From:

- FSIS

Sent:

Wednesday, November 30, 2011 12:02 PM

To:

FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS; Gallegos, Anna - FSIS

Cc: Subject:

Re: 07299 HH MOI

I don't see this as egregious. RCA and NR in order, but I don't think a suspension is called for.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From:

- FSIS

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 12:52 PM

To: Wagner, Scott - FSIS; Gallegos, Anna - FSIS;

- FSIS

Cc: FSIS - FSIS Subject: 07299 HH MOI

All:

Attached is the MOI for the 11/30/11 MOI with 07299 regarding the cow held in the chute with her foot stuck.

I welcome any feedback. Please let me know if I am to print and deliver to Mr. De Los Santos. I have left the MOI open for editing purposes based on your comments.

Also, I will forward to the Alameda DVMS once I find her email address.

Thank you,

<<11.30.11.07299.HH,MOI.pdf>>

D.V.M., M.P.H

SPHV Roswell, NM

575-

From:

FSIS

Sent:

Wednesday, November 30, 2011 1:30 PM

To:

Gallegos, Anna - FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS; Henley, Amy - FSIS

Cc:

FSIS;

- FSIS; Symons, Sara - FSIS

Subject:

07299 humane handling

Good afternoon all.

I apologize for the miscommunication earlier regarding the humane handling noncompliance at 07299. Per the decision of a non- egregious event, I have complied a noncompliance record and corresponding MOI providing documentation of the verbal suspension followed by release of the knocking area with issuance of a noncompliance record following further review.

Both documents are not finalized and can be edited with your comments prior to issuance to the company.

Please let me know if one or both MOIs are to be delivered to the company.

Thank you all for your assistance,





11.30.11.07299.M 11.30.11.07299.NR OI.NotEgregiou... .pdf

D.V.M., M.P.H

SPHV Roswell, NM

575-



United States Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service Washington, D. C. 20250

From:	

Date:

11/30/2011

Subject:

Memorandum Of Interview YJL0814115530G

Meeting Date:

11/30/2011

Meeting Time:

12:10 PM

Reason Code:

Comments:

11/30/11

Today, November 30 2011, at approximately 1040 hours MST, SPHV verbally notified Mr. Rick De Los Santos, owner of Establishment 07299 Valley Meat Company, of the decision to suspend slaughter operations at the facility. SPHV informed Mr. De Los Santos the District Office had been contacted and that an official letter of suspension would be written and delivered.

At approximately 1210 hours MST, SPHV informed Mr. De Los Santos the knocking area was released and slaughter operations could continue. SPHV explained to Mr. De Los Santos, the written MOI sent electronically by SPHV to the Denver District Office, had clarified points of the humane handling noncompliance observed and that the situation was determined as not egregious and a noncompliance record (YJL0614111130N) would be issued instead of a suspension action. SPHV stated this situation demonstrates why the protocol used by FSIS for humane handling is in place and why Mr. De Los Santos had not yet received a written MOI. SPHV reported to Mr. De Los Santos that Deputy District Manager Gallegos had authorize the establishment one additional hour of slaughter beyond the normal duty hours in compensation for the slaughter time lost during the review process 11/30/11.

Plant Management Response:

The request for this information is vokuntary. It is needed to monitor defects found in this inspection system. It is used by FSIS to determine whether establishments are in compliance. 9CFR 301 and 9CFR 381, FORM APPROVED OMB No. 0583-0089. OMB DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 7 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maritaining the data needed and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Officer, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington DC 20250; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. TYPE OF NONCOMPLIANCE US Department of Agriculture FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE Other Consumer Protection Food Safety NONCOMPLIANCE RECORD 1. DATE 2. RECORD NO. 3. ESTABLISHMENT NO. 11/30/2011 YJL0614111130N / 1 M7299; P7299 4. TO (Name and Title) 5. PERSONNEL NOTIFIED **RICARDO DE LOS** Owner RICARDO DE LOS SANTOS; **SANTOS** 6. RELEVANT REGULATIONS 6a. LINKED NR(s) Livestock pens, driveways and ramps 313.2 Handling of livestock 7. TITLE(S) OF HACCP OR SSOP PLAN or OTHER SUPPORTING 7a. NAME OF HACCP CCP(S) or PREREQUISITE PROGRAM **DOCUMENTATION** 8. INSPECTION TASK 9. VERIFICATION ACTIVITY Record Keeping Review & Observation Livestock Humane Handling Both 9a. AFFECTED PRODUCT INFORMATION 9b. RETAIN/REJECT TAGS B40248214 10. DESCRIPTION OF NONCOMPLIANCE 11/30/11 Today, November 30 2011, at approximately 1040 hours MST, SPHV verbally notified Mr. Rick De Los Santos, owner of Establishment 07299 Valley Meat Company, of the decision to suspend slaughter operations at the facility. SPHV Los Santos the District Office had been contacted and that an official letter of suspension would be written and delivered. The decision to suspend was based on the following: At approximately 1015 MST, Intermittent Inspector reported to SPHV that she had observed an adult dairy cow being held in the side chute on the cement ramp to have a rear leg caught on the lowest rung of the metal piping side. Intermittent the cow was being held there because the usually used was not working and the company was obtaining the correct knocking firearm. Please note this animal was lead into the chute at approximately 1000 hours MST. SPHV informed Intermittent to tag the knocking area while SPHV investigated the cow in the side chute. Intermittent USDA Reject Tag#B40248214 in the knocking area immediately after the last cow which had been knocked was shackled and hoisted. At approximately 1018 hours MST, SPHV observed one adult dairy cow being held in the side chute along the east wall of the building, on the cement ramp. The cow was facing south toward the kill floor entrance, was approximately half way up the ramp, and had her left rear hoof caught on the lower level of the two tiered metal piping side of the chute (piping abuts the exterior wall of the facility). SPHV not observe the animal to be in distress or vocalizing, only unable to remove her hoof from the piping tier, which was approximately eighteen to twenty-four inches off the ground, after repeated attempts. was returning into the facility via the front entrance at approximately 1020 MST, Intermittent exited the facility through the kill floor and released the cow. Mr. De Los Santos and Intermittent met SPHV in the USDA office and verbally reported the cow had been able to walk forward once the chute door was opened; Intermittent verbally informed Mr. De Los Santos the Front Line Supervisor (FLS) and District Veterinary Medical Specialist (DVMS) would be contacted to report the humane handling noncompliance and for guidance on removing the USDA reject tag. Mr. De Los Santos stated he did not understand why there was a humane handling violation as the cow was okay. SPHV explained that the HMSA and corresponding 9 CFR regulations in 313 outline that pens, alleyways, and all aspects of handling of live animals are considered humane handling elements and an animal being held in a chute with her leg stuck causing discomfort, and additionally without observation or intervention by the company, is noncompliance. Mr. De Los Santos further stated that he had the impression that USDA was trying to prevent him from doing business. SPHV explained that the field office inspection enforces the regulations and the humane handling regulations were not developed solely by USDA and that other parties, such as lobbyi FSIS FORM 5400-4 DISTRIBUTION: Original & 1 Copy to Establishment, 1 Copy to Inspector

Page

1 Of

2

sts, were involved in their development. SPHV stated the following to Mr. De Los Santos. Intermittent observed a noncompliance and protocol was being followed for humane handling noncompliance. FSIS enforces the regulations and ensures the company is in compliance. It is the company employees who are to be following the regulations and FSIS to verify they are being followed. Intermittent looked outside to check on the cow which had been left in the chute while the correct firearm was obtained by the company. It so happens, that Intermittent instead of the company employees, observed the cow having her foot stuck in the chute side. Following the telephonic discussion with Denver Deputy District Manager, Ms. Anna Gallegos, SPHV verbally informed Mr. De Los Santos of the noncompliance as above. SPHV explained to Mr. De Los Santos the company was currently in a Suspension in Abeyance of a humane handling violation occurring within the same month (November 4, 2011) and that a verbal suspension was being issued with a written letter to follow.					
11.SIGNATURE OF INSP	ECTION PROGRAM EMPLO	YEE			
				F	
You are hereby advised of yo	ur right to appeal this decision as	delinested by 306.5 and/or 381.35 of 9 CF	R.		
12, PLANT MANAGEMEN	IT RESPONSE:				
4 mm or 2 x 1 1245 mm or 4 mm or 4 mm	5 7 (2.000 me).				
13. PLANT MANAGEMEN	IT RESPONSE (Immediate ad	ction(s)):			
14. PLANT MANAGEMEN	IT RESPONSE (further planne	ed action(s)):			
	er sammer as room from the best tree	ou workey).			
This document serves as w	ritten notification that your failu	re to comply with regulatory requireme	nts(s) could result in additional regulat	ory or administrative action.	
15.SIGNATURE OF PLAI	NT MANAGEMENT		Vandenskyn (na vederla di 1999 i Serbin und maar (* 1970 in de produktion de serve	16. DATE	
	NT MANAGEMENT NTURE OF INSPECTION PRO	OGRAM EMPLOYEE		16. DATE	
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			
		OGRAM EMPLOYEE			

Page 2 Of

From:

- FSIS

Sent:

Wednesday, November 30, 2011 1:34 PM

To: Cc: - FSIS; Gallegos, Anna - FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS; Henley, Amy - FSIS

- FSIS; Symons, Sara - FSIS

Subject:

Re: 07299 humane handling

The MOI was thorough and detailed. Well written. I wouldn't change anything.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From:

FSIS

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 02:30 PM

To: Gallegos, Anna - FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS; Henley, Amy - FSIS

- FSIS;

- FSIS; Symons, Sara - FSIS

Subject: 07299 humane handling

Good afternoon all.

