## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	) Case No.: 03-2006 (EGS/JMF)
<b>v.</b>	
FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,	
Defendant.	)

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ENTITLEMENT TO ATTORNEYS' FEES

## EXHIBIT 1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
2	AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE Docket No. CA 03-2006	
3	PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS, ET AL,	
4	Plaintiffs, v. Washington, D.C.	
5	March 23, 2010 2:10 p.m.	
6	FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,  Defendant.	
7	X <b>AND</b>	
8	FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., Docket No. CA 07-1532 Plaintiffs, v.	
9	AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY	
10	TO ANIMALS, ET AL,  Defendants.	
11	X	
12	<b>STATUS HEARING</b> BEFORE THE HONORABLE EMMET G. SULLIVAN	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiffs: MEYER, GLITZENSTEIN & CRYSTAL	
14	ASPCA By: Ms. Katherine A. Meyer Mr. Howard M. Crystal	
15	Mr. Eric Glitzenstein 1601 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.	
16	Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20009	
17	202.588.5206	
18	ROPES & GRAY, LLP By: Mr. Stephen L. Braga	
19	One Metro Center 700 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 900	
20	Washington, D.C. 20005 202.508.4600	
21	For the Defendant: FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP	
22	FELD By: Mr. John M. Simpson Mr. Richard C. Smith	
23	Ms. Michelle C. Pardo 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	
24	Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20004	
25	202.724.6522	

that going to good faith or not? Because if it's not, then 1 2 we're wasting time. 3 THE COURT: You know what, believe me, I'll never 4 know because I'm not going to micro-manage, but I just -- my 5 job today, and I think it's an important job today, is to 6 inquire and see whether or not there is indeed a good faith 7 basis just to talk for 90 days or so. 8 MR. SIMPSON: No, absolutely, I agree with that 9 fully. 10 THE COURT: Everything, everything. 11 MR. SIMPSON: Yes, both cases. So far we only have 12 two --13 THE COURT: I have no control over staying the 14 schedule, you know, set by the Court of Appeals. I don't know 15 what's going on there. 16 MR. SIMPSON: Well, I think if this is something 17 that the parties are seriously interested in doing, there is 18 no reason we can't notify the D.C. Circuit that that's what's 19 going on because they have an appellate mediation program 20 themselves. So, there's no reason we can't have that appeal held in abeyance. 21 22 THE COURT: Right, right. What do you think? 23 MR. CRYSTAL: Your Honor, of course, I only speak for the Plaintiffs in the -- referred to as the elephant case, 24 and I do agree with one thing that Mr. Simpson said, and

that's that we lost. We recognize that. We appreciate that. We read Your Honor's ruling. We have great respect for the Court. We don't know what's going to happen in the Court of Appeals. There are some factual issues and there are some legal issues.

As Your Honor may recall, you had expanded in very interesting colloquies about some aspects of the standing issue, particularly the informational standing question, the organizational standing question. We don't think the Court would have expended that energy and time if you did not think that those were at least arguable questions.

Again, all I'm saying is nobody ever predicts what the D.C. Circuit is going to do. As for the --

THE COURT: Or what a trial judge may do.

MR. CRYSTAL: Or what a trial judge may do.

THE COURT: You know, this case was -- presented some of the most interesting challenging issues and that's why the Court had the opportunity, because, you know, the parties -- I mean, there was an army of attorneys. I had the opportunity to get questions -- get answers to serious questions I had at various stages of litigation, but, you know, go ahead.

MR. CRYSTAL: Well, Your Honor, all I was going to say is, Your Honor did say on the last day of trial that it was a fairly fought case and you had --