

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

---

<b>ANIMAL WELFARE INSTITUTE, <u>et al.</u>,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>Case No: 03-2006 (EGS)</b>
	)	
<b>FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

---

**DEFENDANT FELD ENTERTAINMENT INC.'S  
OBJECTIONS TO APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL MASTER AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF SPECIAL MASTER**

**EXHIBIT 1**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,	.	Case No. 1:07-CV-01532
	.	(RBW/JMF)
Plaintiff,	.	
	.	Washington, D.C.
v.	.	April 3, 2013
	.	
ANIMAL WELFARE INSTITUTE,	.	
	.	
ET AL.,	.	
	.	
Defendants.	.	
.....	.	

STATUS CONFERENCE  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN M. FACCIOLA  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

For the Plaintiff: Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP  
By: DAVID J. KESSLER, ESQ.  
JOHN M. SIMPSON, ESQ.  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103-3198

For the Defendants: Law Office  
By: STEPHEN L. BRAGA, ESQ.  
3079 Woods Cove Lane  
Woodbridge, VA 22192

Dimuro Ginsberg, PC  
By: STEPHEN NEAL, JR., ESQ.  
1101 King Street  
Suite 610  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Zuckerman Spaeder, LLP  
By: ROGER E. ZUCKERMAN, ESQ.  
1800 M Street, N.W.  
Suite 1000  
Washington, DC 20036-5807

---

BOWLES REPORTING SERVICE  
P.O. BOX 607  
GALES FERRY, CONNECTICUT 06335  
brs-ct@sbcglobal.net

APPEARANCES (CONT'D):

Wilson Elser Moscovitz  
Edelman & Dicker, LLP  
By: LAURA STEEL, ESQ.  
700 11th Street, N.W.  
Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20001  
Morgan, Lewis & Bochus, LLP  
By: WILLIAM B. NES, ESQ.  
GRAHAM B. ROLLINS, ESQ.  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004

Wilmer Cutler Pickering  
Hale & Dorr, LLP  
By: ANDREW R. WEISSMAN, ESQ.  
SCOTT M. LITVINOFF, ESQ.  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006

Schertler & Onorato, LLP  
By: ROBERT SPAGNOLETTI, ESQ.  
575 7th Street, N.W.  
Suite 300 South  
Washington, DC 20004

1                   And that's, I think, the sum total of what  
2 we've been able to agree to.

3                   THE COURT: And therefore, where are you  
4 divided now?

5                   MR. SIMPSON: I think we're divided  
6 principally -- I think the principal issue, Your Honor,  
7 is the scope of discovery in this case, and I think  
8 that's pretty well set out in the respected (phonetic)  
9 plans, in terms of the divergent views of what's  
10 relevant and what's not.

11                   THE COURT: Okay.

12                   And let me hear you in terms of what your  
13 views are.

14                   MR. SIMPSON: The way we see this case --

15                   THE COURT: All right. Back me up all the  
16 way. Remember, I leave this case when discovery has  
17 ended in the other case, and Judge Sullivan goes to  
18 trial. That's all I have any responsibility for.

19                   Sullivan rules in favor of the Defendants, an  
20 appeal is taken, the appeal is over.

21                   Then you bring this new lawsuit, right?

22                   MR. SIMPSON: That's correct. Actually, --

23                   THE COURT: And in -- your theory of the case  
24 is that this has been a RICO conspiracy from its  
25 inception.