 padded [1] - 47:7 page [58] - 4:23, 11:23, 14:20, 14:22, 17:8, 17:9, 18:22, 19:13, 19:22, 21:22, 23:9, 24:21, 25:23, 26:20, 26:22, 28:18, 30:8, 42:13, 44:13, 45:14, 45:23, 46:1, 47:18, 47:22, 47:23, 48:25, 49:6, 49:20, 51:16, 52:2, 52:8, 52:20, 53:3, 53:21,

54:9, 56:11, 67:18, 67:23, 67:24, 68:6, 68:14, 69:1, 69:20, 73:5, 73:9, 74:11, 79:9, 79:10, 79:17, 80:1, 80:22, 80:23, 82:8, 82:23, 84:9, 85:5. 87:9 pages [2] - 39:11, 94:3 paid [15] - 65:23, 69:14, 69:23, 70:4, 70:12, 72:2, 72:4, 72:12, 72:20, 73:25, 75:5, 80:16, 82:12, 86:11, 86:25 pain [2] - 11:12, 32:7 **PALISOUL** [1] - 4:2 Palisoul [1] - 4:2 parade [3] - 46:2, 46:6, 46:8 paraded [1] - 46:12 paragraph [4] - 28:18, 30:8, 58:6 paraphrasing [1] -15:6 part [16] - 4:9, 4:11, 4:15, 7:13, 8:10, 14:18, 17:9, 27:4, 27:17, 31:15, 57:16, 59:14, 64:6, 66:19, 89:18, 90:19 participate [1] - 46:6 Participated [1] - 46:2 particular [2] - 4:10, 76:15 parties [1] - 7:17 parts [2] - 24:15, 60:13 passed [2] - 29:12, 30:3 **PAWS** [11] - 62:1, 62:4, 62:8, 62:11, 62:16, 64:2, 64:5, 64:10, 64:14, 64:16, 64:18 pay [3] - 63:2, 63:3, 70:17 paying [2] - 47:2, 83:23 payment [7] - 70:11, 71:18, 72:21, 80:25, 81:3, 81:15, 81:24 Payments [1] - 77:7 payments [15] - 61:21, 71:15, 71:16, 71:19, 74:21, 75:10, 75:13,

77:6, 77:10, 78:23,

79:5, 79:14, 80:21,

83:16

**PDF** [1] - 17:9

40:9, 45:18, 45:19,

45:22, 47:18, 48:25,

49:3, 51:21, 51:22,

51:24, 53:4, 54:21,

54:25, 56:1, 60:25,

62:11, 66:19, 67:18,

68:16, 68:22, 70:24,

Peace [1] - 33:14 peace [3] - 29:15, 29:25, 61:9 pegged [1] - 6:25 pending [3] - 13:23, 24:4, 38:1 Pennsylvania [1] -1:21 people [8] - 13:19, 27:3, 38:25, 40:5, 40:12, 66:19, 90:7 people's [1] - 43:24 perceived [1] - 65:22 percentage [1] - 70:16 perform [4] - 11:13, 13:20, 16:5, 25:19 **Performing** [1] - 62:4 **performing** [2] - 16:7, 16:10 performs [1] - 16:3 **period** [4] - 51:13, 55:9, 61:15, 75:14 periods [2] - 19:7, 21:1 permit [1] - 19:3 permitted [1] - 32:7 person [4] - 32:20, 50:10, 52:2, 57:19 personally [1] - 56:21 pet [2] - 19:13, 19:14 Pet [1] - 56:17 Petsal [1] - 4:16 **PETTEWAY** [2] - 1:20, 3:21 Petteway [1] - 3:22 **phone** [9] - 66:20, 71:25, 72:2, 72:12, 72:18, 72:19, 72:20, 73:18, 73:23 physical [2] - 23:23, 32:7 piece [1] - 13:23 place [2] - 18:6, 41:9 plaintiff [5] - 4:6, 43:13, 62:5, 64:7, 89:5 Plaintiff [2] - 1:5, 1:14 plaintiffs [14] - 3:9, 3:10, 3:13, 3:15, 3:18, 4:5, 8:9, 40:7, 62:11, 62:23, 66:1, 68:4, 69:12, 77:20 **Plaintiffs** [1] - 4:9 Plaintiffs' [3] - 4:23, 8:10, 26:20 plaintiffs'[1] - 4:7 **plan** [1] - 65:25 played [1] - 61:4 **plus** [1] - 68:7 point [12] - 4:7, 5:20,