I apologize for the miscommunication earlier regarding the humane handling noncompliance at 07299. Per the decision of a non-egregious event, I have complied a noncompliance record and corresponding MOI providing documentation of the verbal suspension followed by release of the knocking area with issuance of a noncompliance record following further review.

Both documents are not finalized and can be edited with your comments prior to issuance to the company.

Please let me know if one or both MOIs are to be delivered to the company.

Thank you all for your assistance,

<<11.30.11.07299.MOI.NotEgregious.pdf>> <<11.30.11.07299.NR.pdf>>

D.V.M., M.P.H

SPHV Roswell, NM

From:

Henley, Amy - FSIS

Sent:

Wednesday, November 30, 2011 1:43 PM

To: Cc:

 FSIS; Gallegos, Anna - FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS - FSIS; | - FSIS; Symons, Sara - FSIS

Subject:

RE: 07299 humane handling

Good Afternoon

I agree with Dr. on the MOI.

Thanks

Amy Leider Henley MA, DVM, MPH **District Veterinary Medical Specialist** Alameda District **USDA - Food Safety Inspection Service** 620 Central Ave bldg 2C Alameda CA 94501

0: 510-769-5708 M: 510-715-7526 F: 510-337-8707

amy.henley@fsis.usda.gov

From:

FSIS Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 12:30 PM

To: Gallegos, Anna - FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS; Henley, Amy - FSIS - FSIS; - FSIS; Symons, Sara - FSIS

Subject: 07299 humane handling

Good afternoon all.

I apologize for the miscommunication earlier regarding the humane handling noncompliance at 07299. Per the decision of a non- egregious event, I have complied a noncompliance record and corresponding MOI providing documentation of the verbal suspension followed by release of the knocking area with issuance of a noncompliance record following further review.

Both documents are not finalized and can be edited with your comments prior to issuance to the company.

Please let me know if one or both MOIs are to be delivered to the company.

Thank you all for your assistance,

<< File: 11.30.11.07299.MOI.NotEgregious.pdf >> << File: 11.30.11.07299.NR.pdf >>

D.V.M., M.P.H SPHV Roswell, NM 575-

From:

- FSIS

Sent:

Wednesday, November 30, 2011 2:13 PM

To:

Henley, Amy - FSIS; Gallegos, Anna - FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS

Cc:

FSIS;

- FSIS; Symons, Sara - FSIS

Subject:

RE: 07299 humane handling

Thank you all. I will print and issue the revised MOI (document releasing the knocking area) to the company.

-

From: Henley, Amy - FSIS

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 1:43 PM

To: - FSIS; Gallegos, Anna - FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS Cc: - FSIS; - FSIS; - FSIS; Symons, Sara - FSIS

Subject: RE: 07299 humane handling

Good Afternoon

l agree with Dr. on the MOI.

Thanks

Amy Leider Henley MA, DVM, MPH
District Veterinary Medical Specialist
Alameda District
USDA - Food Safety Inspection Service
620 Central Ave bldg 2C
Alameda CA 94501

O: 510-769-5708 M: 510-715-7526 F: 510-337-8707

amy.henley@fsis.usda.gov

From: FSIS

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 12:30 PM

To: Gallegos, Anna - FSIS; Wagner, Scott - FSIS; Henley, Amy - FSIS Cc: FSIS; FSIS; FSIS; FSIS; Symons, Sara - FSIS

Subject: 07299 humane handling

Good afternoon all.

I apologize for the miscommunication earlier regarding the humane handling noncompliance at 07299. Per the decision of a non-egregious event, I have complied a noncompliance record and corresponding MOI providing documentation of

the verbal suspension followed by release of the knocking area with issuance of a noncompliance record following further review.

Both documents are not finalized and can be edited with your comments prior to issuance to the company.

Please let me know if one or both MOIs are to be delivered to the company.

Thank you all for your assistance,

<< File: 11.30.11.07299.MOI.NotEgregious.pdf >> << File: 11.30.11.07299.NR.pdf >>

D.V.M., M.P.H SPHV Roswell, NM 575-