UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS, et al.,)))
Plaintiffs,) Case No.: 03-2006 (EGS/JMF)
v.)
FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,	,
Defendant.	<i>)</i>)

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR ENTITLEMENT TO ATTORNEYS' FEES

EXHIBIT 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO

ANIMALS,

. CA No. 03-2006

Plaintiff,

v.

. Washington, D.C.

June 11, 2008

FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

10:08 a.m.

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE EMMET G. SULLIVAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

KATHERINE A. MEYER, ESQ.

TANYA SANERIB, ESQ.

Meyer Glitzenstein & Crystal 1601 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20009

202-364-4092

For the Defendant:

LISA JOINER, ESQ.

GEORGE A. GASPER, ESQ.

JOHN SIMPSON, ESQ.

Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202-662-4504

Court Reporter:

JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR

Official Court Reporter U.S. Courthouse, Room 6820 333 Constitution Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20001

202-354-3187

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

MS. JOINER: We'd have to look to see your specific point, but it goes back to the issue of are we having a jury trial or not.

THE COURT: I thought that was the first question out of the box at the last hearing, does anyone maintain that there is a reason for a jury trial, and I thought that everyone said this was going to be a nonjury trial. I don't recall that anyone said we maintain that every issue in this case should be tried before a jury.

MS. JOINER: Well, what we said was that the issue comes down to the relief that plaintiffs are seeking for forfeiture, which is still in the complaint and it's still in the supplemental complaint, and that request for relief in a private cause of action under the Endangered Species Act, it's our position that that is not an appropriate remedy. Only the government can seek that when it enforces the ESQ. Plaintiffs have included that as part of their claimed relief and that has triggered our request for a jury trial.

THE COURT: That gets to remedy, though. Could not liability be segregated out from remedy?

MS. JOINER: I suppose we could bifurcate the trial. We don't want to do that.

THE COURT: This comes as -- well, let me hear from plaintiffs.

MS. MEYER: Your Honor, we've made it clear on a

number of occasions, including as recently as I think two days ago, to defendant very clearly that we're not seeking government forfeiture of the elephants.

THE COURT: What remedy are you seeking?

MS. MEYER: We're seeking an order that would make the defendants stop taking the elephants by hitting them with bull hooks and keeping them chained for long periods of time.

THE COURT: All right. So what are you doing? If it appears from your complaint -- if it appears from your supplemental complaint that you were seeking forfeiture as a remedy, are you now withdrawing that appearance?

MS. MEYER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Or that request.

MS. MEYER: And we made that clear to defendant on numerous occasions. I don't know why Ms. Joiner, with all due respect, your Honor, I don't know why she keeps saying it's still on the table and we don't know what to do about it when we made it absolutely clear that we're not going to ask the government to come in and seize the elephants and take their property away from them. We're not asking for that.

Now, just to be clear, we have said that if in the course of this case it becomes clear that the defendant cannot maintain the elephants in the circus without hitting them with bull hooks and keeping them chained all the time, then they may have to give up those elephants, but that is not a government