6:15, 7:7, 13:22, 22:10, 55:9, 62:12, 63:2, 66:2, 77:22, 89:2 police [2] - 29:18, 29:24 policies [7] - 16:18, 16:21, 21:18, 21:20, 22:11, 22:22, 24:12 policy [34] - 15:2, 16:24, 17:10, 17:20, 17:25, 18:6, 18:9, 18:13, 18:14, 18:16, 18:23, 19:5, 19:13, 19:22, 20:2, 20:4, 20:11, 20:15, 20:19, 20:23, 21:10, 21:11, 21:12, 22:1, 22:19, 22:24, 23:2, 23:10, 24:2, 24:6, 28:6, 41:19, 41:21, 44:6 populations [4] - 14:8, 14:24, 15:8, 15:9 portion [1] - 4:14 portions [2] - 8:13, 8:17 position [25] - 11:10, 11:24, 12:3, 12:6, 13:4, 13:5, 14:8, 14:13, 14:19, 14:21, 14:23, 15:7, 16:24, 18:11, 19:7, 19:10, 21:23, 23:5, 24:1, 24:22, 28:7, 32:10, 32:14, 33:3, 61:25 possible [8] - 19:23, 24:10, 24:14, 24:15, 24:20, 52:15, 61:10, 61:11 Powers [1] - 33:13 powers [2] - 33:17, 61:9 **pre** [2] - 5:24, 6:11 pre-Act [2] - 5:24, 6:11 prearranged [3] -37:5, 37:12, 38:8 preceding [2] - 46:11, 79:10 predicate [1] - 42:14 prejudicial [1] - 5:24 prepared [3] - 5:12, 5:13, 6:13 presence [1] - 34:22 present [2] - 54:17,

82:25

17:13

presented [1] - 6:12

presided [1] - 42:24

president [2] - 63:12,

preservation [1] -

89:1 presumption [1] - 6:7 prevention [1] - 27:6 PREVENTION [1] - 1:3 **Prevention** [4] - 3:5, 9:20, 10:5, 57:22 previous [1] - 49:24 primarily [1] - 26:1 print [1] - 23:7 probable [5] - 34:5, 36:12, 39:5, 39:15, 39:19 problems [1] - 56:17 **proceed** [1] - 8:24 Proceed [1] - 11:3 **proceeding** [1] - 7:22 Proceedings [1] - 2:7 proceedings [1] - 94:4 process [1] - 84:1 produce [5] - 15:24, 55:18, 69:8, 76:25, 77:1 produced [21] - 2:7, 60:4. 60:6. 60:9. 67:19, 73:20, 76:3, 76:24, 76:25, 77:3, 77:5, 77:11, 77:12, 77:17, 78:1, 78:11, 84:5, 84:7, 84:23, 85:8, 85:23 producing [1] - 85:10 production [4] -10:12, 85:6, 85:13, 85:18 professional [1] - 58:8 program [2] - 59:11, 89:18 prohibiting [2] - 12:9, 12:12 prohibition [1] - 33:1 Project [6] - 69:24, 70:1, 70:9, 71:6, 71:18, 83:4 **projects** [8] - 87:10, 87:15, 87:17, 87:18, 87:21, 87:24, 88:1, 88:2 promptly [2] - 89:24, 89:25 propagation [2] -14:11, 15:23

67:7, 88:21 provided [16] - 24:19, 31:3, 31:6, 40:3, 61:16, 67:2, 68:10, 69:22, 70:24, 71:5, 76:10, 76:18, 77:20, 79:13, 79:22, 80:10 **provides** [2] - 6:4, 13:10 providing [3] - 62:12, 65:9, 65:10 provision [3] - 34:1, 36:10, 36:11 provisions [1] - 22:15 public [5] - 23:25, 46:20, 48:19, 56:8, 71:9 **pull** [1] - 6:5 Pull [1] - 27:1 punishable [1] - 33:8 purpose [2] - 14:14, 15:19 purposes [7] - 14:12, 15:3, 19:8, 31:12, 50:1, 70:14, 73:12 pursuant [1] - 10:24 pursue [1] - 39:22 pursuing [1] - 82:25 put [7] - 21:9, 23:2, 47:7, 67:11, 74:1, 77:15, 79:5

#### Q

qualification [1] - 13:7 qualify [2] - 11:10, 13:2 questioning [2] -77:19, 80:4 questions [3] - 16:18, 42:20, 91:5 quit [1] - 83:23 quote [3] - 27:2, 27:3, 27:6 Quote [1] - 27:3

# R

raise [1] - 9:1 raises [1] - 89:16 Ranch [3] - 88:11, 88:25, 89:10 range [3] - 84:10, 84:17, 84:24 rank [2] - 4:12, 5:16 rated [1] - 50:21 rating [1] - 50:23 re [6] - 15:4, 15:9,

15:25, 16:8, 16:11, 24:15 re-introduce [1] -24:15 re-introduced [5] -15:4, 15:9, 15:25, 16:8, 16:11 read [16] - 15:11, 18:11, 19:25, 20:13, 20:23, 23:3, 26:7, 27:6, 39:13, 43:16, 56:19, 58:12, 60:25, 71:9, 80:17, 87:16 reading [1] - 82:12 really [2] - 48:19, 66:15 reason [10] - 15:25, 38:7, 41:18, 46:5, 58:3, 58:23, 58:24, 59:2, 59:23, 59:25 rebuttal [1] - 4:13 receipts [4] - 76:21, 77:1, 77:25, 78:15 receive [1] - 62:23 received [2] - 86:14, 86:17 recent [1] - 58:9 recess [1] - 92:13 recognize [7] - 16:24, 23:9, 28:15, 63:18, 73:5, 74:12, 78:10 recognizes [1] - 5:15 recollection [6] -25:11, 59:14, 60:19, 60:21, 61:6, 88:16 record [19] - 3:7, 4:20, 5:15, 6:25, 7:3, 7:8, 7:12, 7:13, 8:2, 8:8, 8:13, 8:16, 9:15, 43:2, 45:15, 60:7, 78:5, 94:4 records [7] - 5:7, 5:10, 6:18, 6:22, 39:9, 39:11, 41:16 Red [2] - 35:10, 35:17 redacted [2] - 73:6, 74:12 redactions [1] - 67:18 reduce [1] - 20:12 reducing [1] - 21:4 refer [22] - 10:4, 19:5, 21:22, 25:23, 28:4, 28:14, 28:18, 30:20, 32:3, 32:4, 32:19, 33:6, 33:13, 33:25, 40:25, 42:12, 44:13, 56:11, 57:7, 67:18, 85:5, 87:9 reference [26] - 17:20, 18:23, 20:19, 21:5,

proper [1] - 40:3

48:17

43:12

properly [2] - 45:3,

prospect [1] - 26:16

prospective [1] -

protect [1] - 22:15

provide [6] - 4:15,

7:25, 65:3, 65:5,

51:17 22:5, 22:8, 23:12, Rider [57] - 25:15, **sanctions** [1] - 32:23 seize [1] - 34:12 reported [3] - 2:7, 25:2, 63:21, 67:24, 26:1, 40:5, 61:16, sanctuaries [5] - 88:3, send [4] - 56:10, 67:9, 67:25, 68:16, 69:9, 49:16, 76:10 61:21, 61:25, 62:8, 75:17, 89:19 88:5, 88:7, 88:10, 72:22, 73:2, 73:15, reporter [1] - 89:22 62:12, 62:17, 63:2, 88:21 sending [1] - 89:16 73:22, 74:7, 74:15, 63:19, 63:21, 64:1, Reporter [3] - 2:2, 2:2, sanctuary [5] - 87:7, senior [1] - 58:15 74:19, 79:20, 80:16, 94:2 65:4 66:18 67:2 88:4, 88:6, 88:17, sense [2] - 18:1, 20:25 82:8, 82:9, 82:19, 67:8, 68:11, 68:17, reports [9] - 41:3, 88:22 sent [9] - 57:22, 64:4, 68:22, 69:11, 69:22, 83:3 41:6, 41:10, 41:11, Sanctuary [1] - 88:12 75:7, 75:20, 76:6, referenced [1] - 31:18 70:4, 70:12, 71:13, 41:13, 43:18, 44:6, Sanerib [1] - 3:12 76:21, 78:19, 84:1, 71:17, 72:16, 72:22, referred [1] - 59:11 55:13, 55:16 **SANERIB** [2] - 1:15, 73:2, 74:7, 74:19, refers [3] - 18:7, 21:4, represent [1] - 9:25 sentence [5] - 17:14, 74:23, 75:2, 75:5, 28:19 representative [2] sanitary [2] - 40:3, 19:13, 20:11, 32:20, 75:7, 75:12, 75:14, reflected [4] - 58:24, 10:7, 10:20 45:12 46:11 75:17, 76:10, 76:13, 72:21, 73:1, 74:22 representing [1] satisfies [1] - 33:1 separately [1] - 81:13 76:18, 77:6, 77:10, reflecting [1] - 82:1 6:11 satisfy [2] - 32:11, **September** [2] - 26:22, 78:15, 78:18, 78:23, reflection [1] - 59:3 request [3] - 10:23, 32:16 69:2 79:15, 80:16, 81:5, refresh [6] - 59:14, 57:5, 85:23 **save** [1] - 44:2 **series** [3] - 16:17, 81:16, 81:24, 82:9, 60:19, 60:20, 61:6, requested [2] - 56:5, 52:20, 86:4 **saved** [1] - 44:5 88:14, 88:16 82:13, 83:10, 83:14, 56:7 saving [5] - 42:4, 43:6, serious [1] - 27:4 86:10 regard [3] - 8:8, 18:25, requests [4] - 72:24, 43:9, 43:20, 44:2 **seriously** [1] - 26:17 **Rider's** [7] - 66:21, 60:17 85:7, 85:16, 85:19 saw [3] - 49:3, 49:9, serves [1] - 15:18 71:9, 72:12, 73:18, regarding [8] - 14:23, require [2] - 7:24, 51:22 Service [1] - 27:25 73:23, 73:25, 79:5 30:23, 81:1, 87:11, 78:21 Sawolski [1] - 4:17 session [2] - 3:3, 4:5 **right-hand** [1] - 84:19 87:15, 87:19, 87:21, required [3] - 55:17, scheduling [1] - 70:16 **SESSION** [1] - 1:11 Ringling [42] - 12:10, 87:24 76:19, 77:3 scroll [1] - 78:4 set [3] - 30:5, 33:19, 12:12, 12:16, 14:22, Regardless [1] - 77:8 research [3] - 14:9, sealed [2] - 89:23, 38:22 15:13, 16:13, 16:15, regional [1] - 57:24 14:24, 15:7 92:10 sets [2] - 18:24, 30:9 23:6, 25:21, 25:22, reimburse [1] - 71:19 resembling [1] - 24:20 search [3] - 36:9, **settlement** [5] - 64:5, 26:9, 27:4, 27:12, reimbursed [1] - 63:3 reservation [2] -39:15, 55:16 64:6, 64:9, 64:15, 27:16, 27:19, 27:22, relating [1] - 29:20 58:17, 58:19 season [1] - 19:24 64:16 32:15, 35:5, 35:14, relation [2] - 43:5, resource [2] - 57:19, seated [2] - 3:2, 9:7 seven [3] - 17:8, 35:22, 36:14, 38:4, 43:9 78:24 Section [5] - 32:3, 28:18, 46:1 38:9, 38:10, 38:18, relevance [3] - 5:21, resources [1] - 82:25 32:5, 33:6, 33:13, seventeen [1] - 52:3 39:10, 45:20, 46:19, 77:21, 77:22 respect [8] - 13:16, 33:25 Several [1] - 39:11 48:22, 50:8, 52:25, relevant [10] - 5:22, 17:11, 17:25, 31:17, section [3] - 32:4, Shaner [1] - 92:10 54:22, 55:11, 56:22, 5:23, 6:16, 7:23, 38:18, 44:20, 51:24, 32:19, 33:8 **share** [3] - 68:10, 58:6, 64:5, 81:1, 42:4, 43:6, 43:10, 55:11 secure [3] - 48:6. 68:24 82:9, 87:11, 87:19, 43:22, 43:25, 77:25 response [5] - 41:12, 49:13. 54:13 shared [9] - 67:25, 90:25 relied [1] - 5:9 70:21, 75:23, 85:6, secured [2] - 48:10, 68:3, 68:6, 68:20, Ringling's [1] - 27:25 rely [1] - 8:4 48:15 69:3, 69:6, 69:9, road [4] - 19:24, 21:3, responsibility [2] relying [1] - 6:13 **securely** [4] - 51:3, 69:12 67:10, 71:12 remain [1] - 21:21 48:19, 48:20 51:6, 51:10, 51:14 **shelter** [1] - 40:4 role [1] - 57:18 Remain [1] - 3:2 responsive [4] security [1] - 48:11 shops [1] - 56:17 Room [1] - 2:3 77:11, 77:14, 77:20, remains [3] - 13:8, see [31] - 17:14, 21:24, **short** [1] - 31:10 round [1] - 82:7 14:19, 23:5 85:17 28:19, 32:8, 37:6, **shorthand** [1] - 2:7 route [1] - 46:12 restraining [1] - 12:13 remember [3] - 42:3, 37:9, 37:13, 37:18, **shortly** [2] - 62:5, 64:4 routine [3] - 44:17, restricted [1] - 29:20 60:6, 86:12 38:13, 38:14, 40:18, **show** [19] - 6:12, 49:1 restrictions [1] - 35:9 removing [2] - 18:1, 41:3, 42:7, 44:14, 11:23, 14:20, 23:7, Routine [1] - 45:2 19:18 result [2] - 27:22, 38:3 45:3, 46:3, 47:8, 34:5, 34:17, 34:20, rows [1] - 5:11 **Removing** [1] - 18:3 resulted [2] - 27:15, 48:15, 48:17, 51:17, 34:21, 35:7, 39:1, **RPR** [1] - 2:2 28:1 renew [1] - 57:18 52:22, 53:4, 59:14, 42:6, 42:8, 43:2, Rule [2] - 10:24, 11:21 repeat [1] - 18:15 resume [1] - 89:25 60:2, 67:25, 68:17, 54:17, 60:13, 63:14, ruled [1] - 8:12 Rephrase [1] - 81:9 retention [1] - 41:19 73:15, 80:18, 83:1, 67:14, 73:4, 78:3 ruling [3] - 8:13, rephrase [1] - 82:17 retrieved [1] - 17:17 85:8, 85:11 **shown** [1] - 68:12 77:20, 80:5 return [2] - 14:12, 18:4 seeing [1] - 60:22 report [13] - 44:14, shows [2] - 23:10, seek [1] - 30:16 47:6, 49:22, 52:8, returned [4] - 14:9, 24:2 S 52:20, 52:21, 54:21, seeking [1] - 65:3 14:15, 14:16, 24:7 sick [4] - 50:25, 51:25, 54:22, 73:7, 78:18, returns [1] - 76:14 seeks [3] - 12:9, 52:6, 52:15 78:19, 78:21, 84:14 12:12, 12:16 revealed [1] - 84:6 signature [1] - 59:20 safety [2] - 48:19, 51:7 Report [2] - 50:4, sees [2] - 13:12, 13:15 signed [2] - 59:18, review [1] - 90:18

59:20 signs [1] - 45:15 simply [2] - 6:12, 7:20 **Simpson** [3] - 3:19, 6:15, 93:5 **SIMPSON** [53] - 1:19, 3:19, 4:17, 6:17, 6:21, 6:25, 7:6, 7:16, 7:19, 8:5, 8:25, 9:12, 10:23, 11:4, 16:1, 16:16, 28:5, 28:13, 34:21, 34:25, 42:11, 47:4, 47:5, 53:18, 59:22, 60:8, 60:11, 60:12, 60:19, 60:24, 61:2, 61:5, 63:17, 65:24, 67:17, 73:14, 77:24, 78:2, 78:8, 78:9, 80:8, 81:10, 82:18, 85:22, 87:5, 90:1, 90:3, 90:5, 90:17, 91:5, 91:16, 91:18, 91:21 single [1] - 5:11 **SINNOTT** [1] - 3:17 Sinnott [1] - 3:18 **situation** [3] - 24:14, 47:22, 56:10 **situations** [1] - 15:8 **six** [3] - 41:19, 49:3, 83:6 six-year [1] - 41:19 sleep [1] - 58:11 social [1] - 14:17 **SOCIETY** [1] - 1:3 Society [6] - 3:4, 9:19, 10:5, 57:22, 62:4, 89:7 **solemnly** [1] - 9:3 solicitations [1] -90:18 solicits [1] - 90:22 solid [1] - 25:22 someone [2] - 34:9, 53:11 **Sometime** [1] - 43:14 sores [1] - 38:15 sorry [5] - 34:23, 58:18, 59:18, 59:24, 60:22 **Sorry** [1] - 5:3 sort [1] - 51:21 sounded [1] - 6:16 source [1] - 62:16 sources [1] - 83:1 spaying [1] - 90:24 Special [1] - 69:2 special [5] - 10:1, 28:19, 30:10, 69:3, 69:14

**specialized** [1] - 29:24 species [6] - 14:11, 15:4, 17:13, 21:24, 24:13, 24:22 Species [5] - 17:24, 21:7, 22:8, 23:17, 25:3 specific [1] - 50:10 specifically [6] -53:12, 54:9, 73:24, 74:8, 90:21, 91:2 speculation [1] spent [4] - 76:21, 78:19, 81:21, 84:6 **sponsors** [1] - 31:3 Square [12] - 35:15, 35:22, 37:3, 46:9, 46:13, 47:7, 47:19, 48:9, 48:21, 49:1, 51:19, 52:21 staff [3] - 52:12, 58:10, 58:15 stand [2] - 22:11, 53:17 standard [3] - 17:21, 18:19, 39:16 standards [7] - 18:24, 18:25, 19:1, 19:2, 19:3, 24:19 standing [1] - 29:5 Star [1] - 26:25 start [6] - 43:6, 43:9, 43:20, 44:2, 66:5, 87:17 started [3] - 42:4, 63:2, 63:4 starts [1] - 89:24 state [9] - 5:7, 8:7, 9:15, 29:16, 29:25, 30:22, 40:17, 61:9, 64:25 State [1] - 31:13 statement [7] - 19:16, 22:1, 22:3, 27:2, 39:25, 81:23, 86:25 statements [4] -16:25, 18:12, 19:10, 28:7 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:12 States [4] - 27:12, 89:7, 89:17, 90:23 states [12] - 4:25, 20:15, 21:23, 32:20, 33:13, 34:1, 46:1, 52:22, 58:6, 64:1,

71:5, 85:10

29:25

stature [2] - 29:19,

statute [3] - 32:1,

33:1, 33:4 **statutory** [3] - 6:7, 28:22, 33:16 stead [1] - 44:23 Step [1] - 5:2 stepped [1] - 63:1 still [4] - 22:24, 23:5, 25:2, 40:14 Stop [1] - 80:25 stopped [1] - 86:1 **STRAUSS** [1] - 3:25 Strauss [2] - 3:25, 57:9 **Street** [1] - 47:20 streets [2] - 46:17, 56:23 **stress** [3] - 20:13, 21:4, 23:23 stresses [1] - 21:2 **strive** [1] - 20:12 subject [3] - 24:4, 32:22, 63:19 Subsequently [1] -57:17 subsequently [1] -82:6 **sued** [1] - 26:9 **suffering** [1] - 32:7 suggestion [1] - 5:10 suing [4] - 24:5, 26:10, 26:17, 27:3 suit [2] - 64:2, 64:20 Suite [1] - 1:17 **SULLIVAN** [4] - 1:11, 2:2, 94:2, 94:5 Sullivan's [3] - 55:18, 70:21, 86:8 **summary** [1] - 5:14 summonses [1] -30:14 supervised [2] -10:12, 10:15 **supervisor** [1] - 55:14 supplemental [3] -79:11. 91:9. 91:19 support [8] - 8:3. 24:17, 62:13, 62:17, 62:18, 64:10, 64:11, 64:17 **supporting** [1] - 62:22 **supports** [2] - 14:4, 22:13 supposed [3] - 16:11, 50:10, 66:15 surprised [1] - 78:14 suspected [1] - 34:9 suspended [1] - 41:21 Sustained [1] - 82:16 swear [1] - 9:3

Т Tab [1] - 78:3 TANYA [1] - 1:15 Tanya [1] - 3:12 Tashi [1] - 26:24 tax [1] - 76:14 tech [1] - 3:18 television [1] - 59:11 ten [5] - 17:9, 17:10, 47:23, 50:25 Tennessee [3] - 88:7, 88:18, 88:23 terms [6] - 19:6, 23:5, 48:15, 69:7, 72:23, 77:13 testified [8] - 5:4, 5:6, 40:23, 41:24, 42:17, 75:2, 77:4, 86:22 testify [1] - 6:23 testifying [1] - 42:3 testimony [5] - 9:3, 40:16, 40:21, 42:6, 92:7 tethered [1] - 47:10 THE [77] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:11, 3:16, 4:4, 4:13, 4:18, 5:2, 5:17, 5:21, 6:3, 6:15, 6:20, 6:23, 7:2, 7:11, 7:17, 7:22, 8:6, 8:12, 8:22, 8:24, 9:6, 9:8, 9:9, 9:10, 10:25, 11:3, 15:22, 15:23, 16:14, 16:15, 28:8, 28:10, 34:20, 34:22, 42:7, 42:10, 47:3, 53:17, 59:18, 59:19, 60:4, 60:6, 60:10, 60:15, 60:20, 60:25, 61:3, 63:16, 65:19, 65:20, 65:22, 67:16, 73:12, 78:7, 80:7, 81:8, 81:9, 82:16, 85:20, 87:3, 89:20, 90:2, 90:4, 90:14, 90:15, 91:11, 91:13, 91:17, 91:20, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:5, 92:8, 92:9 then-current [1] -16:18 theory [1] - 66:14 therefore [1] - 64:17 They've [5] - 37:2, 37:6, 37:9, 37:20, 44:10

they've [6] - 7:12,

40:18, 44:9

36:17, 36:23, 39:9,

thirteen [1] - 49:6 thousand [7] - 33:10, 39:11, 66:3, 67:5, 81:3, 81:6, 83:6 thousands [1] - 39:9 threatened [1] - 18:4 three [4] - 18:23, 19:13, 68:4, 90:10 throughout [2] - 52:9, 89:17 ticket [1] - 48:22 tickets [1] - 30:14 title [1] - 57:15 **TO** [1] - 1:3 today [8] - 14:17, 20:4, 22:3. 22:22. 24:14. 24:25, 64:21, 79:18 **Tom** [48] - 25:15, 26:1, 40:5, 61:16, 61:21, 61:25, 63:2, 63:19, 64:6, 68:11, 68:17, 68:22, 69:11, 70:4, 70:12, 70:15, 71:13, 71:16, 71:19, 72:12, 72:16, 72:22, 73:2, 73:18, 73:22, 74:7, 74:9, 74:19, 74:23, 76:10, 76:13, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 78:18, 78:23, 79:5, 79:14, 80:16, 81:16, 81:24, 82:9, 82:13, 83:10, 83:13, 86:10 Tom's [1] - 70:18 took [5] - 26:16, 41:9, 71:20, 72:8, 78:22 top [6] - 5:13, 49:21, 53:21, 69:8, 79:9, 87:14 torture [3] - 32:5, 32:11, 32:16 tortures [1] - 32:21 touch [1] - 40:17 tour[1] - 74:10 touring [1] - 64:19 tours [1] - 70:16 towards [3] - 48:20, 70:18, 81:4 track [1] - 74:9 tracked [1] - 7:20 tracks [1] - 33:19 traffic [1] - 22:13 train [1] - 11:12 trained [2] - 15:24, 20:8 trainer [1] - 63:22 training [5] - 25:19, 31:3, 37:12, 59:4, 59:5 TRANSCRIPT [1] -

**SWORN** [1] - 9:10

1:11 transcript [3] - 2:7, 42:12, 94:3 transcription [1] - 2:7 transfer [2] - 68:17, 69:11 transport [1] - 57:19 traveler's [1] - 72:6 travelers [1] - 72:10 traveling [4] - 11:11, 21:3, 23:10, 24:2 Travers [4] - 57:9, 57:12, 57:18, 59:19 treat [1] - 10:24 treated [2] - 27:25, 48:17 treatment [1] - 78:25 **trial** [2] - 64:21, 89:25 TRIAL [1] - 1:11 tricks [1] - 20:9 tried [2] - 8:9, 39:23 trigger [1] - 6:17 **trip** [1] - 58:19 trooper [1] - 29:25 true [77] - 11:5, 11:7, 11:8, 11:18, 11:19, 12:2, 12:25, 13:9, 13:11, 13:17, 13:18, 14:2, 14:3, 14:10, 17:22, 18:2, 18:20, 19:19, 19:20, 20:16, 21:13, 21:14, 22:9, 22:10, 22:25, 23:21, 23:22, 25:4, 25:10, 25:15, 25:16, 25:17, 28:2, 30:12, 33:7, 33:20, 36:13, 39:11, 40:14, 45:9, 45:13, 47:11, 50:11, 51:8, 51:9, 53:1, 54:19, 54:20, 56:21, 57:25, 61:15, 61:19, 63:1, 66:14, 66:18, 68:11, 71:3, 71:17, 72:13, 72:14, 72:22, 73:3, 74:7, 75:18, 76:1, 78:17, 80:16, 84:5, 84:20, 86:7, 87:1, 87:6, 89:15, 89:16, 90:6, 90:9, 90:16 truth [3] - 9:4, 9:5 trying [1] - 89:21 Tuesday [1] - 1:6 tunnel [1] - 37:17 turn [5] - 19:21, 23:8, 45:14, 45:18, 70:4 turned [3] - 41:11, 76:1, 77:11 turnpike [1] - 44:23 twelve [2] - 19:4, 51:3

two [12] - 17:24, 23:9, 36:2, 36:7, 43:19, 44:13, 65:25, 66:7, 67:23, 68:6, 76:14, 90:1 **type** [1] - 54:3

#### U

**U.S**[1] - 2:3

Under [3] - 12:21,

under [18] - 11:17,

13:6, 21:7, 22:12,

23:4, 23:17, 25:3,

29:15, 30:1, 30:22,

30:22, 35:9

31:6, 33:8, 39:19, 40:17, 42:22, 44:5, 48:8, 53:3 understood [1] - 71:8 unfounded [1] - 54:19 Union [5] - 67:9, 68:7, 68:8, 68:11, 68:17 Unit [4] - 35:10, 45:20, 52:25 United [4] - 27:11, 89:7, 89:17, 90:23 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:12 unjustifiable [1] - 32:6 unjustifiably [1] -32:21 Unless [1] - 7:17 unless [4] - 14:9, 14:14, 20:9, 24:7 unrest [1] - 14:17 **Up** [1] - 75:16 **up** [16] - 7:5, 28:25, 30:5, 34:17, 34:20. 34:21, 38:22, 39:1, 40:17, 55:6, 55:16, 62:11, 72:10, 76:1, 77:13, 89:21 urban [1] - 48:10 **USDA** [2] - 27:15, 27:22 useful [1] - 14:14 uses [2] - 18:16, 19:18 utilizing [1] - 4:8

#### V

vacate [1] - 7:3 value [5] - 13:10, 14:9, 14:22, 14:24, 15:7 various [4] - 27:20, 32:22, 37:3, 80:12 **venues** [1] - 37:3 verb [1] - 19:18

verify [1] - 60:1 version [1] - 74:12 versus [1] - 3:5 veterinarian [5] - 19:8, 52:12, 52:16, 54:17, 59:5 via [1] - 68:7 video [1] - 59:15 Video [1] - 61:4 view [5] - 13:9, 32:25, 46:20, 77:8, 78:1 VIII [1] - 28:19 violates [2] - 33:1, 33:3 violation [4] - 38:4, 38:18, 49:16, 55:10 violations [7] - 27:20, 45:16, 47:16, 47:23, 49:18, 49:19, 52:3 vis [2] - 67:9 vis-a-vis [1] - 67:9

verified [2] - 10:17,

93:4

66:1

13:10

78:15

24:11

Welfare [2] - 62:4,

western [1] - 57:23

Western [5] - 67:9,

whatsoever [1] -

whereby [1] - 32:6

wild [23] - 13:24,

whole [3] - 9:5, 18:14,

14:10, 14:12, 14:15,

14:16, 15:4, 15:9,

15:25, 16:9, 16:11,

18:1, 18:3, 18:5,

17:13, 17:17, 17:18,

19:14, 19:19, 19:23,

23:13, 23:18, 24:8,

wildlife [1] - 21:24

Wildlife [7] - 27:25,

69:23, 70:1, 70:9,

71:6, 71:18, 83:4

Williams [1] - 40:23

Winders [1] - 3:15

68:7, 68:8, 68:11,

71:2

# W

walk [2] - 46:17, 46:22

visit [2] - 58:9, 58:14

visibly [2] - 45:8,

45:10

WINDERS [11] - 1:15, walked [1] - 48:21 3:14, 4:9, 4:19, 5:3, **walking** [1] - 46:8 5:19, 5:23, 6:4, 8:7, **walks** [3] - 36:25, 8:20, 8:23 37:14, 37:20 wire [2] - 68:17, 69:11 wandering [1] - 51:8 wants [1] - 90:25 Wireless [1] - 73:16 **WAP** [5] - 70:7, 70:13, wish [1] - 8:18 witness [10] - 4:14, 71:16, 75:4, 75:7 4:15, 5:4, 10:10, warrant [10] - 34:2, 10:24, 11:21, 39:4, 34:5, 34:8, 36:5, 40:15, 42:17, 91:6 36:9, 36:10, 39:1, 39:3, 39:15, 39:19 Witness [1] - 9:1 warrants [2] - 30:16, WITNESS [13] - 9:6, 9:9, 9:10, 15:23, 30:18 16:15, 42:10, 59:19, Washington [4] - 1:6, 60:6, 65:19, 65:22, 1:18, 1:22, 2:4 81:8, 90:15, 92:8 water [1] - 40:3 **WITNESSES** [1] - 93:3 watered [1] - 45:3 witnesses [2] - 6:23, ways [3] - 23:22, 26:5 23:23, 36:7 website [6] - 22:20, word [3] - 17:16, 17:23, 18:17 23:3, 23:7, 23:8, words [2] - 35:13, 24:2, 24:21 64:23 weeks [1] - 90:10 world [2] - 23:3, 24:15 weight [1] - 8:16 wounds [2] - 38:15, Weisberg [13] - 8:25, 9:16, 11:5, 11:14, write [2] - 41:6, 55:13 22:19, 27:3, 28:4, writing [1] - 63:9 35:1, 56:3, 61:15,

63:6, 74:4, 77:24 written [4] - 16:21, WEISBERG [2] - 9:10, 57:14, 71:2, 86:10 wrote [3] - 49:11, welfare [3] - 17:21, 59:1, 78:18 18:8, 18:19

## Υ

year [13] - 5:22, 33:9, 35:11, 35:13, 35:14, 35:17, 41:19, 46:15, 46:16, 46:18, 55:9, 75:8, 90:7 years [9] - 21:15, 21:19, 21:20, 43:19, 55:5, 57:17, 66:9, 75:15, 76:14 yesterday [7] - 5:10, 8:9, 41:1, 57:8, 60:24, 63:15, 67:15 yesterday's [1] - 4:5 York [22] - 29:3, 29:5, 29:16, 29:18, 30:1, 30:22, 31:7, 31:13, 31:16, 35:7, 35:11, 35:15, 38:4, 38:18, 39:19, 46:17, 48:8, 51:7, 55:10, 56:23, 61:9 yourself [2] - 3:7, 56:4

## Ζ

**zoo** [8] - 17:10, 17:20, 17:25, 18:6, 18:13, 18:14, 18:16, 18:23 Zoo [1] - 18:24 zoo's [1] - 17:10 **zoom** [1] - 57:21 **zoos** [5] - 12:24, 14:1, 14:4, 14:6, 24:18